

Dear Author

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and **email** the annotated PDF.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style.
- Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections **within 48 hours**, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12026-018-9052-1>

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information, go to:


<http://www.link.springer.com>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

Please note: Images will appear in color online but will be printed in black and white.

1	Article Title	Specific features of immune complexes in patients with sarcoidosis and pulmonary tuberculosis	
2	Article Sub- Title		
3	Article Copyright - Year	Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018	(This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)
4	Journal Name	Immunologic Research	
5		Family Name	Starshinova
6		Particle	
7		Given Name	Anna
8		Suffix	
9		Organization	FGBU “SPb NIIF”
10		Division	
11		Address	Ligovsky pr. 2-4, St. Petersburg 191036, Russian Federation
12	Corresponding Author	Organization	FGBU “St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
13		Division	
14		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
15		Organization	St. Petersburg State University
16		Division	
17		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
18		e-mail	starshinova_777@mail.ru
19		Family Name	Zinchenko
20		Particle	
21		Given Name	Yu
22		Suffix	
23		Organization	FGBU “St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
24	Author	Division	
25		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
26		Organization	St. Petersburg State University
27		Division	
28		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
29		e-mail	

30		Family Name	Fil
31		Particle	
32		Given Name	M.
33		Suffix	
34		Organization	FGBU “ St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
35	Author	Division	
36		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
37		Organization	FGBU. B.P. Konstantinov St. Petersburg Institute of Nuclear Physics
38		Division	
39		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
40		e-mail	
<hr/>			
41		Family Name	Denisova
42		Particle	
43		Given Name	N.
44		Suffix	
45		Organization	FGBU “ St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
46	Author	Division	
47		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
48		Organization	St. Petersburg State University
49		Division	
50		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
51		e-mail	
<hr/>			
52		Family Name	Istomina
53		Particle	
54		Given Name	E.
55		Suffix	
56	Author	Organization	FGBU “ St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
57		Division	
58		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
59		e-mail	
<hr/>			
60		Family Name	Landa
61	Author	Particle	
62		Given Name	S.
63		Suffix	

64		Organization	FGBU. B.P. Konstantinov St. Petersburg Institute of Nuclear Physics
65		Division	
66		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
67		e-mail	
68		Family Name	Burdakov
69		Particle	
70		Given Name	V.
71		Suffix	
72		Organization	FGBU “ St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthysiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
73		Division	
74	Author	Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
75		Organization	St. Petersburg State University
76		Division	
77		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
78		Organization	FGBU. B.P. Konstantinov St. Petersburg Institute of Nuclear Physics
79		Division	
80		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
81		e-mail	
82		Family Name	Churilov
83		Particle	
84		Given Name	L.
85		Suffix	
86		Organization	FGBU “ St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthysiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
87	Author	Division	
88		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
89		Organization	St. Petersburg State University
90		Division	
91		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
92		e-mail	
93		Family Name	Sapozhnikova
94		Particle	
95	Author	Given Name	N.
96		Suffix	
97		Organization	FGBU “ St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthysiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia

98		Division	
99		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
100		e-mail	
101		Family Name	Pavlova
102		Particle	
103		Given Name	M.
104		Suffix	
105	Author	Organization	FGBU “St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthysiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
106		Division	
107		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
108		e-mail	
109		Family Name	Stepanenko
110		Particle	
111		Given Name	T.
112		Suffix	
113	Author	Organization	SPb GBUZ “City hospital №2”
114		Division	
115		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
116		e-mail	
117		Family Name	Mayevskaya
118		Particle	
119		Given Name	V.
120		Suffix	
121	Author	Organization	St. Petersburg State University
122		Division	
123		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
124		e-mail	
125		Family Name	Yablonskiy
126		Particle	
127		Given Name	P.
128		Suffix	
129	Author	Organization	FGBU “St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthysiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia
130		Division	
131		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
132		Organization	St. Petersburg State University
133		Division	

134		Address	St. Petersburg, Russia
135		e-mail	
136		Received	
137	Schedule	Revised	
138		Accepted	
139	Abstract	<p>Clinical and radiological features of tuberculosis and sarcoidosis are quite overlapping, and therefore, a diagnostic dilemma often persists. There are no commonly accepted criteria for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis due to the lack of data on the etiology of the disease. The exclusion of tuberculosis in every patient with suspected sarcoidosis is a mandatory stage of diagnosis, especially in countries with a high burden of tuberculosis. A prospective study was conducted with two groups of patients: group I ($n = 50$)—patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis established according to standard criteria; group II ($n = 28$)—patients with pulmonary tuberculosis with bacterial excretion. The control group ($n = 24$) was presented by healthy subjects. The examination complex included x-ray, bacteriological, immunological (Mantoux test with 2 TE, TB.SPOT test), and histological methods. All patients and healthy subjects were assessed for immune complexes with the use of the dynamic light scattering (DLS) method and adding of “healthy lung tissue extract” antigens and specific tuberculosis antigens ESAT-6 and SFP-10 in vitro. Significant differences were found in determining specific immune complexes in patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis and pulmonary tuberculosis. Registration of specific immune complex formation with “healthy lung tissue extract” in 100% cases may indicate the autoimmune nature of sarcoidosis. The absence of the immune complex formation in response to ESAT-6/SFP-10 antigens can be used for the differential diagnosis of two diseases. The diagnostic significance of the DLS method was 100% for sarcoidosis and 92.2% for tuberculosis. The data obtained in the study allows not only understanding the etiology of sarcoidosis, but also obtaining new criteria for the differential diagnosis of tuberculosis and pulmonary sarcoidosis.</p>	
140	Keywords separated by ' - '	Sarcoidosis - Tuberculosis - Allergen test with tuberculosis recombinant - Immunological tests - Autoimmune diseases - Dynamic light scattering method	
141	Foot note information		

1
3
2

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

4

Specific features of immune complexes in patients with sarcoidosis and pulmonary tuberculosis

5
6

Anna Starshinova^{1,2,3} · Yu Zinchenko^{1,2} · M. Filatov^{1,4} · N. Denisova^{1,2} · E. Istomina¹ · S. Landa⁴ · V. Burdakov^{1,2,4} · L. Churilov^{1,2} · N. Sapozhnikova¹ · M. Pavlova¹ · T. Stepanenko⁵ · V. Mayevskaya² · P. Yablonskiy^{1,2}

7
8
9
10



Anna Starshinova

11
12

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

13

Abstract

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Clinical and radiological features of tuberculosis and sarcoidosis are quite overlapping, and therefore, a diagnostic dilemma often persists. There are no commonly accepted criteria for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis due to the lack of data on the etiology of the disease. The exclusion of tuberculosis in every patient with suspected sarcoidosis is a mandatory stage of diagnosis, especially in countries with a high burden of tuberculosis. A prospective study was conducted with two groups of patients: group I ($n = 50$)—patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis established according to standard criteria; group II ($n = 28$)—patients with pulmonary tuberculosis with bacterial excretion. The control group ($n = 24$) was presented by healthy subjects. The examination complex included x-ray, bacteriological, immunological (Mantoux test with 2 TE, TB.SPOT test), and histological methods. All patients and healthy subjects were assessed for immune complexes with the use of the dynamic light scattering (DLS) method and adding of “healthy lung tissue extract” antigens and specific tuberculosis antigens ESAT-6 and SFP-10 in vitro. Significant differences were found in determining specific immune complexes in patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis and pulmonary tuberculosis. Registration of specific immune complex formation with “healthy lung tissue extract” in 100% cases may indicate the autoimmune nature of sarcoidosis. The absence of the immune complex formation in response to ESAT-6/SFP-10 antigens can be used for the differential diagnosis of two diseases. The diagnostic significance of the DLS method was 100% for sarcoidosis and 92.2% for tuberculosis. The data obtained in the study allows not only understanding the etiology of sarcoidosis, but also obtaining new criteria for the differential diagnosis of tuberculosis and pulmonary sarcoidosis.

29
30

Keywords Sarcoidosis · Tuberculosis · Allergen test with tuberculosis recombinant · Immunological tests · Autoimmune diseases · Dynamic light scattering method

31
32

Introduction

33

Sarcoidosis and tuberculosis belong to the group of granulomatosis—diseases similar to each other not only in clinical and radiological manifestations, but also in the histological picture. Unlike tuberculosis, the etiology of sarcoidosis is currently unknown that makes the differential diagnosis of these diseases in the absence of bacteriological verification of tuberculosis quite difficult [1–5].

34
35
36
37
38
39
40

Some researchers associate the development of sarcoidosis with a complex of pathological autoimmune reactions caused by the interaction of various exogenous or endogenous adjuvants, which may result in the development of the so-called ASIA syndrome (“The Autoimmune/inflammatory Syndrome Induced by Adjuvants (ASIA) Research Questionnaire”) [6–8].

41
42
43
44
45
46
47

✉ Anna Starshinova
 starshinova_777@mail.ru

¹ FGBU “St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of Phthiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of Russia, St. Petersburg, Russia
² St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia
³ FGBU “SPb NIIF”, Ligovsky pr. 2-4, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation 191036
⁴ FGBU. B.P. Konstantinov St. Petersburg Institute of Nuclear Physics, St. Petersburg, Russia
⁵ SPb GBUZ “City hospital №2”, St. Petersburg, Russia

Q1

48 The diagnosis of sarcoidosis takes a time which varies from
 49 3 months to several years [9, 10]. There is often a necessity for
 50 histological verification of the diagnosis, which, in its turn,
 51 does not always lead to an unambiguous interpretation of
 52 pathomorphological changes in the biopsy material of the
 53 lymph node and lung tissue [11, 12]. However, morphological
 54 verification remains the “gold standard” in the diagnosis of
 55 sarcoidosis to this day [13, 14].

56 A number of studies have been dedicated to the search for
 57 immunological criteria that determine not only diagnostic but
 58 also prognostic value of various immunological parameters in
 59 the development of sarcoidosis and tuberculosis [10, 15, 16].

60 Recent studies have demonstrated diagnostic significance
 61 of new immunological methods (samples with tuberculosis
 62 recombinant allergen, TB.SPOT and QuantiFERON TB test)
 63 in the differential diagnosis of sarcoidosis and tuberculosis.
 64 The diagnostic significance of these tests is 84.7%, 79.2%,
 65 and 86.7%, respectively, that corresponds with the diagnos-
 66 tic significance of the morphological study (86.5%) [17–20].
 67 These imply the production of false-positive results in pa-
 68 tients with sarcoidosis and false-negative results in patients
 69 with tuberculosis, which means an incorrect diagnosis in
 70 20–15% of cases. However, positive results in patients with
 71 sarcoidosis may be associated either with the role of
 72 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in the development of pulmo-
 73 nary sarcoidosis [21] or the presence of latent tuberculosis
 74 infection in the environment with high prevalence of
 75 tuberculosis.

76 Importantly, the diagnostic significance of immunoglobu-
 77 lins in granulomatous diseases has been studied with a view to
 78 their possible role in the formation of granulomas by attach-
 79 ment to immune complexes (IC) [9, 22]. It was determined
 80 that the circulating immune complex (CIC) level correlates
 81 with the disease activity and the degree of their accumulation
 82 in the affected tissues, but their diagnostic and prognostic role
 83 requires further investigation, which is also related to the re-
 84 solving power of the utilized methods.

85 Currently, all existing methods for IR analysis (two-dimen-
 86 sional electrophoresis, immunological detection (Western
 87 blotting), and combined approaches based on the utilization
 88 of two-dimensional electrophoresis (EP) or high-performance
 89 liquid chromatography followed by mass spectrometry analy-
 90 sis are associated with releasing IR from blood plasma and
 91 other biological fluids. As a result, the analysis of the distri-
 92 bution of IR population by size and included components
 93 becomes impossible. A method effectively determining the
 94 immune complex components without isolating complexes
 95 from the plasma is the dynamic light scattering (DLS) method
 96 [23]. The unique features of the DLS method are in its possi-
 97 bility to detect the macromolecular complex formation in bi-
 98 ological systems without fractionating or any other procedures
 99 that violate the native conditions of complex formation and,
 100 thus, allow to get more detailed information [24, 25].

A radical increase in dynamic light scattering while eleva-
 tion of linear dimensions of macromolecular formations, com-
 bined with the possibility of estimating their real dimensions,
 allows detecting and identifying complexes’ formation by var-
 ious components of biological fluids, even when their amount
 is very small.

Thus, the study of the immune complexes formed after
 stimulating immunoglobulins with specific antigens with the
 use of the dynamic light scattering method is carried out for
 the first time. The obtained data not only represents a signif-
 icant step toward *understanding* the etiology of sarcoidosis,
 but also provides new criteria for the differential diagnosis of
 sarcoidosis and pulmonary tuberculosis.

The objective of this study was to determine the specific
 immune complexes formed after stimulating immunoglobu-
 lins with specific antigens in patients with sarcoidosis and
 pulmonary tuberculosis.

Material and methods

A cohort prospective study was conducted between December
 2016 and July 2017, enrolled 78 patients at the FGBU “St.
 Petersburg Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology” of the
 Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation and St.
 Petersburg GBUZ “City Hospital No. 2” and 24 healthy sub-
 jects (control group). The study was approved by the indepen-
 dent Ethics Committee of the FGBU “SPb NIIF” St.
 Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of the Ministry of
 Health of the Russian Federation (protocol no. 34.2 dated
 January 19, 2017); all participants of the study signed an ap-
 proved form of informed consent.

Patients were enrolled in the study after verification of the
 diagnosis of sarcoidosis and tuberculosis, according to inter-
 nationally accepted criteria [26–28]; history of the diseases
 did not exceed 2 years, antituberculosis therapy—1 month.

According to inclusion and exclusion criteria, two main
 comparison groups were enrolled: group I ($n = 28$) with lung
 sarcoidosis; group II ($n = 50$) with pulmonary tuberculosis.

Pulmonary sarcoidosis was established by the following:
 complaints, radiologic changes, and histological verification
 (detection of epithelioid granulomas without caseous necrosis
 and acid-resisting mycobacteria); negative results of the labo-
 ratory examination for tuberculosis; and a reliable increase in
 the level of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE).

Pulmonary tuberculosis was diagnosed with typical com-
 plaints, radiologic changes, and positive results of laboratory
 examination (identification of MBT and/or MBT DNA ac-
 cording to molecular genetic and bacteriological methods in
 sputum analysis).

The exclusion criteria were as follows: history of immuno-
 suppressive therapy, treatment with antituberculosis drugs,
 plasmapheresis course for less than 2 months before time of

151 inclusion, presence of HIV infection, syphilis, tumors, diabe- 200
 152 tes mellitus, and other granulomatous pulmonary diseases. 201
 153 The inclusion criteria for healthy subjects were as follows: 202
 154 absence of acute and chronic diseases, no risk of tuberculosis, 203
 155 and negative results of immunological tests. 204

156 **Study methods**

157 All patients underwent a complex examination, including 205
 158 clinical assessment of the disease, multispiral computed chest 206
 159 tomography (MSCT), laboratory blood tests, a standard set of 207
 160 tests for tuberculosis. 208

161 Patients with negative results of sputum examination by 209
 162 microscopy, inoculation of culture in liquid and dense media, 210
 163 and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on *M. tuberculosis* 211
 164 DNA underwent histological verification (biopsy of lung tis- 212
 165 sue obtained by fibrobronchoscopy or videothoracoscopy 213
 166 lung biopsy). 214

167 As part of TB examination, ELISPOT test (Oxford, UK) 215
 168 was carried out. The positive result was described as ≥ 6 spots 216
 169 in the ESAT-6 well or CFP-10 after subtracting the number of 217
 170 spots observed in the negative control well where negative 218
 171 control had 0–5 spots. If the negative control had ≥ 6 spots, 219
 172 then for the positive result, the ESAT-6 or CFP-10 panel 220
 173 should contain at least twice as many spots as the negative 221
 174 panel. The result was considered questionable if the negative 222
 175 control well contained more than 10 spots or control with the 223
 176 mitogen contained less than 20 spots (with a number of spots 224
 177 in the ESAT-6 and CFP-10 < 6 wells). 225

178 The plasma of all enrolled patients was studied at the B.P. 226
 179 Konstantinov FGBU “Saint-Petersburg Nuclear Physics 227
 180 Institute” to determine IR formed in vitro using DLS method 228
 181 with the proposed methodology (patent application no. 229
 182 2015149694, publication date May 24, 2017, Filatov M.V., 230
 183 Landa S.B.). The measurements were based on the laser cor- 231
 184 relation spectrometer (certificate RU 39.003, A No. 5381) LC- 232
 185 03 (INTOS-MED, Russia). 233

186 The dynamic light scattering (DLS) method contributes to 234
 187 determine the components of the immune complex without 235
 188 isolating complexes from the plasma. 236

189 The DLS method detects large particles—the immune 237
 190 complexes. In addition, native samples are examined under 238
 191 physiological conditions, and a narrow range of necessary 239
 192 preanalytical procedures, i.e., dilution, centrifugation, and fil- 240
 193 tration, is available. 241

194 The blood plasma obtained from patients was diluted four- 242
 195 fold with phosphate buffer containing 10 mM ethylenedi- 243
 196 aminetetraacetic acid and processed to centrifugation for 244
 197 15 min at 15,000 rpm and filtration with pore sizes of 245
 198 100 nm to remove all particles and protein aggregates, exceed- 246
 199 ing this size. The dynamic light scattering (DLS) 247

measurement of the obtained preparation should show the 200
 absence of any formations exceeding the size of 100 nm. 201

202 Ten microliters of the prepared antigen was added to the 203
 400- μ l obtained plasma samples. As a specific tuberculosis 204
 antigen, ESAT-6/SFP-10 (Generium, Russia) was used. 205

206 As a second antigenic material, the “healthy lung tissue 207
 extract” obtained at surgery was used in all studied cases. It 208
 was a prepared extract of peptide and other biological compo- 209
 nents. Different isotypes of specific immunoglobulins were 210
 detected after the in vitro addition of these antigens. All mea- 211
 surements were carried out by laser correlation spectrometer 212
 (certificate RU 39.003, A No. 5381) LC-03 (INTOS-MED, 213
 Russia). 214

213 **Statistical methods**

214 The statistical processing of the material was based on the 215
 program Statistica 7.0 with the use of variation statistics 216
 methods. The quantitative data was presented in the $M \pm SD$ 217
 form. The degrees of associations between proportions were 218
 estimated by confidence intervals, as well as the χ^2 criterion 219
 with Yates correction. For variable values less than 5, Fisher’s 220
 exact test was used. Differences or communication rates were 221
 considered significant at $p < 0.05$ level. The diagnostic signifi- 222
 cance, including diagnostic sensitivity (DS), diagnostic spec- 223
 ificity (DS), and diagnostic efficiency (DE), was assessed. The 224
 odds ratio (OR) was calculated with the formula $(a/c)/(b/$ 225
 $d) = (a \times d)/(b \times c)$ (a , true-positive result; b , false-positive re- 226
 sult; c , false-negative result; and d , truly negative result). A 227
 relative risk value of more than 1.0 was considered significant. 228
 In addition, the frequency of positive reactions was also de- 229
 termined based on the calculation of 95% confidence interval 230
 (95% CI). 231

231 **Study results**

232 At the first stage, the analysis of specific immune complexes 233
 in the blood plasma in patients in the compared groups (I and 234
 II), as well as in the control group (III) (Table 1), was carried 235
 out. 236

237 As Table 1 indicates, the specific immune complex forma- 238
 tion with the antigenic material of *M. tuberculosis* (ESAT-6/ 239
 SFP-10) was significantly more frequently detected in group 240
 II than in groups I (100% vs. 10.7%, $p < 0.001$) and III (con- 241
 trol group) (100% vs. 11.1%, $p < 0.001$). A slightly positive 242
 result that is lower than the diagnostic value at the high TB 243
 infection spread level is permissible and may indicate weak 244
 manifestations of latent tuberculosis infection, as well as the 245
 high sensitivity of the method. 246

Q2 t1.1 Table 1 Determining blood immune complexes after the addition of ESAT-6/SFP-10 antigenic material in groups

t1.2	Indicators	Norm	Group I (sarcoidosis) (n = 28)	Group II (tuberculosis) (n = 50)	Group III, healthy subjects (control group) (n = 24)
t1.3			M ± SD/n (%)		
t1.4	Total immune complexes	Less than 1.0	0.24 ± 0.63 3 (10.7)	7.25 ± 2.8 *, ** 50 (100.0)	0.25 ± 0.8 3 (11.0)
t1.5	IgG1	Less than 1.0	0.16 ± 0.6 2 (7.1)	4.25 ± 2.0 *, ** 50 (100.0)	0.06 ± 0.3 1 (3.7)
t1.6	IgG3	Less than 1.0	0	3.2 ± 1.2 *, ** 48 (96.0)	0.06 ± 0.3 1 (3.7)
t1.7	IgE	Less than 1.0	0.06 ± 0.2 2 (7.1)	3.48 ± 1.9 *, ** 46 (92.0)	0.02 ± 0.1 1 (3.7)
t1.8	IgG1 + IgG3	Less than 1.0	0	4.08 ± 2.1 *, ** 47 (94.0)	0
t1.9	IgG1 + IgE	Less than 1.0	0	7.72 ± 4.0 *, ** 13 (26.0)	0
t1.10	IgG3 + IgE	Less than 1.0	0	7.15 ± 3.6 *, ** 30 (57.0)	0

*Differences are significant ($p < 0.001$ for all indicators) compared to group II

**Differences are significant ($p < 0.001$ for all indicators) compared to the control group

245 Next, the results were analyzed to determine specific im-
246 mune complexes after antigen stimulation of the “healthy lung
247 tissue extract” (Table 2).

248 The ICs were determined more reliably in group I than in
249 groups II (100% vs. 4.0%, $p < 0.0001$) and III (100% vs. 0%,
250 $p < 0.0001$), which may indicate the development of an auto-
251 immune reaction to pulmonary tissue under some external and
252 internal factors.

253 The obtained data was used to calculate the indicators of
254 the diagnostic significance of the methods presented.

The diagnostic significance of the specific immunoglobu- 255
lins when stimulated with ESAT-6/SFP-10 is presented in 256
Table 3. 257

The diagnostic significance of determining specific immu- 258
noglobulins when stimulated by “healthy lung tissue extract” 259
is reflected in Table 4. 260

The obtained data and described approach were used when 261
determining ICs during the stimulation of immunoglobulins 262
by adding homologous antigens that are ingredients of various 263
tissues in patients with sarcoidosis in various localizations of 264
inflammation. The results of the blood plasma study by the 265

t2.1 **Table 2** Determining specific immune complexes in the study groups after stimulation by “healthy lung tissue extract” antigen

t2.2	Indicators	Norm	Groups		
t2.3			Group I (sarcoidosis) (n = 28)	Group II (tuberculosis) (n = 25)	Group III, healthy subjects (control group) (n = 24)
t2.4			M ± SD/n (%)		
t2.5	Total immune complexes	Less than 1.0	4.84 ± 0.84 *, ** 28 (100.0)	6.1 ± 0.3 1 (4.0)	0
t2.6	IgG1	Less than 1.0	3.72 ± 1.0 *, ** 28 (100.0)	2.7 1 (4.0)	0
t2.7	IgG3	Less than 1.0	2.9 ± 1.0 *, ** 27 (96.4)	0	0
t2.8	IgE	Less than 1.0	1.99 ± 0.6 *, ** 26 (92.8)	0	0
t2.9	IgG1 + IgG3	Less than 1.0	3.46 ± 0.9 *, ** 26 (92.8)	0	0
t2.10	IgG1 + IgE	Less than 1.0	5.69 ± 1.3 *, ** 25 (89.3)	0	0
t2.11	IgG3 + IgE	Less than 1.0	5.05 ± 1.2 *, ** 25 (89.3)	0	0

*Differences are significant ($p < 0.001$ for all indicators) compared to group II

**Differences are significant ($p < 0.001$ for all indicators) compared to the control group

Immune complexes in sarcoidosis

Q3 t3.1 Table 3 Diagnostic significance of determining specific immunoglobulins when stimulated by ESAT-6/SFP-10 of ESAT-6/SFP-10

t3.2	Indicators	Diagnostic sensitivity (%)	Diagnostic specificity	Diagnostic significance
t3.3				
t3.4	Total immune complexes	94.3	87.5	92.2
t3.5	IgG1	98.1	95.8	97.3
t3.6	IgG3	97.9	95.8	97.3
t3.7	IgE	97.8	95.8	97.3
t3.8	IgG1 + IgG3	100.0	100.0	100.0
t3.9	IgG1 + IgE	100.0	100.0	100.0
t3.10	IgG3 + IgE	100.0	100.0	100.0

266 DLS method in one of the patients with generalized sarcoidosis were demonstrated in the clinical case.
267

268 **Clinical example no. 1**

269 Patient N., 67 years old, applied for consultancy to outpatient
270 department in October 2016. Changes in lungs were firstly
271 detected in August 2016 during preventive examination. The
272 chest MSCT shows multiple perilymphatically located small
273 foci and moderately enlarged paratracheal lymph nodes, 16 ×
274 11 mm (upper) and 21 × 12 mm (lower). Based on the survey
275 (threefold microscopy and PCR-sputum examination for acid-
276 fast bacteria)—“negative.” After repeated examination on the
277 unchanged skin of the face and body, rounded, painless for-
278 mations of red color and elastic density were described, slight-
279 ly rising above the surface of the skin, measuring up to 1.0 ×
280 1.5 cm. Skin biological material examination revealed granu-
281 lomas; when performing lung biopsy by fibroscopy, epitheli-
282 oid granulomas without caseous necrosis as well as acid-fast
283 bacteria were detected, which indicate a granulomatous dis-
284 eases like tuberculosis and sarcoidosis.

t4.1 **Table 4** Diagnostic significance of determining specific immunoglobulins when stimulated by “healthy lung tissue extract” antigen

t4.2	Indicators	Diagnostic sensitivity (%)	Diagnostic specificity	Diagnostic significance
t4.3				
t4.4	Total immune complexes	100.0	100.0	100.0
t4.5	IgG1	100.0	100.0	100.0
t4.6	IgG3	96.4	100.0	98.1
t4.7	IgE	92.9	100.0	96.2
t4.8	IgG1 + IgG3	92.9	100.0	96.2
t4.9	IgG1 + IgE	89.3	100.0	94.2
t4.10	IgG3 + IgE	89.3	100.0	94.2

Thus, further verification of the diagnosis was necessary. 285
The patient’s blood plasma was examined by DLS method. 286
The antigenic material (extracts of healthy lung tissue, skin, 287
pancreas, antigenic material of tuberculous mycobacteria— 288
ESAT6/SFP-10) was added to the prepared blood plasma 289
in vitro and purified from the preexisting immune complexes 290
and other macromolecular aggregates. 291

As is depicted in Table 5, the immune complex formation 292
was detected in response to antigenic “healthy lung tissue 293
extract” and the skin biopsy material. The immune complex 294
formation was not observed when the antigenic material of 295
tuberculosis bacilli was added which can serve as an indirect 296
confirmation of the negative examination data for tuberculosis 297
in this patient. Moreover, a mixture of immunoglobulins of G1 298
+ E and G3 + E classes contributes significantly to the im- 299
mune complex formation on the tissues involved in the path- 300
ological process. 301

Based on the obtained data, the patient was diagnosed with 302
“generalized sarcoidosis with lesions of lungs, intrathoracic 303
lymph nodes, and skin.” 304

Discussion 305

The obtained results allowed to conclude that during the path- 306
ological process in tissues afflicted with sarcoid granulomas, 307
an autoimmune reaction to one’s own tissue occurs likely 308
provoked by the influence of some factors. 309

Taking into account the proven immunogenetic predispo- 310
sition to the development of sarcoidosis (HLA-DRB1 * 0301 311
in acute sarcoidosis, HLA-DQB1 * 0201, DRB1 * 0301 with 312
recurrent disease HLA-DQB1 * 0602, DRB1 * 150101 in 313
chronic active sarcoidosis, HLA-DRB1 * 11, HLA-DR3 in 314
extrapulmonary forms) [25], this reaction can be genetically 315
conditioned. 316

Table 5 Determining immune complexes and immunoglobulin isotypes with antigens of various tissues and antigens ESAT-6 and SFP-10 to the patient’s blood plasma added 317

	Antigens (%)				
	Extract of healthy lung tissue	Skin extract	Extract of a healthy pancreas	ESAT6/SFP10	
Total IR	5.3	4.0	0	0	t5.4
IgG1	4.0	2.7	–	–	t5.5
IgG3	4.5	2.8	–	–	t5.6
IgE	2.6	1.3	–	–	t5.7
IgG1 + IgG3	4.8	3.2	–	–	t5.8
IgG1 + IgE	6.9	4.5	–	–	t5.9
IgG3 + IgE	7.0	4.7	–	–	t5.10

317 In favor of this theory, there is also a significant difference
 318 in the IR formation in response to the antigenic material of the
 319 lung tissue in patients with sarcoidosis and tuberculosis. These
 320 changes may be an indirect evidence of the autoimmune
 321 mechanism of pulmonary sarcoidosis, which is realized in
 322 the IC formation on its own lung tissue. This phenomenon
 323 seems to be an essential part of the ongoing pathological pro-
 324 cess, but the immediate molecular cause that triggers this pa-
 325 thology remains unclear.

326 The absence of specific IC formation in response to anti-
 327 genic material of *M. tuberculosis* in most patients with sar-
 328 coidosis indirectly denies the role of this microorganism as a
 329 causative agent of the disease.

330 The high diagnostic significance of this method with the use
 331 of specific antigens, which was 92.2% when determining specific
 332 ICs in the diagnosis of tuberculosis and 100% in the diagnosis of
 333 sarcoidosis, evidences for advisability of its use in differential
 334 diagnosis of the two similar diseases—tuberculosis and
 335 sarcoidosis—in the absence of bacterial excretion.

336 Conclusion

337 The formation of specific immune complexes in response to
 338 “healthy lung tissue extract” antigens in patients with sarcoid-
 339 osis and the absence of such a reaction in tuberculosis may
 340 indirectly evidence for autoimmune nature of sarcoidosis. The
 341 significant difference in the groups when determining the im-
 342 mune complexes for the ESAT6/ESF10 antigen in vitro in
 343 patients with tuberculosis and respiratory sarcoidosis confirms
 344 the infectious nature of tuberculosis caused by a specific patho-
 345 gen (*M. tuberculosis*) and denies it as an immediate cause of
 346 sarcoidosis. Taking into account the 100% diagnostic signifi-
 347 cance of the methodology for determining ICs after adding the
 348 “lung tissue extract” with the use of the dynamic light scatter-
 349 ing method, we may conclude that currently it is the only
 350 significant method for differential diagnosis of tuberculosis
 351 and pulmonary sarcoidosis in the absence of bacterial excre-
 352 tion. The obtained results require further study and compari-
 353 son with other granulomatous diseases.

354 **Financial support and sponsorship** Government funding was
 355 obtained from the FGBU “St. Petersburg Scientific Research
 356 Institute of Phthisiopulmonology” of the Ministry of Health of
 357 Russia and supported by Grant from the Government of the
 358 Russian Federation (contract no. 14.W03.31.0009 of 13.02.
 359 2017) on granting for state support of scientific research con-
 360 ducted under the supervision of leading scientists.

361 Compliance with ethical standards

362 The study was approved by the independent Ethics Committee of the
 Q4 363 FGBU “SPb NIIF” St. Petersburg Scientific Research Institute of the
 364

Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation (protocol no. 34.2 dated 365
 January 19, 2017) 366

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of 367
 interest. 368

References 369Q5

1. Belokurov MA, Chernokhayeva IV, Zinserling VA, Dvorakovskaya IV, Novitskaya TA, Masitova F, et al. The case of differential diag- 370
 nosis of tuberculosis with other lung diseases. Medical Alliance. 371
 2015;4:99–109. 372
2. Vazel AA, Vazel IY. Sarcoidosis: current status of the problem and 374
 unresolved issues. Atmosphere. Pulmonology and allergology 375
 2010; 1:2–6. 376
3. Vazel IY, Vazel AA. Sarcoidosis: sight on nowadays reality. 377
 Consilium Medicum. 2012;14(3):86–8. 378
4. Baranova OP, Ilkovich MM, Speranskaya AA. Challenges in diag- 379
 nosis of respiratory sarcoidosis. Pract Med. 2011;3(51):58–62. 380
5. Vazel IY, Vazel AA. Sarcoidosis: modern understanding of 381
 multiorgan granulomatosis. Pract Med. 2011;3(51):35–8. 382
6. Shoenfeld Y, Agmon-Levina N. “ASIA” - autoimmune/ 383
 inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants. J Autoimmun. 384
 2011;36:4–8. 385
7. Neshet G, Soriano A, Shlomai G, Iadgarov Y, Shulimzon T, Borella 386
 E, et al. Severe ASIA syndrome associated with lymph node, tho- 387
 racic and pulmonary silicone infiltration following breast implant 388
 rupture: experience with four cases. Lupus. 2015;24:463–8. 389
8. Bindoli S, Dagan A, Torres-Ruiz JJ, Perricone C, Bizjak M, Doria 390
 A, et al. Sarcoidosis and autoimmunity: from genetic background to 391
 environmental factors. Isr Med Assoc J. 2016;18(3–4):197–202. 392
9. Goyal B, Sheikh JA, Agarwal R, Verma I. Levels of circulating 393
 immune complexes containing Mycobacterium tuberculosis- 394
 specific antigens in pulmonary tuberculosis and sarcoidosis pa- 395
 tients. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2017;35:290–2. 396
10. Hörster R, Kirsten D, Gaede K, Jafari C, Strassburg A, Greinert U, 397
 et al. Antimycobacterial immune responses in patients with pulmo- 398
 nary sarcoidosis. Clin Respir J. 2009;3(4):229–38. 399
11. Gupta D, Agarwal R, Aggarwal A, Jindal S. Sarcoidosis and tuber- 400
 culosis: the same disease with different manifestations or similar 401
 manifestations of different disorders. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 402
 2012;18(5):506–16. 403
12. Tsinzerling VA, Karev VE, Avetisyan AO, Chernih MD, 404
 Tlostanova MS. To a question of etiology of macrophage granulo- 405
 mas in respiratory organs and lymphatic nodes: practical observa- 406
 tions. J Infect. 2013;3:67–70. 407
13. Dvorakovskaya IV, Maiskaya MY, Nasirov RA, Baranova OP, 408
 Ariel BM. Morphologic survey in differential diagnosis of tubercu- 409
 losis and sarcoidosis. Arch Pathol. 2014;76(1):27–31. 410
14. Tsinzerling V, Svistunov V, Karev V, Semenova N. Problems of 411
 morphologic diagnosis of tuberculosis. Arch Pathol. 2015;77(3): 412
 3–9. 413
15. Amicosante M. IGRAs for tuberculosis in sarcoidosis patients: is 414
 the immune response to mycobacteria helpful in the differential 415
 diagnosis or still a confounding factor? Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse 416
 Lung Dis. 2011;28(2):85–6. 417
16. Ohshima K, Ueki Y, Kawakami A, Kishikawa N, Tamai M, Osaki 418
 M, et al. Immune complex analysis of serum and its application 419
 in screening for immune complex antigens in rheumatoid arthritis. 420
 Clin Chem. 2011;57(6):905–9. 421
17. Gupta D, Kumar S, Aggarwal A, Verma I, Agarwal R. Interferon 422
 gamma release assay (QuantiFERON-TB Gold In Tube) in patients 423
 of sarcoidosis from a population with high prevalence of 424

- 425 tuberculosis infection. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis.* 2011;28(2):95–101. 444
- 426 18. Inui N, Suda T, Chida K. Use of the QuantiFERON-TB 445
- 427 Gold test in Japanese patients with sarcoidosis. *Respir* 446
- 428 *Med.* 2008;102(2):313–5. 447
- 429 19. Belokurov MA, Starshinova AA, Zhuravlev VY, Kiriukhina LD, 448
- 430 Pavlova MV, Chernokhaeva IV, et al. Potential of immunologic 449
- 431 methods in differential diagnosis of respiratory sarcoidosis and tu- 450
- 432 berculosis. *J Infect.* 2015;7(2):98–104. 451
- 433 20. Belokurov MA, Starshinova AA, Zhuravlev VY, Yablonskiy PK, 452
- 434 Pavlova MV, Kiriukhina LD, et al. Immunologic methods in defer- 453
- 435 ential diagnosis of respiratory tuberculosis and sarcoidosis. *Med* 454
- 436 *Immunol.* 2015;17:129. 455
- 437 21. Milman N, Søborg B, Svendsen C, Andersen Å. Quantiferon test 456
- 438 for tuberculosis screening in sarcoidosis patients. *Scand J Infect* 457
- 439 *Dis.* 2011;43(9):728–35. 458
- 440 22. Ohyama K, Kuroda N. Proteomic approaches to profiling the hu- 459
- 441 moral immune response and identifying disease-associated anti- 460
- 442 gens. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2012;35(9):1409–12. 461
- 443 23. Lebedev AD, Ivanova MA, Lomakin AV, Noskin VA. Heterodyne 462
- 444 quasi-elastic light-scattering instrument for biomedical diagnostics. 445
- 446 *Appl Opt.* 1997;36(30):7518–22. 446
- 447 24. Korablev PV, Landa SB, Semenova EV, Filatov MV. Dynamic light 447
- 448 scattering – a simple and sensitive method to determine the occur- 448
- 449 rence of immune complexes in biological fluids. *Biopreparation.* 449
- 450 2015;54:53–8. 450
- 451 25. Landa SV, Filatov MV, Arutiunian AV, Varfolomeeva EV. Study of 451
- 452 plasma megamolecular complexation by laser correlation spectro- 452
- 453 scopy. *Klin Lab Diagn.* 2008;4:37–41. 453
- 454 26. Hunninghake G.W., Costabel U., Ando M. et al. Statement on sar- 454
- 455 coidosis *Amer J Crit Care Med.* 1999. Vol. 160 (2). P. 736–755. 455
- 456 27. Baughman R., Drent M., Judson M., Maier L., Moller D., Rossman 456
- 457 M., Stern B. (2008) Sarcoidosis treatment guidelines // <http://www.sarcoidosisprotocol.org> 06.02.2014. Laser correlation 457
- 458 spectroscopy. *Klin Lab Diagn* (4):37–41. 459
- 459 28. Companion handbook to the WHO guidelines for the programmatic 460
- 460 management of drug-resistant tuberculosis / World Health 461
- 461 Organization; 2014. – 448 p. 462
- 462

UNCORRECTED PROOF

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.

- Q1. Please check if the corresponding author's affiliation and telecommunication data are correctly captured/indicated. Also, please check if the author group and affiliation are presented correctly.
- Q2. Please check if all the tables are presented correctly.
- Q3. The bold values in Tables 3 and 4 were changed to italics. Please provide their significance; otherwise, if there is none, please consider removing the emphasis being used.
- Q4. A statement of ethical approval is required to appear before the references for studies involving human or animal subjects. Hence, a related statement was copied from the main text and placed under "Compliance with ethical standards." Please check if this is appropriate and amend as deemed necessary.
- Q5. References 10 and 17 based on original manuscript we received were identical. Hence, the latter was deleted and reference list and citations were adjusted. Please check if appropriate.

UNCORRECTED PROOF