Genplesite, $Ca_3Sn(SO_4)_2(OH)_6 \cdot 3H_2O$, a new mineral of the fleischerite group: first occurrence of a tin sulfate in nature

IGOR V. PEKOV^{1,*}, EVGENY V. SEREDA², NATALIA V. ZUBKOVA¹, VASILIY O. YAPASKURT¹, NIKITA V. CHUKANOV^{1,3}, SERGEY N. BRITVIN⁴, INNA S. LYKOVA^{1,5} and DMITRY Y. PUSHCHAROVSKY¹

¹ Faculty of Geology, Moscow State University, Vorobievy Gory, 119991 Moscow, Russia *Corresponding author, e-mail: igorpekov@mail.ru

² Norilskgeologiya, PO Box 889, 663330 Talnakh, Norilsk, Krasnoyarsk Krai, Russia

³ Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region

142432, Russia

⁴ Department of Crystallography, St Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya Nab. 7/9, St Petersburg 199034, Russia

⁵ Fersman Mineralogical Museum of Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky Prospekt 18-2, Moscow 119071, Russia

Abstract: The new mineral genplesite, $Ca_3Sn(SO_4)_2(OH)_6-3H_2O$, the first natural tin sulfate, is found in cavities in massive chalcopyrite ore at the Oktyabr'skoe Cu-Ni-Pd-Pt deposit (Oktyabr'sky mine), Talnakh, Norilsk district, Siberia, Russia, It is a latestage hydrothermal mineral associated with greenalite, chamosite, pectolite, ferroactinolite, calcite and fluorapatite. Genplesite occurs as equant, short prismatic or thick tabular hexagonal crystals up to 0.5 mm and crystal clusters up to 0.6×1.2 mm. The major crystal forms are $\{100\}$ and $\{001\}$ whereas $\{102\}$ and $\{101\}$ are minor. Genplesite is transparent, colourless, with vitreous lustre. It is brittle, the Mohs hardness is ca. 3. Cleavage is not observed, the fracture is conchoidal. $D_{\text{meas}} = 2.78(1)$, $D_{\text{calc}} = 2.773 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$. Genplesite is optically uniaxial (-), $\omega = 1.597(2)$, $\varepsilon = 1.572(2)$. The IR spectrum is reported. The chemical composition (wt%, electron microprobe data, H₂O calculated for 3 molecules per formula unit, pfu) is: CaO 28.67, Al₂O₃ 0.11, GeO₂ 0.50, SnO₂ 24.20, SO₃ 27.25, H₂O_{calc} 18.34, total 99.07. The empirical formula calculated on the basis of 17 O apfu is: $Ca_{3.01}(Sn_{0.95}Ge_{0.03}Al_{0.01})_{\Sigma 0.99}S_{2.01}O_8(OH)_6$; $3H_2O$. Genplesite is hexagonal, $P6_3/mmc$, a = 8.5139(2), c = 11.1408(3) Å, V = 699.37(1) Å³ and Z=2. The strongest reflections of the powder X-ray diffraction pattern [d, Å (I)(hkl)] are: 7.38(68)(100), 4.259(46) (110), 3.503(15)(201), 3.383(100)(112), 2.616(13)(203), 2.493(14)(212), 2.249(14)(302) and 2.130(17)(105, 220). Genplesite is a member of the fleischerite group. Its crystal structure was solved from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data (R = 0.016). The major building unit of the structure is a column consisting of isolated Sn⁴⁺(OH)₆ octahedra and trimers of edgesharing $CaO_2(OH)_4(H_2O)_2$ polyhedra. Adjacent columns are interconnected via H₂O molecules and SO₄ tetrahedra. Genplesite is named in honour of Gennadiy N. Plesin (b. 1963), a mine surveyor at the Oktyabr'sky mine and an amateur mineralogist who found the mineral.

Key-words: genplesite; new mineral; tin sulfate; fleischerite group; ettringite group; crystal structure; Oktyabr'skoe deposit; Norilsk.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the first natural sulfate with species-defining tin. It was found in a late-stage hydrothermal assemblage related to sulfide ores of the huge Oktyabr'skoe Cu–Ni–Pd–Pt deposit, operated by the Oktyabr'sky mine, in the Norilsk district, Krasnoyarsk Krai, Siberia, Russia. This new member of the fleischerite group was named genplesite (Cyrillic: генплесит) in honor of Gennadiy Nikolaevich Plesin (born 1963), a mine surveyor at the Oktyabr'sky mine and an amateur mineralogist who has made a significant contribution to the mineralogy of ore deposits of the Norilsk district. In particular, he collected and provided samples that became the holotypes of three mineral species, ferrotochilinite

(Pekov *et al.*, 2013a), ferrovalleriite (Pekov *et al.*, 2013b) and genplesite described herein.

Both the new mineral and its name have been approved by the IMA Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (IMA2014-034). The type specimen of genplesite is deposited in the systematic collection of the Fersman Mineralogical Museum of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, with the catalogue number 94618.

2. Occurrence and general appearance

Many publications are devoted to the famous Oktyabr'skoe deposit. The general description of the deposit, its ores and mineralogy can be found in Genkin *et al.* (1981) and Gorbachev (2006).



Fig. 1. Numerous transparent, colourless crystals of genplesite (marked with arrows) on greenalite crust in a cavity in massive chalcopyrite. Field of view 5.8 mm. Photo: I.V. Pekov & A.V. Kasatkin.

Specimens bearing the new mineral were collected by G. N. Plesin in March 2013 at the No. 1 shaft (level -750 m) of the Oktyabr'sky mine, Talnakh city, Norilsk district.

Genplesite was found in the cavernous part (about 0.3 m across) of a massive chalcopyrite body located at the contact between a rich mooihoekite–chalcopyrite ore and gabbro-dolerite with embedded chalcopyrite. The new mineral occurs on greenish-grey greenalite crystalline crusts lining walls of roundish caverns (up to 1 cm in diameter) in a massive chalcopyrite (with subordinate pentlandite) ore (Fig. 1). Some genplesite crystals were observed on brown chamosite spherulites overgrowing greenalite crusts. Other minerals found in these cavities are pectolite, ferroactinolite, calcite and fluorapatite.

Genplesite occurs as hexagonal, equant, short prismatic or thick tabular crystals. Their major forms are the hexagonal prism {100} and the pinacoid {001}; the hexagonal dipyramidal faces {102} and {101} were observed on some crystals (Figs. 2 and 3). Crystal forms were determined after observations under scanning electron microscope. Gear-like parallel intergrowths of crystals are typical (Figs. 2a and 3c). Genplesite crystals are up to 0.5 mm across, gear-like parallel intergrowths are up to 0.6×1 mm and crystal clusters are up to 0.6×1.2 mm in size.

3. Physical properties

Genplesite is transparent, colourless, with a white streak and a vitreous lustre, brittle, with a Mohs hardness of *ca*. 3. No distinct cleavage or parting were observed and the fracture is conchoidal. Density measured by flotation in heavy liquids (bromoform + dimethylformamide) is 2.78 (1) and the density calculated using the empirical formula is $2.773 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$.

Genplesite is optically uniaxial (-), $\omega = 1.597(2)$, $\varepsilon = 1.572(2)$ (589 nm). Under the microscope it is colourless and non-pleochroic.





Fig. 2. Parallel intergrowth of genplesite crystals (a) and its magnified fragment (b). Genplesite overgrows greenalite crust. Scanning electron microscope (secondary electrons) image.



Fig. 3. Genplesite crystals (a-b) and their parallel intergrowth (c).

4. Infrared spectroscopy

Absorption bands in the IR spectrum of genplesite (Fig. 4) and their assignments are (cm⁻¹, s – strong band, w – weak band, sh – shoulder): 3520 sh, 3451 s, 3365 s (O–H stretching vibrations of H₂O molecules and OH groups), 1663, 1550 sh (H–O–H bending vibrations of H₂O molecules; splitting of the band is due to the bifurcation

377



Fig. 4. IR spectra of genplesite (1) and schaurteite from Tsumeb, Namibia (2, after Chukanov, 2014).

of the H-bond formed by the H₂O molecule; see footnote to Table 5), 1172 s, 1119 s $[\nu_3(F_2) - \text{asymmetric stretching}$ vibrations of SO₄²⁻ anions], 1010 w $(\nu_1(A_1) - \text{symmetric stretching vibrations of SO₄²⁻ anions), 959 w, 932 w, 798 w (Sn···O–H bending vibrations), 651, 627 <math>(\nu_4(F_2) - \text{bending vibrations})$, 457 (possible librational vibrations of H₂O molecules). The presence of $\nu_1(A_1)$ at 1010 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the nondegenerate S–O stretching mode, as well as the splitting of the band $\nu_3(F_2)$ of the SO₄ group (the doublet 1119 + 1172 cm⁻¹) reflect some distortion of SO₄ tetrahedra.

Three bands of O–H stretching vibrations in the range $3300-3600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ correspond to three normal modes (O–H stretching mode of the OH group and in-phase and out-of-phase stretching modes of the H₂O molecule).

¹ Characteristic bands of BO_3^{3-} , CO_3^{2-} and NO_3^{-} groups are absent in the IR spectrum of genplesite.

The IR spectrum of the new mineral is similar to that of its Ge-analogue schaurteite Ca₃Ge(SO₄)₂(OH)₆·3H₂O (Fig. 4). The main differences between IR spectra of these sulfates are observed in the ranges of O–H stretching (3300–3600 cm⁻¹) and *M*–O stretching (530–560 cm⁻¹) vibrations of the M^{4+} (OH)₆ octahedra (M=Sn, Ge).

5. Chemical composition

The chemical composition of genplesite was determined using a Jeol JSM-6480LV scanning electron microscope equipped with an INCA-Wave 500 wavelength-dispersive spectrometer (Laboratory of Analytical Techniques of High Spatial Resolution, Dept. of Petrology, Moscow State University), with an acceleration voltage of 20 kV and a beam current of 15 nA; the electron beam was rastered over an area of $10 \times 10 \,\mu$ m to minimize damage to the unstable, highly hydrated mineral. The following standards were used: CaWO₄ (Ca), Al₂O₃ (Al), Ge (Ge), SnS (Sn), and FeS₂ (S). Contents of other elements with

I _{obs}	$d_{\rm obs},{\rm \AA}$	$I_{\rm calc}^{*}$	$d_{\text{calc}}, \text{\AA}^{**}$	hkl
68	7.38	56	7.373	100
12	6.15	9	6.148	101
11	5.587	5	5.570	002
11	4.446	8	4.445	102
46	4.259	44	4.257	110
15	3.503	12	3.500	201
100	3.383	100	3.382	112
4	3 319	1	3 317	103
6	3.076	5	3.074	2.0.2
8	2 787	3 6	2 787 2 785	210 004
3	2 705	1	2 704	210,001
13	2.616	15	2.616	203
6	2.610	4	2.606	104
14	2.001	20	2.000	212
2	2.155	1	2.152	300
3	2.457	3	2.430	301
2	2.402	3	2 3 3 1	114
14	2.330	22	2.331	302
8	2.24)	8 6	2.249	213 204
17	2.227	6 24	2.229, 2.222	105 220
6	2.150	0, 24	2.133, 2.128	303
1	2.030	0.5	2.030	311
5	2.013	0.5	1 970	214
2	1.971	1	1.970	217
2	1.920	2	1.920	205
1	1.900	1	1.907	006
1	1 844	5	1.837	304
3	1.819	4	1 819	401
2	1.800	3	1.801	106
3	1 751	5	1 750	402
3	1.742	6	1.740	215
3	1.702	6	1.702	116
11	1.692	6.19	1.692, 1.691	320.224
2	1.659	5	1.658	206
4	1.650	1, 8	1.651, 1.648	403, 314
1	1.620	1	1.619	322
2	1.610	4	1.609	410
1	1.593	0.5	1.592	411
10	1.547	15, 4	1.546, 1.545	412,216
3	1.483	7	1.482	306
2	1.462	3	1.461	207
2	1.447	4	1.446	324
2	1.427	2	1.426	502
3	1.420	5	1.419	330
3	1.394	0.5, 3, 2	1.393, 1.393, 1.393	420, 414, 008
2	1.384	2, 0.5	1.383, 1.382	421,217
5	1.376	7,4	1.375, 1.375	332, 316
1	1.352	2	1.352	422
1	1.325	1	1.324	118
1	1.317	0.5	1.315	511
2	1.305	3, 0.5	1.305, 1.304	423, 415
2	1.289	2	1.288	512
2	1.230	1, 3	1.230, 1.229	505,600
2	1.217	7	1.216	416
2	1.206	1, 4	1.205, 1.205	431,407
1	1.197	2	1.196	514
2	1.184	2	1.184	432

Table 1. Powder X-ray diffraction data for genplesite.

* For the calculated pattern, only reflections with intensities ≥ 0.5 are given;

^{*} for the unit-cell parameters calculated from single-crystal data.

Formula $Ca_3Sn(SO_4)_2(OH)_6 \cdot 3H_2O$ Formula weight587.15Tormerature K203(2)	
Formula weight 587.15 Temperature K 203(2)	
Temperature V 203(2)	
295(2)	
Radiation and wavelength, Å Mo $K\alpha$; 0.71073	
Crystal system, space group, Z Hexagonal, $P6_3/mmc$, 2	
Unit cell dimensions, Å $a = 8.5139(2) c = 11.1408(3)$	
<i>V</i> , Å ³ 699.37(3)	
Absorption coefficient μ , mm ⁻¹ 3.318	
ρ_{calc} for the ideal formula, g cm ⁻³ 2.788	
F ₀₀₀ 580	
Crystal size, mm $0.14 \times 0.14 \times 0.22$	
Diffractometer Xcalibur S CCD	
θ range for data collection, ° 2.76–34.77	
Index ranges $-13 \le h \le 13, -13 \le k \le 13$,
$-17 \le l \le 17$	
Reflections collected 17960	
Independent reflections $613 (R_{int} = 0.0367)$	
Independent reflections with 590	
$I > 2\sigma(I)$	
Structure solution direct methods	
Refinement method full-matrix least-squares on <i>H</i>	72
Number of refined parameters 35	
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0162, wR2 = 0.0414$	
<i>R</i> indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0178$, w <i>R</i> 2 = 0.0420	
Weighting parameters a, b 0.0183, 0.4311	
GoF 1.255	
Largest diff. peak and hole, $e/Å^3 = 0.325$ and -0.783	

Table 2. Crystal data, data collection information and structure refinement details for genplesite.

atomic numbers higher than carbon are below detection limit. H_2O was not determined because of the scarcity of material and its content was calculated on the basis of the structural data (see below), for 3 H_2O molecules per formula unit (*pfu*).

The chemical composition of genplesite (average of 4 spot analyses; wt%, with ranges/standard deviations in parantheses) is: CaO 28.67 (28.49-28.84 / 0.15), Al₂O₃ 0.11 (0.04-0.16/0.06), GeO₂ 0.50 (0.35-0.63/0.13), SnO₂ 24.20 (24.02-24.45/0.19), SO₃ 27.25 (27.06-27.53/0.20), H₂O_{calc} 18.34, total 99.07 wt%.

The empirical formula calculated on the basis of 17 O apfu is Ca_{3.01}(Sn_{0.95}Ge_{0.03}Al_{0.01}) $\Sigma_{0.99}$ S_{2.01}O₈(OH)₆·3H₂O. The idealized formula is Ca₃Sn(SO₄)₂(OH)₆·3H₂O, which requires CaO 28.65, SnO₂ 25.66, SO₃ 27.28, H₂O 18.41, total 100.00 wt%.

The Gladstone-Dale compatibility index $1-(K_p/K_c)$ is -0.016 (superior) if D_{meas} is used or -0.019 (superior) if D_{calc} is used.

6. X-ray crystallography and crystal structure

Powder X-ray diffraction data of genplesite (Table 1) were collected with a Rigaku R-AXIS Rapid II singlecrystal diffractometer equipped with cylindrical image plate detector (radius 127.4 mm) using Debye-Scherrer geometry, Co $K\alpha$ radiation (rotating anode with Vari-MAX microfocus optics), 40 kV, 15 mA, 15 min exposure. The angular resolution of the detector was $0.045 \ 2\theta$ (pixel size 0.1 mm). The data were integrated using the software package Osc2Tab (Britvin *et al.*, 2017).

The hexagonal unit-cell parameters refined from the powder data are: a=8.518(1), c=11.149(2) Å and V=700.6(3) Å³.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of genplesite was carried out using an Xcalibur S diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector. A full sphere of three-dimensional data was collected. The measured intensities were corrected for Lorentz, background, polarization and absorption effects. Data reduction was performed using CrysAlisPro Version 1.171.35.21 (Agilent Technologies, 2012). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined using the SHELX-97 software package (Sheldrick, 2008) to R = 0.016 for 590 independent reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. Hydrogen atoms were located from the difference Fourier electron-density maps and refined freely. Both H atoms form O-H…O hydrogen bonds; moreover, the H bond formed by the H₂O molecule could be considered as bifurcated due to the presence of an O(4)(in the H₂O molecule) – O(2) distance of 3.0051(15) Å, confirmed by the IR spectroscopy data. The crystal data and the experimental details are presented in Table 2, atom coordinates and displacement parameters in Table 3, selected interatomic distances in Table 4, hydrogen-bond geometry in Table 5 and bond-valence calculations in Table 6.

The crystal structure of genplesite (Fig. 5a) is typical for fleischerite-group minerals (Table 7). Its major building unit is a column parallel to [0 0 1], which consists of isolated Sn⁴⁺(OH)₆ octahedra and trimers of edge-sharing CaO₂(OH)₄(H₂O)₂ polyhedra (Fig. 5b). Neighbouring columns are interconnected by Ca-centred polyhedra sharing oxygen atoms of H₂O molecules O(4) and through SO₄ tetrahedra, sharing three O vertices O(2) with Cacentred polyhedra (Fig. 5a). The O(1) apical vertices of the SO₄ tetrahedra are H-bonded to the O atoms of the H₂O molecules (Table 6). According to Pushcharovsky *et al.* (1998), the structural formula of genplesite could be given as ${}^{3}_{\infty}$ [Ca₃^[8]Sn⁰S^tO₈(OH)₆(H₂O)₃].

7. Discussion

Genplesite Ca₃Sn⁴⁺(SO₄)₂(OH)₆ · 3H₂O is a new member of the fleischerite group (Table 7), and is a tin analogue of schaurteite, Ca₃Ge⁴⁺(SO₄)₂(OH)₆·3H₂O, and despujolsite, Ca₃Mn⁴⁺(SO₄)₂(OH)₆·3H₂O. All these minerals are hexagonal with the unit-cell parameters in the range 8.51– 8.94 Å for *a* and 10.76–11.14 Å for *c*. Genplesite and schaurteite are isotypic (both crystallize in space group $P6_3/mmc$), whereas other fleischerite-group members possess different space groups: despujolsite and fleischerite crystallize in the space group *P*-62*c*, and mallestigite crystallizes in the space group *P*6₃. Origlieri & Downs (2013) noted that, although schaurteite and fleischerite are minerals from the same deposit, no significant Pb \leftrightarrow Ca

Site	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m eq}$	Q
Sn	0	0	0	0.00817(7)	2
Ca	0.30866(6)	0.15433(3)	1/4	0.01008(9)	6
S	1/3	2/3	0.52868(6)	0.00881(11)	4
O(1)	1/3	2/3	0.10438(18)	0.0166(4)	4
O(2)	0.47792(18)	0.23896(9)	0.07082(12)	0.0195(2)	12
O(3)	0.10624(8)	0.21249(16)	0.11835(10)	0.01105(19)	12
O(4)	0.50906(13)	0.49094(13)	3/4	0.0165(3)	6
H(1)	0.152(2)	0.304(5)	0.084(3)	$0.031(8)^{*}$	12
H(2)	0.537(2)	0.463(2)	0.695(3)	0.050(11)*	12

Table 3. Atom coordinates, displacement parameters (U_{eq} , in Å²) and site multiplicities (Q) for genplesite.

* $U_{\rm iso}$.

Table 4. Selected interatomic distances (Å) in the structure of genplesite.

Sn – O(3)	2.0477(11) × 6
Ca - O(2) - O(3) - O(4)	2.3542(13) × 2 2.4939(7) × 4 2.6159(10) × 2
S – O(2) – O(1)	1.4687(13) × 3 1.482(2)
O(3) - H(1)	0.78(3)
O(4) - H(2)	0.74(3) × 2

Table 5. Hydrogen-bond geometry $(\text{\AA}, ^{\circ})$ in the structure of genplesite.

$D - H \cdots A$	D - H	H…A	$D \cdots A$	$\angle (D - H \cdots A)$
$ \begin{array}{l} O(3) - H(1) \cdots O(2) \\ O(4) - H(2) \cdots O(1) \end{array} $	0.78(3)	2.14(3)	2.8761(18)	157(3)
	0.74(3)	2.16(3)	2.834(2)	152(3)

Table 6. Bond-valence calculations for genplesite.

	Sn	Ca	S	Σ	H-bonding	Σ
O(1) O(2) O(3) = OH O(4) = H O	0.68 ×6↓	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \times 2\downarrow \\ 0.24 \times 4\downarrow \times 2 \rightarrow \\ 0.17 \times 2\downarrow \times 2 \rightarrow \end{array}$	1.47 1.52 ×3↓	1.47 1.87 1.16 0.34	+0.17 $^{\times 3}$ (O(4)) +0.16 (O(3)) -0.16 (O(2)) 0.17 $^{\times 2}$ (O(1))	1.98 2.03 1.00
Σ	4.08	2.00	6.03	0.54	-0.17 (O(1))	0.00

Bond-valence parameters were taken from Brese & O'Keeffe (1991) for Sn–O, Ca–O and S–O and from Ferraris & Ivaldi (1988) for H-bonding.

substitution is observed. This could be explained by the stereochemical activity of lone electron pairs on Pb^{2+} cations in fleischerite, which disrupt the centrosymmetry of the whole structure (Origlieri & Downs, 2013).

The existence of two Ge members of the fleischerite group, namely fleischerite, $Pb_3Ge(SO_4)_2(OH)_6\cdot 3H_2O$, and schaurteite, demonstrates a strong affinity of fleischerite-type structures for Ge^{4+} . Genplesite also contains up to 0.6 wt% GeO₂.

The general formula of the fleischerite-group minerals is $A^{2+}{}_{3}M(TO_{4})_{2}(OH)_{6}{}_{3}H_{2}O$ with species-defining A = Caor Pb, $M = Ge^{4+}$, Mn^{4+} , Sn^{4+} or Sb^{5+} , and $T = S^{6+}$ or As^{5+} (Table 7). In their structures, the heteropolyhedral columns formed by the A- and M-centred polyhedra are topologically the same as polyhedral columns found in the crystal structures of ettringite-group minerals, which have the general formula $Ca_6M_2(OH)_{12}R_{3.4}\cdot nH_2O$, in which $M = Al^{3+}$, Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Si^{4+} , Mn^{4+} or Ge^{4+} are species-defining cations, $R = SO_4^{2-}$, CO_3^{2-} , SO_3^{2-} , PO_3OH^{2-} or $B(OH)_4^{-}$ are species-defining anions and n = 11-13 (Pöllmann *et al.*, 1989; Pekov *et al.*, 2012; Chukanov *et al.*, 2016). In the crystal structures of ettringite-group minerals and isostructural synthetic compounds (all are hexagonal or trigonal, with *P* unit cells), the columns formed by the $M(OH)_6$ octahedra and trimers of edge-sharing Ca-centred polyhedra are connected with *R* anions by a complex system of H-bonds. In less hydrated fleischerite-group minerals, topologically similar columns are instead



Fig. 5. The crystal structures of genplesite (a, b) and thaumasite (c, d: drawn after Effenberger *et al.*, 1983). Figures (a) and (c) show a general view of the structures projected on the *ab* plane; (b) the column formed by $Sn(OH)_6$ octahedra and trimers of $CaO_2(OH)_4(H_2O)_2$ polyhedra in genplesite; (d) the column formed by $Si(OH)_6$ octahedra and trimers of $Ca(OH)_4(H_2O)_4$ polyhedra in thaumasite. Hydrogen atoms are black circles. The unit cells are outlined.

directly linked *via* SO₄ tetrahedra. This causes a significant difference in the *a* unit-cell parameter between ettringitegroup minerals (10.8–11.3 Å) and Ca representatives of the fleischerite group (8.5–8.6 Å), whereas their *c* parameters do not differ essentially: 10.4–10.6 Å (or 21.0–21.9 Å for the ettringite-group minerals with a *c*-doubled unit cell) and 10.8–11.3 Å, respectively: see Table 7 and comparative data reported by Pekov *et al.* (2012) and Chukanov *et al.* (2016). Figure 5 depicts the above-mentioned features of the structure of genplesite as a fleischerite-group member, compared to the most widespread ettringite-group mineral thaumasite, Ca₃Si(OH)₆(CO₃) (SO₄)·12H₂O.

Genplesite is a late-stage low-temperature hydrothermal mineral. No sign of influence of supergene processes was observed in this orebody situated at significant depth. The sulfide ores of the Oktyabr'skoe deposit are relatively enriched in tin and sporadically contain Sn sulfides, cassiterite and numerous intermetallic compounds with species-defining Sn with Pd or Pt (Gorbachev, 2006). Thus the formation of tin minerals in the hydrothermal assemblages related to such ores is not unexpected.

Acknowledgements: We thank Sergey Aksenov and an anonymous referee for valuable comments and Sergey V. Krivovichev for the editorial work. This study was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant no. 15-05-02051-a. The technical support by the SPbSU X-Ray Diffraction Research Resource Center in the powder X-ray diffraction studies is acknowledged.

Mineral	Genplesite	Schaurteite	Despujolsite	Fleischerite	Mallestigite
Formula Crystal system Space group	Ca ₃ Sn(SO ₄) ₂ (OH) ₆ .3H ₂ O Hexagonal $P6_3/mmc$	Ca ₃ Ge(SO ₄) ₂ (OH) ₆ ·3H ₂ O Hexagonal P6 ₃ /mmc ° c 5 c	Ca ₃ Mn ⁴⁺ (SO ₄) ₂ (OH) ₆ :3H ₂ O Hexagonal <i>P</i> -62 <i>c</i> o c1 o c2	Pb ₃ Ge(SO ₄) ₂ (OH) ₆ .3H ₂ O Hexagonal P -62 c	Pb ₃ Sb ⁵⁺ (SO ₄)(AsO ₄)(OH) ₆ .3H ₂ C Hexagonal <i>P</i> 6 ₃ ° 0.30°
a, A c, A	0.014 11.141	10.80	0.24-0.20 10.76-10.81	8.09 10.86	0.9.0 11.098
$V, Å^3$	669	680	683	743	768
Ζ	2	2	2	2	2
	7.38–68	7.40–50	7.40–60	7.681-50	7.74–25
	4.259–46	4.26–70	4.26 - 80	3.619 - 100	6.35-44
Strong lines of the	3.503 - 15	3.49–50	$3.34{-}100$	3.437 - 60	3.655 - 100
powder X-ray diffraction	3.383 - 100	$3.34{-}100$	2.570-60	2.800-50	3.481 - 80
pattern: d , $\mathbf{\ddot{A}} - I$, %	2.493 - 14	2.579–50	2.129-80	2.635 - 80	3.175-31
	2.249 - 14	2.239–50	2.025-60	2.214-60	2.675-62
	2.130 - 17	2.129–60		1.889-60	2.235-35
Density, g · cm ⁻³ <i>Optical data</i>	2.78 (meas.)	2.65 (meas.)	2.46 (meas.)	4.59 (calc.)	4.91 (calc.)
·з	1.597	1.569	1.656	1.747	1.801
ω	1.572	1.581	1.682	1.776	1.760
Optical sign	1	+	+	+	+
References	this work	Strunz & Tennyson (1967); Origlieri & Downs (2013)	Gaudefroy <i>et al.</i> (1968); Barkley <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Frondel & Strunz (1960); Otto (1975)	Sima (1998)

References

- Agilent Technologies (2012): CrysAlisPro Software system, version 1.171.35.21, Agilent Technologies UK Ltd, Oxford, UK.
- Barkley, M.C., Yang, H., Evans, S.H., Downs, R.T., Origlieri, M.J. (2011): Redetermination of despujolsite, Ca₃Mn⁴⁺(SO₄)₂(OH)₆·3H₂O. Acta Crystallogr., E67, i47–i48.
- Brese, N.E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991): Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta Crystallogr., B47, 192–197.
- Britvin, S.N., Dolivo-Dobrovolsky, D.V., Krzhizhanovskaya, M.G. (2017): Software for processing the X-ray powder diffraction data obtained from the curved image plate detector of Rigaku RAXIS Rapid II diffractometer. *Zapiski RMO*, **146**, 104–107 (in Russian).
- Chukanov, N.V. (2014): Infrared Spectra of Mineral Species: Extended Library. Springer Verlag, Dordrecht.
- Chukanov, N.V., Kasatkin, A.V., Zubkova, N.V., Britvin, S.N., Pautov, L.A., Pekov, I.V., Varlamov, D.A., Bychkova, Y.V., Loskutov, A.B., Novgorodova E.A. (2016): Tatarinovite, Ca₃Al (SO₄)[B(OH)₄](OH)₆·12H₂O, a new ettringite-group mineral from the Bazhenovskoe deposit, Middle Urals, Russia, and its crystal structure. *Geol. Ore Dep.*, **58**, 653–665 [translated from: *Zap. Rus. Mineral. Soc.*, 145, 48–67 (in Russian)].
- Effenberger, H., Kirfel, A., Will, G., Zobetz, E. (1983): A further refinement of the crystal structure of thaumasite, Ca₃Si(OH)₆CO₃SO₄·12H₂O. *N. Jb. Mineral. Mh.*, **1983**, 60–68.
- Ferraris, G. & Ivaldi, G. (1988): Bond valence vs bond length in O···O hydrogen bonds. Acta Crystallogr., B44, 341–344.
- Frondel, C. & Strunz, H (1960): Fleischerit und Itoit, zwei neue Germanium-Mineralien von Tsumeb. N. Jb. Mineral. Mh., 1960, 132–142.
- Gaudefroy, C., Granger, M.-M., Permingeat, F., Protas, J. (1968): La despujolsite, une nouvelle espèce minérale. *Bull. Soc. Franç. Minéral. Cristallogr.*, **91**, 43–50.
- Genkin, A.D., Distler, V.V., Gladyshev, G.D., Filimonova, A.A., Evstigneeva, T.L., Kovalenker, V.A., Laputina, I.P., Smirnov, A. V., Grokhovskaya, T.L. (1981): Sulfide Copper-Nickel Ores of the Norilsk Deposits. Nauka Publishing, Moscow, 234 p. (in Russian).
- Gorbachev, N.S. (2006): Mineralogical and geochemical zoning and genesis of massive sulfide ores at the Oktyabr'sky deposit. *Geol. Ore Dep.*, 48, 473–488.
- Origlieri, M.J. & Downs, R.T. (2013): Schaurteite, Ca₃Ge (SO₄)₂(OH)₆·3H₂O. Acta Crystallogr., E67, i6.
- Otto, H.H. (1975): Die Kristallstruktur von Fleischerit, Pb₃Ge [(OH)₆|(SO₄)₂]·3H₂O, sowie kristallchemische Untersuchungen an isotypen Verbindungen. N. Jb. Mineral. Abh., **123**, 160–190.
- Pekov, I.V, Chukanov, N.V., Britvin, S.N., Kabalov, Y.K., Göttlicher, J., Yapaskurt, V.O, Zadov, A.E., Krivovichev, S.V., Schüller, W., Ternes, B. (2012): The sulfite anion in ettringite-group minerals: a new mineral species hielscherite, Ca₃Si(OH)₆(SO₄)(SO₃)·11H₂O, and the thaumasite-hielscherite solid-solution series. *Mineral. Mag.*, **76**, 1133–1152.
- Pekov, I.V., Sereda, E.V., Polekhovsky, Y.S., Britvin, S.N., Chukanov, N.V., Yapaskurt, V.O., Bryzgalov, I.A. (2013a): Ferrotochilinite, 6FeS·5Fe(OH)₂, a new mineral from the Oktyabr'sky deposit, Norilsk district, Siberia, Russia. *Geol. Ore Dep.*, **55**, 567–574. [translated from: *Zap. Rus. Mineral. Soc.*, 141, 1–11 (in Russian)].

Downloaded from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/eurjmin/article-pdf/30/2/375/4298180/ejm_30_2_0375_0382_pekov_2722_online.pdf

by St Petersburg State University user on 09 September 2018

Table 7. Comparative data for fleischerite-group minerals

- Pekov, I.V., Sereda, E.V., Yapaskurt, V.O., Polekhovsky, Y.S., Britvin, S.N., Chukanov, N.V. (2013b): Ferrovalleriite, 2(Fe, Cu)S·1.5Fe(OH)₂: validation as a mineral species and new data. *Geol. Ore Dep.*, **55**, 637–647. [translated from: *Zap. Rus. Mineral. Soc.*, 141, 29–43 (in Russian)].
- Pöllmann, H., Kuzel, H.-J., Wenda, R. (1989): Compounds with ettringite structure. N. Jb. Mineral. Abh., 160, 133–158.
- Pushcharovsky, D. Yu., Lima de-Faria, J., Rastsvetaeva, R.K. (1998): Main structural subdivisions and structural formulas of sulphate minerals. *Zeit. Kristallogr.*, 213, 141–150.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (2008): A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr., A64, 112–122.
- Sima, I. (1998): Mallestigit, Pb₃Sb(SO₄)(AsO₄)(OH)₆·3H₂O, ein neues Mineral von einer Halde des ehemaligen Cu-Pb-Zn-Bergbaues NW des Mallestiger Mittagskogels in den Westkarawanken, Kärnten, Österreich. *Mitt. Österr: Mineral. Gesell.*, **143**, 225–227.
- Strunz, H. & Tennyson, C. (1967): Schaurteit, ein neues Germanium-Mineral von Tsumeb/SW-Afrika und seine Paragenese. Festschrift Dr. Werner T. Schaurte, ed., Neuss/Rhein, Germany, 33–47.

Received 15 May 2017 Modified version received 10 August 2017 Accepted 30 August 2017