# On the entropy of symbolic image of a dynamical system

Osipenko G. S., Ampilova N.B.

# 1 Symbolic image of a dynamical system

Let  $f:M\to M$  be a homeomorphism of a compact manifold M generating a discrete dynamical system

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n),\tag{1}$$

and  $\rho(x, y)$  be a distance on M. In what follows we use the concept of symbolic image of a dynamical system [17], which brings together symbolic dynamics [3, 14] and numerical methods [10]. Let  $C = \{M(1), ..., M(n)\}$  be a finite closed covering of a manifold M. The set M(i) is called cell with index i.

**Definition. 1** [16] Symbolic image of the dynamical system (1) for a covering C is an oriented graph G with vertices  $\{i\}$  corresponding to cells  $\{M(i)\}$ . The vertices i and j are connected by the edge  $i \rightarrow j$  iff

$$f(M(i)) \bigcap M(j) \neq \emptyset.$$

Symbolic image is a tool for a space discretization and graphic representation of the dynamic of a system under study, which allows the obtaining useful information about the global structure of the system dynamics. Symbolic image depends on a covering C. The existence of an edge  $i \to j$  guaranties the existence of a point  $x \in M(i)$  such that  $f(x) \in M(j)$ . In other words, an edge  $i \to j$  is the trace of the mapping  $x \to f(x)$ , where  $x \in M(i)$ ,  $f(x) \in M(j)$ . If there isn't an edge  $i \to j$  on G then there are not the points  $x \in M(i)$  such that  $f(x) \in M(j)$ .

We do not place special restrictions on a covering C, but basing on the theorem about the triangulation of a compact manifold [19] we may without loss of generality assume that cells M(i) are polyhedrons intesecting on their boundary disks. In practice M is a compact in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and M(i) are cubes or

parallelepipeds. Let C be a covering of M by polyhedrons intersecting on their boundary disks. In what follows we also use a measurable partition  $C^*$ , such that a boundary disk belongs only one of adjoining cells. We assume that cellspolyhedrons are closures of their interiors.

**Definition. 2** A vertex of a symbolic image G is said to be recurrent if there is a periodic path passing through it. The set of recurrent vertices is denoted by RV. The recurrent vertices i and j are called equivalent if there exists a periodic path passing through i and j.

Thus, the set of recurrent vertices RV is split into equivalence classes  $\{H_k\}$ . In the graph theory such classes are called strong connectivity components.

Let

$$diam \ M(i) = \max(\rho(x, y) : x, y \in M(i))$$

be the diameter of a cell M(i) and d = diam(C) be the maximum of the diameters of the cells. The number d is called the diameter of the covering C.

An oriented graph G is uniquely defined by its adjacency matrix (matrix of admissible transitions)  $\Pi$ . The matrix  $\Pi = (\pi_{ij})$  has sizes  $n \times n$ , where n — is the number of vertices of G, and  $\pi_{ij} = 1$  iff there exists the edge  $i \to j$ , else  $\pi_{ij} = 0$ . Hence an *i*-th row in  $\Pi$  corresponds to the vertex *i* (cell M(i)), and on the place *j* in this row there is 1 or 0 depending on the existence (or nonexistence) of nonempty intersection f(M(i)) and M(j). Matrix of admissible transitions depends on the numbering of vertices (cells of the covering), so that a change of numeration leads to a change of matrix  $\Pi$ . Note that there exists a numeration transforming the matrix of admissible transitions to a canonical form.

**Proposition. 1** [1] Vertices of a symbolic image G may be numbered such that

the adjacency matrix has the form

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} (\Pi_1) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ 0 & & (\Pi_k) & \cdots & \cdots & \\ & \ddots & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & 0 & & (\Pi_s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where every diagonal block  $\Pi_k$  corresponds either an equivalence class  $H_k$  of recurrent vertices or a nonrecurrent vertex and consists of one zero. Under diagonal blocks are only zeroes (upper triangular matrix)

# 2 Entropy

In 1865 R. Clausius [5] introduced the most important in thermodynamics concept entropy. To explain the irreversibility of macroscopic states L. Boltzmann in 1872 [4] first introduced statistical approach in thermodynamics : he proposed to describe a state of a system by using its microstates. The Boltzmann entropy S is statistical entropy for the equiprobable distribution of a system over P states, it is defined as

 $S = k \log(P)$ , where k is the Boltzmann constant.

In 1948 C. Shannon [20, 21] introduced the notion of capacity (C) for an information channel as follows

$$C = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\log_2 N(T)}{T},$$

where N(T) is the number of admissible signals for the time T. He also defined information entropy as follows

$$h = -\sum_{i} p_i \log_2 p_i,$$

where  $p_i$  is a probability of i-th signal (message),  $i \in 1, ...n$ , and n is the number of signals. A. N. Kolmogorov in 1958 [12] introduced entropy in the theory of dynamical systems. Entropy is a fine invariant of a dynamical system, it may be interpreted as a measure of the system chaoticity. Comprehensive information on entropy in dynamical systems is given in [7, 11]. It turns out that entropy characteristics may be obtained both for a system described analytically and for its phase portraits. The application of such characteristics to digital image analysis is given in [2].

Motivation Consider a discrete dynamical system  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  on a compact manifold M, where  $f: M \to M$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $C = \{M(1), ..., M(n)\}$ be a finite covering of M and the sequence  $\{x_k = f^k(x), k = 0, ..., N-1\}$  be the N-length part of the trajectory of a point x. The covering C generates a coding of this part via a finite sequence  $\xi(x) = \{i_k, k = 0, ..., N-1\}$ , where  $x_k \in M(i_k)$ . In other words,  $i_k$  is the number of the cell from C which contains the point  $x_k = f^k(x)$ . Generally speaking, the mapping  $x_k \to i_k$  is multivalued. The sequence  $\xi = \{i_k\}$  is said to be (admissible) encoding of the trajectory  $\{x_k = f^k(x)\}$  with respect to the covering C. Assume that we know all admissible N-length encodings, and there is a need to predict subsequent p-length encodings, i.e. to find admissible encodings of length N + p.

Let the number of admissible encodings K(N) grows exponentially depending on N. We estimate the rate of growth of encodings by the number

$$h = \lim_{N \to +\infty} \frac{\log_b K(N)}{N},\tag{2}$$

where b may be any real number greater than 1. The bases b = 2 (following to Shannon) or b = e are in common use. The existence of the limit in (2) follows from the Polya lemma [1, 3, 14].

**Lemma. 1** [14], p. 103. If a sequence of non-negative numbers  $a_n$  satisfies the inequality

$$a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m,$$

then there exists  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/n$ .

For the number of admissible encodings we have

$$K(n+m) \le K(n)K(m),$$

hence for the sequence  $a_n = \log_b K(n)$  there exists the limit (2). Thus, for the number of encodings K(N) we obtain the estimation

$$K(N) \sim Bb^{hN}$$
,

where B is a constant. If  $h \neq 0$  then

$$\frac{K(N+p)}{K(N)} \sim b^{hp}.$$

This relation means that for any N the uncertainty of future encodings grows with the exponent hp regardless the knowledge of previous encodings.

If the growth of the number of different encodings is not exponential (i. e. h = 0), for example as

$$K(N) \sim BN^A$$
,

where A — a positive number (may be large), then

$$\frac{K(N+p)}{K(N)} \sim (1+\frac{p}{N})^A \to 1,$$

when  $N \to \infty$ . In other words, the uncertainty of the future decreases when the length N of known encodings increases.

Thus, if the growth of the number of different encodings is exponential, the uncertainty does not depend on N, in other case it decreases as N increases. Value h may be interpreted as a characteristics of uncertainty (chaoticity) of the system dynamic considered.

Topological entropy. Let f be a continuous mapping defined on a manifold Mand  $C = \{M(1), \ldots, M(n)\}$  be an open covering of M. For an integer positive number N consider a sequence

$$\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_N,$$

where  $\omega_k$  is a number from 1 to *n*. Construct the intersection of the form

$$M(\omega) = M(\omega_1) \cap f^{-1}(M(\omega_2)) \cap \dots \cap f^{-N+1}(M(\omega_N)), (*)$$

which is an open set. The admissible encoding  $\omega$  corresponds to the nonempty intersection  $M(\omega)$ , i.e. there exists  $x \in M(\omega_1)$  such that  $f^k(x) \in M(\omega_{k+1})$ . The sequence  $\omega$  codes the segment of the trajectory  $\{f^k(x), k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Consider all the admissible N-lentgh encodings  $\{\omega\}$  and the collection of sets  $C^N = \{M(\omega)\}$ , which is an open covering. Choose in  $C^N$  a minimal by the number of elements (denoted further by  $|C_N|$ ) finite subcovering  $C_N$ .

Then according to the Polya lemma there exists the limit

$$H(C) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log |C_N|}{N}.$$
(3)

Definition. 3 The number

$$h(f) = \sup_{C} H(C),$$

where sup is taken over all open coverings C, is called topological entropy of the mapping  $f: M \to M$ .

It is easy to see that there is little point in using this definition for practical calculation of entropy. Consider some methods for entropy calculation.

A covering  $C_2$  is said to be refined in a covering  $C_1$ , if any  $A \in C_2$  lies in a set  $B \in C_1$ . A sequence of open coverings  $C_n$  is called exhaustive if for any open covering C there exists the number  $n^*$  such that the covering  $C_n$  is refined in C for  $n \ge n^*$ .

**Proposition. 2** [1] *p.* 122.

1. If  $C_n$  is a sequence of open coverings with diameters

$$d_n = \max_{A \in C_n} diamA$$

tending to zero, then  $C_n$  is an exhaustive sequence.

2. Entropy of the mapping f is calculated as follows

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H(C_n).$$

Consider coverings  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , and construct for each of them nonempty intersections (\*). Denote the obtained collections of sets by  $C_1^N$  and  $C_2^N$  respectively. In each collection choose the minimal (by the number of elements) subcovering, and denote them  $C_{N1}$  and  $C_{N2}$ . **Proposition. 3** If  $C_2$  is refined in  $C_1$ , then

$$|C_{N1}| \le |C_{N2}|,$$

where  $|C_{Ni}|$  denotes the number of elements in the set considered.

*Proof.* If  $A_1 \subset B_1$  and  $A_2 \subset B_2$ , then  $A_1 \cap f^{-1}(A_2)$  lies in  $B_1 \cap f^{-1}(B_2)$ . By the same way one can prove that elements of  $C_2^N$  are in corresponding elements of  $C_1^N$ . Consider  $C_{N1}$  and  $C_{N2}$ . Take from  $C_1^N$  all the elements which contain corresponding elements of  $C_{N2}$  and form from them the covering  $C_{N1}^*$ .

Then  $|C_{N1}| \leq |C_{N1}^*| \leq |C_{N2}|$ , because  $C_{N1}$  is a minimal subcovering for  $C_1^N$ . The proposition is proved.

**Corollary. 1** Assume that  $C_2$  is refined in  $C_1$ , and the numbers  $H(C_1)$ ,  $H(C_2)$  are calculated by (3). Then

$$H(C_1) \le H(C_2).$$

#### 3 Entropy of a symbolic image

Let G be a graph with the adjacency matrix  $\Pi$ . Denote by  $b_n$  the number of admissible n-length paths on G.

Definition. 4 The number

$$h(G) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln b_n}{n}$$

is called the entropy of the graph G.

Remember that the element  $(\Pi^n)_{ij}$  is equal to the number of admissible *n*-length paths from *i* to *j*. Then

$$b_n = \sum_{ij} (\Pi^n)_{ij}$$

**Theorem. 1** Let  $C_n$  be a sequence of subcoverings of a closed covering, such that cells are polyhedrons intersecting on boundary disks, and diameters  $d_n$  of subcoverings tend to zero. Denote by  $G_n$  the symbolic images constructed for a mapping  $f: M \to M$  in accordance with the sequence  $C_n$ . Then for the entropy of f the following inequality holds

$$h(f) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} h(G_n).$$

*Proof.* Let  $C_n = \{M(i)\}$  be a closed covering from the sequence described above and  $G_n$  be a symbolic image of the mapping f constructed according to  $C_n$ . Consider the set  $P_N$  of encodings of N-length segments of trajectories. It is obvious that  $P_N$  not greater than the number of admissible N-length paths on  $G_n$ , denoted by  $b_N$ .

Hence the number  $|C^N|$  of nonempty intersections of the form

$$M(\omega) = M(\omega_1) \cap f^{-1}(M(\omega_2)) \cap \dots \cap f^{-N+1}(M(\omega_N))$$

is not greater than  $b_N$ . Thus,

$$H(C_n) \le h(G_n).$$

If C is an open covering then there exists the number  $n^*$  such that the covering  $C_n$  is refined in C for  $n \ge n^*$ . Then in accordance with Proposition 2 we have

$$H(C) \le H(C_n) \le h(G_n).$$

Now consider an exhausting sequence of open coverings  $\{\widetilde{C}_m\}$ . Let n(m) be the number  $n^*$  constructed for the covering  $\widetilde{C}_m$ , then

$$H(\widetilde{C}_m) \le H(C_n) \le h(G_n),$$

where  $n \ge n(m)$ . If  $m \to \infty$ , then according to Proposition 2 we have

$$h(f) = \lim_{m \to \infty} H(C_m) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} h(G_n).$$

The theorem is proved.

Remember that a matrix  $A(n \times n)$  is called decomposable if it admits an invariant subspace with dimension less than n, and a matrix A is called nonnegative (positive) if it has nonnegative (positive) elements. If for a nonnegative matrix A there is an integer s > 0 such that all the elements of  $A^s$  are positive, then A is called primitive. In particular the matrix of admissible transitions  $\Pi$  is nonnegative. It is nondecomposable if the symbolic image consists of one class of equivalent recurrent vertices.

**Theorem. 2** (Perron-Frobenius) [8, 14]

- If A is a decomposable nonnegative matrix then it has an eigenvector e with positive coordinates and the eigenvalue λ with multiplicity 1, and the other eigenvalues μ satisfy the inequality |μ| ≤ λ.
- If A is a decomposable nonnegative matrix and  $|\mu| < \lambda$ , then A is primitive.
- If A is a decomposable nonnegative matrix and it has h > 1 eigenvalues which are equal in modulus λ, then A is not primitive, and by an agreed renumeration of rows and columns it may be transformed to the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & A_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{h-1h} \\ A_{h1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

where  $A_{ij}$  are square blocks, and  $A^h$  consists of h primitive blocks.

**Theorem. 3** The entropy of the graph G is equal to the logarithm of the maximal eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix

$$h(G) = \ln \lambda.$$

*Proof.* 1. Consider the case when G consists of one class of equivalent recurrent vertices. Let e be a positive eigenvector for the maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , i.e.

$$\Pi e = \lambda e.$$

In the coordinate form we have

$$\sum_{j} (\Pi^n)_{ij} e_j = \lambda^n e_i.$$
(4)

,

Let  $c = \min\{e_i\}$  and  $d = \max\{e_i\}$ . In accordance with the Perron-Frobenius theorem c > 0. Then the following inequalities hold

$$c\sum_{j}(\Pi^{n})_{ij} \leq \sum_{j}(\Pi^{n})_{ij}e_{j} \leq d\lambda^{n}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j} (\Pi^n)_{ij} \le \frac{d}{c} \lambda^n$$

for any i. Summing by i we obtain

$$b_n = \sum_{ij} (\Pi^n)_{ij} \le \frac{dr}{c} \lambda^n,$$

where r is the number of rows in the matrix  $\Pi$ . It follows from (4) that

$$c\lambda^n \le \lambda^n e_i = \sum_j (\Pi^n)_{ij} e_j \le d \sum_j (\Pi^n)_{ij} \le d \sum_{ij} (\Pi^n)_{ij}.$$

Hence we obtain the estimation

$$\frac{c}{d}\lambda^n \le \sum_{ij} (\Pi^n)_{ij} = b_n,$$

and the inequalities

$$\frac{c}{d}\lambda^n \le b_n \le \frac{dr}{c}\lambda^n.$$

The required equality follows from it.

2. Consider the case when there are several classes of equivalent recurrent vertices, i.e the matrix  $\Pi$  is decomposable. According to Proposition 1, by a renumbering of vertices this matrix may be transformed to the form

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} (\Pi_1) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ 0 & & (\Pi_k) & \cdots & \cdots \\ & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 & & (\Pi_s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where each diagonal block  $\Pi_k$  corresponds to either one of the classes of equivalent recurrent vertices  $H_k$  or some non-recurrent vertex, and consists of one zero. Under diagonal blocks are zeroes. Each class  $H_k$  has the entropy

$$h(H_k) = \ln \lambda_k$$

where  $\lambda_k$  is the maximal eigenvalue of  $\Pi_k$ . By the definition the entropy of a symbolic image G equals

$$h(G) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln b_n}{n}.$$

Consider an admissible *n*-length path  $\omega$ . Assume that on *G* there are *s* classes of equivalence  $H_k$ . The path  $\omega$  passes both through the vertices from  $H_k$  and non-recurrent vertices which do not belong to these classes. Denote by  $\omega_k$  the parts of  $\omega$  which lie in the class  $H_k$ . If we delete from  $\omega$  all the  $\omega_k$  it will contain only different paths  $\sigma_l$  passing through non-recurrent vertices. Thus  $\omega$  is the sum  $\omega_k$  and  $\sigma_l$ . Combine all the paths  $\sigma_l$  into a sequence  $\sigma$ . Generally speaking it is not an admissible path. Let *K* be the number of non-recurrent vertices in *G*. The sequence  $\sigma$  contains nonrecurrent vertices without repetitions. Hence the number of the sequences  $\sigma$  is not greater than the number of permutations of *K* elements , and it equals *K*!.

Denote by n(k) the length of the path  $\omega_k$  from the class  $H_k$ . Then  $n(1) + n(2) + \cdots + n(s) \leq n$ . According to item 1 for every class  $H_k$  there is a number d such that the number  $b_k(n(k))$  of different n(k)-length paths  $\omega_k$  is estimated as follows

$$b_k(n(k)) \le d\lambda_k^{n(k)} \le d\lambda^{n(k)},$$

где  $\lambda = \max \lambda_k$ . Then for the number of different paths  $\omega_k$  which are in  $\omega$  we have the estimation

$$\prod_{k} b_k(n(k)) \le d^s \lambda^{n(1)+n(2)+\dots+n(s)} \le d^s \lambda^n.$$

Summing the above estimations obtain the following

$$b_n \leq K! d^s \lambda^n$$

Thus, we have the upper estimation for the entropy of G:

$$h(G) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(K! d^s \lambda^n) = \ln \lambda.$$

Prove the opposite inequality. Note that the number of admissible paths on G is greater than the number of admissible paths in a class  $H_k$ . Then  $h(G) \ge h(H_k) = \ln \lambda_k$  for any k, which gives the low estimation

$$h(G) \ge \ln \lambda$$

Hence we have

$$h(G) = \ln \lambda,$$

and the proof is completed.

#### 4 Flows on a symbolic image

Let  $f: M \to M$  be a homeomorphism of a compact manifold M. A measure  $\mu$  defined on M is said to be f-invariant, if for any measurable set  $A \subset M$  the equality

$$\mu(f^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A) = \mu(f(A))$$

holds. In what follows we assume that all measures considered are the Borel ones. The Krylov-Bogoliubov theorem [13, 11] guaranties the existence of an invariant measure  $\mu$  which is normed on M:  $\mu(M) = 1$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(f)$  the set of all *f*-invariant normed measures. This set is a convex closed compact in weak topology (see [15], p.511). The convergence  $\mu_n \to \mu$  in this topology means that

$$\int_M \phi d\mu_n \to \int_M \phi d\mu$$

for any continuous function  $\phi: M \to R$ .

To understand how a distribution of a measure may appear on a symbolic image, consider the following observation. Assume that there exists a f-invariant normed measure  $\mu$  on M, and the cells of a covering C are polyhedrons intersecting by boundary disks. Construct a measurable partition  $C^* = \{M^*(i)\}$ such that a boundary disk belongs to one of adjoining cells. Then, to every edge  $i \to j$  of a symbolic image G we can assign the measure

$$m_{ij} = \mu(M^*(i) \cap f^{-1}(M^*(j))) = \mu(f(M^*(i)) \cap M^*(j)),$$
(5)

where the last equality follows from the invariance of  $\mu$ . Besides that, the invariance of  $\mu$  leads to the equalities

$$\sum_{k} m_{ki} = \sum_{k} \mu(f(M^{*}(k)) \cap M^{*}(i))) = \mu(M^{*}(i)) =$$
$$\sum_{j} \mu(M^{*}(i) \cap f^{-1}(M^{*}(j))) = \sum_{j} m_{ij}.$$

The value  $\sum_k m_{ki}$  is called the flow incoming in the vertex *i*, and the  $\sum_j m_{ij}$ — the flow outcoming from *i*. The equality

$$\sum_{k} m_{ki} = \sum_{j} m_{ij} \tag{6}$$

may be interpreted as Kirchoff's law: for any vertex the incoming flow equals the outcoming one. Furthemore, we have

$$\sum_{ij} m_{ij} = \mu(M) = 1.$$
 (7)

It means that the distribution  $m_{ij}$  is normed (probabilistic). Thus, a *f*-invariant measure  $\mu$  generates on a symbolic image a distribution  $m_{ij}$  which satisfies the conditions (6) and (7). The above reasoning leads to the following definition.

**Definition. 5** Let G be an oriented graph. The distribution  $\{m_{ij}\}$  on edges  $\{i \rightarrow j\}$  such that

- $m_{ij} \ge 0;$
- $\sum_{ij} m_{ij} = 1;$
- for any vertex i

$$\sum_{k} m_{ki} = \sum_{j} m_{ij}$$

is called flow on G.

The last property may be called the invariance of a flow. The norming condition may be written as m(G) = 1, where the measure of G is the sum of measures of all edges. Sometimes in the graph theory for such a distribution the term "closed flow" is used. For the flow  $\{m_{ij}\}$  on G we may define the measure of a vertex i as

$$m_i = \sum_k m_{ki} = \sum_j m_{ij}.$$

Then  $\sum_{i} m_i = m(G) = 1.$ 

Thus, a f-invariant measure generates a flow on a symbolic image. Now we consider the inverse construction. Let on a symbolic image G a flow  $m = \{m_{ij}\}$  be given, then we can construct the measure  $\mu$  on M as follows

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{i} \frac{m_i(v(A \cap M(i)))}{v(M(i))}.$$
(8)

Here v is a normed on M Lebesgue's measure, and on the assumption  $v(M(i)) \neq 0$ . In this case the measure of a cell M(i) coincides with the measure of the vertex i:  $\mu(M(i)) = m_i$ . As v is the Lebesgue measure, the measure of boundary disks is equal to zero and the measure of a cell does not depend on the measure of its boundary. In general, the constructed measure  $\mu$  is not f-invariant. But it is an approximation to an invariant measure in the sense that  $\mu$  converges in weak topology to an invariant measure if the diameter of the covering tends to zero.

**Theorem. 4** [18] Let on a sequence of symbolic images  $\{G_t\}$  of a homeomorphism f a sequence of flows  $\{m^t\}$  be defined, and the maximal diameter  $d_t$  of partitions tends to zero when  $t \to \infty$ . Then

- there exists the sequence of measures μ<sub>tk</sub> (constructed according to (8)) which converges in weak topology to a f-invariant measure μ;
- if a subsequence of measures μ<sub>t<sub>l</sub></sub> converges in weak topology to a measure μ<sup>\*</sup>, then μ<sup>\*</sup> is f-invariant.

**Theorem. 5** [18] For any neighborhood (in weak topology) U of the set  $\mathcal{M}(f)$ there is a positive number  $d_0$  such that for any partition C with the diameter  $d < d_0$  and any flow m on a symbolic image G with respect to C, the measure  $\mu$  constructed according to (8) by m, lies in U.

# 5 Metric entropy

Let  $\mu$  be a normed invariant measure of a homeomorphism  $f: M \to M$  and  $C = \{M_1, M_2, \cdots, M_m\}$  a measurable partition of the manifold M.

**Definition. 6** The entropy of the partition C is defined as

$$H(C) = -\sum_{i} \mu(M_i) \ln \mu(M_i).$$

Construct a covering  $C^N$  which consists of nonempty intersections of the form

$$A_{i_1} \cap f^{-1}(A_{i_2}) \cap \dots \cap f^{-N+1}(M_{i_N}).$$

If such an intersection is nonempty then the sequence  $i_1, i_2, \dots i_N$  is admissible with respect to the covering C.

The metric entropy of f for the covering C is defined as

$$H(f,C) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} H(C^N).$$

The existence of the limit follows from the Polya lemma.

**Definition.** 7 The entropy of f for an invariant measure  $\mu$  is defined as

$$h(f,\mu) = \sup_{C} H(f,C),$$

where sup is taken over all measurable finite partitions.

The connection between topological and metric entropy is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem. 6** [6, 9] The topological entropy of a homeomorphism f is the least upper bound of metric entropies

$$h(f) = \sup_{\mu} h(f, \mu).$$

## 6 Stohastic Markov chains

Stohastic Markov chain [8, 14] is defined by a set of states of a system  $\{i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  and the matrix of transition probabilities  $P_{ij}$  from a state *i* to state

*j*. Such a matrix is called stohastic if it satisfies the following conditions  $P_{ij} \ge 0$ and  $\sum_j P_{ij} = 1$  for every *i*. A probabilistic distribution  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ ,  $\sum_i p_i = 1$  is said to be stationary if

$$(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & \dots & P_{1n} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & \dots & P_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{n1} & P_{n2} & \dots & P_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n),$$

i.e. p is a left eigenvector of P.

We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between a Markov chain and a flow on a graph in which vertices correspond to the states with positive measure.

Let  $m = \{m_{ij}\}$  be a flow on a graph G. The measure of a vertex i equals  $m_i = \sum_j m_{ij} = \sum_k m_{ki}$ . If  $m_i \neq 0$  then the vertex  $\{i\}$  is necessary recurrent. It is easy to verify that any flow  $m = \{m_{ij}\}$  on G generates a stohastic Markov chain in which the states are vertices with nonzero measures, and the transition probabilities from i to j are calculated as

$$P_{ij} = \frac{m_{ij}}{m_i}.$$

In this case the stohastic matrix  $P = (m_{ij}/m_i)$  has the stationary distribution coinciding with the distribution of the measure m over the vertices  $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)$ . This follows from the equality

$$(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_{11}}{m_1} & \frac{m_{12}}{m_1} & \dots & \frac{m_{1n}}{m_1} \\ \frac{m_{21}}{m_2} & \frac{m_{12}}{m_2} & \dots & \frac{m_{2n}}{m_2} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \frac{m_{n1}}{m_n} & \frac{m_{n2}}{m_n} & \dots & \frac{m_{nn}}{m_n} \end{pmatrix} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n).$$

Thus, any flow  $m = \{m_{ij}\}$  on a graph G generates a stohastic Markov chain for which the distribution of the measure  $(m_i)$  on vertices is stationary.

Now we prove the inverse fact: for any stohastic matrix  $P = (P_{ij})$  and its stationary distribution  $p = (p_i)$  there exists a flow  $m = \{m_{ij}\}$  on a graph G for which the distribution of the measure on vertices coincides with the stationary distribution, i.e.  $m_i = p_i$ .

Actually, let P be a stohastic matrix and pP = p. Consider a graph G which has n vertices  $\{i\}$ , and the edge  $i \to j$  there exists if  $P_{ij} > 0$ . Construct the distribution on edges  $m_{ij} = P_{ij}p_i$  and show that the distribution is a flow on G. As P is stohastic then  $\sum_j P_{ij} = 1$  for any i. Hence

$$\sum_{j} m_{ij} = \sum_{j} P_{ij} p_i = p_i \sum_{j} P_{ij} = p_i.$$

As pP = p then  $\sum_{k} p_k P_{ki} = p_i$ , hence

$$\sum_{k} m_{ki} = \sum_{k} p_k P_{ki} = p_i = \sum_j m_{ij},$$

i.e for the distribution  $m_{ij}$  the Kirchoff law holds. Moreover,  $\sum_{ij} m_{ij} = \sum_i p_i = 1.$ 

From the above it follows that the construction of a flow on a graph results in obtaining a Markov chain.

# 7 Flow entropy

The developed technics may be applied to estimate metric entropy. Let for a mapping f and a covering C a symbolic image G and a flow  $m = \{m_{ij}\}$  be constructed. As it was proved above, any flow m may be considered as the approximation to an invariant measure  $\mu$ , if the diameter of C is small enough. The flow m on G generates the Markov chain in which the states coincide with vertices of G, and transition probabilities are defined as

$$p_{ij} = \frac{m_{ij}}{m_i}.$$

The matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$  has the stationary distribution  $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)$  for which entropy is calculated by the formula (see [14], p. 443)

$$h_m = -\sum_i m_i \sum_j p_{ij} \ln p_{ij}.$$

Substituting  $p_{ij} = m_{ij}/m_i$  we obtain

$$h_m = -\sum_i m_i \sum_j \frac{m_{ij}}{m_i} \ln(\frac{m_{ij}}{m_i}) = -\sum_{ij} m_{ij} \ln(\frac{m_{ij}}{m_i}) = -\sum_{ij} m_{ij} \ln m_{ij} + \sum_{ij} m_{ij} \ln m_i = -\sum_{ij} m_{ij} \ln m_{ij} + \sum_i m_i \ln m_i$$

By this means entropy can be calculated by the flow  $m_{ij}$  as

$$h_m = -\sum_{ij} m_{ij} \ln m_{ij} + \sum_i m_i \ln m_i.$$
(9)

The last equality allows estimating the entropy of f for the invariant measure  $\mu$ , where the flow m is an approximation of  $\mu$ .

# 8 Flow with maximal entropy

Let  $\Pi$  be the matrix of admissible transitions for a graph G. Our objective is to construct the flow which has maximal entropy among all the flows on G. As any flow is grouped on a component of recurrent vertices, it may be thought that G consists from one component.

**Theorem. 7** There is a flow m on G such that:

$$h_m = h(G) = \ln \lambda.$$

Proof.

1. Eigenvalues of any real matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  coincide with the eigenvalues of the transposed (conjugate) matrix  $A^*$ . Really, as det  $A = \det A^*$ , then

$$\det(A - \lambda E) = \det(A - \lambda E)^* = \det(A^* - \overline{\lambda} E).$$

Hence to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of A corresponds the conjunctive eigenvalue  $\overline{\lambda}$  of  $A^*$ . The roots of a real characteristic polynomial are either real or complexconjugate, hence the eigenvalues of the matrices A and  $A^*$  coincide.

2. Let A be the matrix of admissible transitions of a graph G and  $\lambda$  be the maximal eigenvalue from the Perron-Frobenius theorem. Then for A there exists a left eigenvector e with nonnegative coordinates  $e_i, \sum_i e_i = 1,$  such that

$$eA = \lambda e, \ A^*e = \lambda e.$$

Hence for every i we have

$$\sum_{j} a_{ji} e_j = \lambda e_i,\tag{10}$$

which leads to the equality

$$\sum_{j} \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda e_i} = 1$$

for every i. Hence a matrix of the form

$$P = \left(p_{ij} = \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda e_i}\right)$$

is the stohastic matrix for which vector e is a stationary distribution:

$$eP = e.$$

The distribution on edges  $i \to j$  defined by

$$m_{ij} = p_{ij}e_i = \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda}$$

is the flow m on the graph G such that the measure  $m_i$  of the vertex i equals  $e_i$ . The entropy of m is calculated by the formula

$$h_m = -\sum_{ij} m_{ij} \ln m_{ij} + \sum_i m_i \ln m_i.$$

Hence

$$h_m = -\sum_{ij} \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda} \ln \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda} + \sum_i e_i \ln e_i.$$

Here we assume that  $0 \ln 0 = 0$ . That means that the sum is taken over i, j for which  $a_{ij} = 1$ . Thus we obtain

$$h_m = -\sum_{ij} \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda} (\ln a_{ij} + \ln e_i - \ln \lambda) + \sum_i e_i \ln e_i =$$
$$(\sum_i (\sum_j \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda}) \ln \lambda - \sum_i (\sum_j \frac{a_{ji}e_j}{\lambda}) \ln e_i + \sum_i e_i \ln e_i =$$
$$\ln \lambda \sum_i e_i - \sum_i e_i \ln e_i + \sum_i e_i \ln e_i = \ln \lambda.$$

The proof is completed.

## References

- Alekseev V. Simvolicheskaia dynamika, 11 matematicheskaia shcola, Izd. instituta matematiki AN SSSR, Kiev, 1976. (in Russian)
- [2] Ampilova N., Soloviev I. Entropies in investigation of dynamical systems and their application to digital image analysis. Journal of Measurements in Engineering, 6, No. 2, 107-118(2018) https://doi.org/10.21595/jme.2018.19891
- [3] Bowen R. Symbolic Dynamics, Ann. Math. Soc., 8 (1982), Providence.
- [4] Boltzmann L. Sitzungsber. Kaiserl. Akad. Wiss. 66 (2) 275 (1872)
- [5] Clausius R. Ueber verschiedene f
  ür die Anwendung bequeme Formen der Hauptgleichungen der mechanischen W
  ärmetheorie. Annalen der Physik und Chemie,125,No.7, 353-400 (1865).
- [6] Dinaburg E. Sviaz megdu razlichnimi entropiinimi characteristicami dynamicheskih system, Izv. AN SSSR, matem. 35, No.2,324-366(1971). (in Russian)
- [7] Downarowicz T. Entropy in dynamical systems. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2011.
- [8] Gantmaher F. Teoria matrits, M., Nauka, 1967. (in Russian)
- [9] Goodman T. Relating topological entropy and measure theoretic entropy. Bulletin of London Math. Soc. 3, No. 2, 176-180 (1971.
- [10] Hsu C., S. Cell-to-Cell Mapping, Springer-Verlag, N.Y., 1987.
- [11] Katok A., Hasselblat B. Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [12] Kolmogorov A. Novii metricheskii invariant transitivnih dynamicheskih system i avtomorphismov prostranstv Lebega", Dokl. AN SSSR, 119, No.5,861-864 (1958) (in Russian)

- [13] Kryloff N., Bogollouboff N. La theorie generale de la mesure dans son application a l'etude das systemes dynamiques de la mecanique non lineaire, Ann. Math., 38, No.1,65-113 (1937).
- [14] Lind D., Marcus B. An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [15] Nemitsky V., Stepanov V. Kachestvennaia teoria differentialnih uravnenii, Moskva-Leningrad: GITL, 1949.(in Russian)
- [16] Osipenko G. O simvolicheskom obraze dinamicheskoi systemi, Kraevie zadachi, Sb.trudov, Perm, 101-105(1983). (in Russian)
- [17] Osipenko G. Dynamical systems, Graphs, and Algorithms. Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 1889, Berlin: Springer, 2007.
- [18] Osipenko G. Symbolic images and invariant measures of dynamical systems. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 30, 1217–1237 (2010).
- [19] Prasolov V. Elementi combinatornoi i and differentialnoi topologii, M.: MCNMO, 2004. (in Russian)
- [20] Shannon C. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. Bell System Technical Journal. 27, No. (3), 379–423 (July 1948). doi:10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x. hdl:11858/00-001M-0000-002C-4314-2.
- [21] Shannon C. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. Bell System Technical Journal. 27, No.4, 623–666 (October 1948. doi:10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb00917.x. hdl:11858/00-001M-0000-002C-4314-2.