ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Catalysis Today



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cattod

# Fluoride-etched $TiO_2$ microspheres modified with $Al_2O_3$ for enhanced photocatalytic conversion of $NO_x$ into nitrate ions

Check for updates

Tarek A. Kandiel<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Muhammad Kamran<sup>a</sup>, Mohamed A. Morsy<sup>a,c</sup>, Detlef W. Bahnemann<sup>d</sup>, Amira Y. Ahmed<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM), Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup> Interdisciplinary Research Center for Hydrogen Technologies and Carbon Management (IRC-HTCM) at KFUPM, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup> Interdisciplinary Research Center for Construction & Building Materials (IRC-CBM), King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM), Dhahran 31261, Saudi

Arabia

<sup>d</sup> Laboratory "Photoactive Nanocomposite Materials", Saint-Petersburg State University, Ulyanovskaya str. 1, Peterhof, Saint-Petersburg 198504, Russia <sup>e</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Sonag University, Sonag 82524, Egy

# ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:  $NO_x$  abatement,  $TiO_2$  microspheres Nitrate selectivity EPR analysis Hole trapping sites, Photocatalysis

#### ABSTRACT

Photocatalysis holds promise for the removal of NO<sub>x</sub> from the air, yet its limited selectivity toward desired products (e.g. nitrate ions) hinders its widespread application. In this study, we synthesized and comprehensively characterized amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres (ATMS), fluoride-etched TMS (F-TMS), and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS) photocatalysts. The photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement tests revealed negligible photoactivity for the TMS, with significant improvement observed after the fluoride ions etching using the hydrothermal method. However, the selectivity of F-TMS for NO<sub>x</sub> removal remained lower than that of TiO<sub>2</sub> P25. The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS photocatalyst exhibited a substantial increase in selectivity. The analysis of NO<sub>x</sub> abatement results indicated a 2.7-fold boost in selectivity compared to TiO<sub>2</sub> P25. A reasonable correlation between the amount of chemically absorbed water and nitrate selectivity was observed. The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) disclosed that the fluoride etching induced the formation of intra-band-gap hole-trapping sites and thus enhanced the photocatalytic activity. Nevertheless, the selectivity improvement was mainly attributed to Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>'s ability to stabilize the NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation intermediates at the surface for subsequent oxidation to nitrate ions. This study provides valuable insights for tailoring photocatalysts to enhance both activity and selectivity in photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement.

# 1. Introduction

Presently, the environment is facing serious problems concerning air pollution. It's mainly due to the burning of fossil fuels, and exhaust toxic gases from industries and vehicles, causing major environmental issues in the world. This creates too many problems for human, animal, and planet lives. Air pollution has been classified into primary and secondary classes according to their origin. The primary air pollution is due to the emission of carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and solid particles. Chemical reactions in the atmosphere cause the second class of air pollution such as ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) [1–3]. Nitrogen monoxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) commonly referred to as NO<sub>x</sub> are among the major causes of air pollution. The annual mean concentration of NO<sub>x</sub> globally is around 20–90 ppb but the hourly average sometimes goes to 1000 ppb in high-traffic urban areas [4]. The recommended value of NO<sub>x</sub> emission by the agencies of environmental protection should be  $\leq$ 0.2 ppm [5]. The increasing concentration of NO<sub>x</sub> is affecting global lives and needs sustainable and inexpensive technology to resolve this environmental issue.

In this regard, photocatalysis has attracted much attention due to its promising results in NO<sub>x</sub> abatement in construction materials, e.g. photocatalytic paints and concrete [6,7]. TiO<sub>2</sub> [8–11], ZnO [12], WO<sub>3</sub> [13], Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> [14], and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> [15–17] photocatalysts have commonly been tested for the removal of NO<sub>x</sub>. Recently, a new class of photocatalysts such as BaSO<sub>4</sub> with engineered Ba-vacancy [18], eosin Y reassembled Ti-based metal-organic framework (MOF, NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125 (Ti)) [19], and Eu-doped Zn<sub>2</sub>Al-CO<sub>3</sub> layered double hydroxide [20] have

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2024.114749

Received 27 November 2023; Received in revised form 13 February 2024; Accepted 21 April 2024 Available online 29 April 2024 0920-5861/© 2024 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM), Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia *E-mail addresses:* tarek.kandiel@kfupm.edu.sa, kandiel@science.sohag.edu.eg (T.A. Kandiel).

been also employed for the photocatalytic NO<sub>v</sub> removal. Among these photocatalysts, TiO<sub>2</sub> is still attractive due to its excellent physical and chemical properties, low cost, and non-toxicity. Moreover, it has a proper band structure for oxygen reduction and <sup>•</sup>OH radicals generation by oxidizing adsorbed water or surface hydroxyl groups [21]. Although about 90 % of the photogenerated electron/hole pairs recombine within 10 ns [9], the photocatalysis process is still promising for systems in which the degradation of pollutants in ppm levels such as in the case of NOx removal. However, the main challenge for utilizing the photocatalytic process for the removal of  $\ensuremath{\text{NO}}_x$  is the poor selectivity toward desired products, i.e. nitrate ions [22]. The surface modification of TiO<sub>2</sub> either by grafting with metal ions (e.g. Fe<sup>3+</sup>) [23] or loading with metal oxides (e.g. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) [24,25] was shown an improved selectivity toward NO<sub>x</sub> removal. This was mainly attributed to the improved rate of photocatalytic NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation. The fluorination of TiO<sub>2</sub> was reported to improve the photocatalytic activity due to the enhanced rate of 'OH radicals generation in the aqueous phase [26]. It was also reported that it facilitates the desorption of <sup>•</sup>OH radicals at the air/catalyst interface thus enhancing the photoactivity [27]. Inspired by these reports, we synthesized amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres (ATMS), and then we etched them with fluoride ions to improve their photocatalytic activity toward NO oxidation. To enhance the selectivity, the fluoride-etched TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres (F-TMS) were modified with an amorphous Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS). The photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement results indicated that the Al2O3/F-TMS sample exhibits higher activity and 2.7-fold boosted nitrate selectivity than the benchmark photocatalyst (i.e. Evonik TiO<sub>2</sub> P25). The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) indicates that the improved photocatalytic activity might be attributed to the formation of hole-trapping sites after the etching step. Whereas the improved selectivity is more likely due to the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>'s ability to stabilize the NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation intermediate at the surface for subsequent oxidation to nitrate ions.

# 2. Experimental section

# 2.1. Synthesis of amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres (ATMS)

The amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres were synthesized according to the literature after modification [28]. An equal volume of butyl alcohol and acetonitrile (Sigma Aldrich, total volume 250 ml) was mixed very well in an Erlenmeyer flask at 35 C for five minutes. Then 3.12 ml of ammonium hydroxide solution (Sigma Aldrich, 28 %) was added, and then 5.0 ml of titanium (IV) isopropoxide (TTIP, Sigma Aldrich) was promptly injected into the above solution under vigorous stirring. After continuous stirring at 1000 rpm for three hours at 25 C, the TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres product was centrifuged and washed two times with ethanol and dried in an oven overnight at 70 °C. The yield for ATMS formation was approximately 100 %.

### 2.2. Synthesis of fluoride-etched TiO<sub>2</sub> Microspheres (F-TMS)

For the synthesis of F-TMS, 0.5 g of the ATMS was dispersed in 50 ml of double distilled water, and then 0.032 g of sodium fluoride (Sigma Aldrich) was added to the suspension. After continuous stirring at 700 rpm for one hour at room temperature, 0.15 g of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, average molecular weight 10k, Sigma Aldrich) was added and the mixture was further stirred for another hour. After that, the suspension was transferred into a 100 ml Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 110 °C for 4 hours in a drying oven. The final product was washed two times with double distilled water and then dried at 110 °C. It was further calcined at 300 °C for 2 hours (ramping rate 5 °C/min) to remove carbon residual from the used precursor before the photocatalytic test. The obtained F-TMS mass was 0.35 g (yield 70%).

# 2.3. Modification of F-TMS with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer

The as-prepared F-TMS were modified with  $Al_2O_3$  by the impregnation method. In a typical experiment, the desired amount of aluminum ethoxide (Sigma Aldrich) required to have 2.0, 5.0, or 10 wt % of  $Al_2O_3$  was dissolved in 25 ml of 0.1 M acetic acid ethanolic solution. Then, 0.15 g of the F-TMS was added to the mixture and stirred at 700 rpm for one hour. After that, the mixture was heated to 70 °C to evaporate all the ethanol. The resulting product was then collected and calcined at 300 °C for 2 hours to ensure the formation of  $Al_2O_3$ . The temperature ramping rate was 5 °C/min. The resulting product is named hereafter  $Al_2O_3/F$ -TMS photocatalyst and the yield was close to 100 %.

# 2.4. Photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement ISO test

The NO<sub>x</sub> abatement was conducted according to the ISO standard 22197–1 test [29]. 100 mg of the photocatalyst was dispersed in 0.5 ml of double distilled water and drop-cased on a glass plate (total coated area 24 cm<sup>2</sup>). After that, the photocatalyst film was dried at 50 C for 3 hours and exposed to UV(A) light (365 nm) for 12 hours before the NO<sub>v</sub> test to clean the surface and remove the adsorbed adventitious organic compounds. The description for the photocatalytic NO<sub>v</sub> abatement setup is fully given in ref. [11]. The concentration of NO concentration was  $1000\pm50$  ppb and the flow rate was 3.0 L min<sup>-1</sup> with 50 % humidity. The concentration of NO and NO2 were analyzed by using a Teledyne NOx analyzer (Model T200). The 365 nm Thorlab LED is used as a light source and the light intensity was adjusted to 1.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> using a calibrated photodiode (FDS100-CAL, Thorlabs). For the test under simulated solar light, the ABET solar simulator (Model 11002 SunLite) was used and the light intensity was adjusted to 1.0 sun using a calibrated silicon reference cell (ABET Technologies). The photonic efficiencies (ξ) of NO oxidation, NO2 generation, and NOx removal in a time interval from t<sub>0</sub> and t<sub>1</sub> and UV(A) light illumination were calculated from Eq. 1, and the selectivity (S) of NO<sub>x</sub> removal from Eq. 2 [10].

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \frac{\boldsymbol{n}_{molecules}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{photons}} = \frac{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X}dt}{\boldsymbol{I}\left(\boldsymbol{t}_1 - \boldsymbol{t}_0\right)} \tag{1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{S}(\%) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{NO_x}}{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{NO}} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

The gas flow coefficient constant (A) was  $2.045 \times 10^{-12}$  mol s<sup>-1</sup>, X is the amount of oxidized NO, generated NO<sub>2</sub>, or removed NO<sub>x</sub> in ppb, and I is the incident photon flux (Einstein s<sup>-1</sup>).

For the nitrate ions analysis, the photocatalyst, after the test completion, was dispersed in deionized water and stirred for 30 min. Then, the suspension was filtered using a Millipore PTE 0.2  $\mu$ m syringe filter, diluted to the desired volume, and analyzed by ion chromatography.

# 2.5. EPR and TGA measurements

X-Band electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were measured at low temperature (-150 °C) in situ on a MiniScope MS300 EPR spectrometer (Magnettech GmbH, Germany), upgraded with a frequency meter (ADANI CMS8400's) and fast registry functioned. The full details of the system are described in ref. [11] and in the supporting information. The thermal gravimetric (TGA) measurements were conducted using a TA-SDT Q600 thermogravimetric thermal analyzer. A ~7.0 mg of all the materials was heated in an alumina crucible in an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at a 20 ml/min flow rate. The temperature ramping rate was 10 °C/min until reached 120 °C, then isothermal at 120 °C for 10 min, and then increased to 500 °C with a ramping rate of 20 °C/min.

# 2.6. Characterization

The details of the analysis techniques (i.e. X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, Raman, diffuse reflectance spectroscopy, and ion chromatography) are given in the supporting information.

# 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Characterization of the photocatalysts

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of all photocatalysts are displayed in Fig. 1a. The diffraction peaks of ATMS confirmed its amorphous nature. The hydrothermal etching and calcination of ATMS at 300 °C leads to the formation of mainly anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (PDF card No. 00-021-1272). A trace amount of brookite was observed after the hydrothermal etching as evinced from the small diffraction peak located at  $2\theta$ =30.8°. The modification of F-TMS with different loadings of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (i. e. 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %) decreased the intensity of the anatase diffraction peak indicating the successful modification at the surface with the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer. No peaks were detected for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> indicating its amorphous nature. The crystallite sizes of F-TMS and 5.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS were determined from the Williamson-Hall analysis of the XRD patterns [30]. The Williamson-Hall plot is presented in Figures S1a and b, respectively. It was found that both samples exhibit almost the same crystallite size of 17 nm. This is expected since both samples have been treated under the same hydrothermal and thermal conditions. The Raman spectra of the F-TMS and 5.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS samples further confirmed the formation of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1b). Both samples have the main peaks of anatase  $\rm TiO_2$  located at around 146, 397, 516, and 638  $\rm cm^{-1}$  which can be assigned to the  $E_g$ ,  $B_{1g}$ , (doublet of  $A_{1g}+B_{1g}$ ), and  $E_g$  vibrational modes [31]. The modification of the F-TiO $_2$  sample with Al $_2$ O $_3$  enhanced significantly the Raman intensity indicating the electronic interaction between Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>.

The SEM and TEM images of ATMS, F-TMS, and 5.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS photocatalysts are given in Fig. 2. For Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS photocatalyst modified with 2.0 and 10.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the SEM and TEM images are given in Fig S2 and S3. The SEM results reveal that the ATMS has a spherical shape and an average diameter of ~185 nm (Fig. 2a). The fluoride etching turns the solid TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres into an urchin-like porous structure (Fig. 2b). The modification with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has a little impact on the apparent morphology of the F-TMS as seen from Fig. 2c. The TEM images presented in Fig. 2d, e, and f further confirmed the spherical and urchin-like structures of ATMS, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> modified F-TMS photocatalysts. The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms of the synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> materials are shown in Fig. 3a. It shows that the ATMS exhibits type-Ib isotherm and micropore structure due to the



Fig. 1. (a) XRD patterns of ATMS, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS photocatalysts (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %), the verticle lines denote the Bragg peak positions (PDF card No. 00–021–1272), the lattice planes denote the peak with highest intensity when peaks are overlapped; (b) Raman spectra of F-TMS and 5.0 wt%Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS photocatalysts.

formation of highly-packed solid sphere particles. The F-TMS exhibited type-IV isotherm indicating the formation of a mesoporous structure due to the etching and nanostructuring of the solid spheres [32]. The modification of The F-TMS sample with  $Al_2O_3$  has further improved the mesoporosity. The pore-size distribution was calculated using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method and presented in Fig. S4. It showed that all samples modified with  $Al_2O_3$  showed a narrow range of pore-size distribution with an average of 7.0 nm, but the etched TiO<sub>2</sub> sample had a broader range of pore-size distribution due to the nanostructuring by etching. It seems that the loading of  $Al_2O_3$  to the etched TiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces blocks the large pores and induces the formation of mesoporous materials. The calculated BET surface areas are shown in Fig. 3b.

The XPS analysis of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS showed the characteristic peaks of TiO<sub>2</sub> at 458 and 463.7 eV that can be assigned for Ti 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Ti  $2p_{1/2}$ , respectively (Fig. S5a). These two peaks are accountable for the lattice tetravalent titanium ion (Ti<sup>4+</sup>) [33,34]. The deconvolution of Ti 2p resulted in a shoulder peak at 458.8 eV indicating the plausible existence of Ti<sup>3+</sup> species [35]. Fig. S5b presents the XPS spectra of oxygen (O 1 s). It showed a broad peak centered at 527 eV and can be assigned to M-O-M and the adsorbed oxygen species [36]. The peak observed at 681.0 eV in the binding energy region of F1 s confirmed the presence of fluoride species [37]. The Al 2p XPS spectrum presented in Fig. 4b can be readily deconvoluted into two peaks located at 71.9 and 75.2 eV that can be assigned to Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Al metal, respectively. The formation of Al metal has been previously observed for the calcined Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> single crystal [38]. The bandgap of the prepared photocatalysts was determined by measuring their diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS, Fig. 5). All samples except the ATMS have the same absorption onset. Assuming indirect bandgap transition [39], the Tau plot for the 5.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS photocatalyst was plotted as an example which resulted in a band gap of 3.2 eV. This value is the typical value reported for anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> [39].

# 3.2. Photocatalytic $NO_x$ abatement

The concentration-time profiles of the photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement over TiO<sub>2</sub>-P25, ATMS, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS photocatalysts are depicted in Fig. 6(a-f). Each profile is divided into three stages. Stage 1 represents the NO equilibrium over the photocatalysis in the dark. Stage 2 represents the UV(A) illumination period (2 hours). In this stage NO is either oxidized to NO<sub>2</sub> or removed. The sum of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> concentration is displayed as NO<sub>x</sub>. In stage 3, after two hours of illumination, the light is switched off and the reaction is kept to reach the dark equilibrium again. The photonic efficiencies were calculated according to Eq. 1 and presented in Fig. 7a. It is worth reminding here that all samples have been calcined at 300 °C except the ATMS sample. For adequate comparison, the ATMS has also been calcined at 300 °C and tested but it showed negligible activity. The hydrothermal fluoride etching step was crucial for improving activity.

As shown in Fig. 6, the ATMS exhibited negligible photocatalytic activity. But, after etching with fluoride ions and calcination at 300 °C, the photocatalytic activity is significantly enhanced. It is known that the fluorination of the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface modifies its surface properties by replacing the surface hydroxyl groups with fluoride ions and improves its photocatalytic activity via the formation of intra-band-gap energy states close to the valance band [40]. Despite the photocatalytic activity of the F-TMS photocatalyst having been improved relative to that of ATMS, its selectivity towards NO<sub>x</sub> is still lower than that of TiO<sub>2</sub> P25. The photocatalytic oxidation of NO to nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) or nitric acid (HONO<sub>2</sub>) over TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts is a complex multi-step process as illustrated in Eqs. 3–12 [11,41]. Assuming that the conduction band electron ( $e_{cb}$ ) and the valance band hole ( $h_{vb}^+$ ) will be able to diffuse to the surface and get involved in the photocatalytic process, a highly reactive oxygen species (ROS) will be formed (Eqs. 4–6)

$$TiO_2 + UV(A) \rightarrow TiO_2(h_{VB}^+ + e_{CB}^-)$$
(3)



Fig. 2. SEM and TEM images of ATMS (a, d), F-TMS (b, e), and 5.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS photocatalysts (c, f), respectively.



Fig. 3. (a)  $\rm N_2$  adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) BET surface area of ATMS, F-TMS, and Al\_2O\_3-modified F-TMS photocatalysts (Al\_2O\_3 loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %).



Fig. 4. High-resolution XPS spectra of F 1 s (a) and Al 2p (b) core levels in 5.0 wt %  $Al_2O_3$  modified F-TMS photocatalyst.



Fig. 5. DRS of ATMS, F-TMS, and  $Al_2O_3$ -modified F-TMS photocatalysts ( $Al_2O_3$  loadings: 2.0, 5.0, and 10 wt %). The inset shows Tauc's plot of the 5.0 wt %  $Al_2O_3$  modified F-TMS photocatalyst.

$$\operatorname{TiO}_{2}(e_{CB}^{-}) + O_{2,ads} \rightarrow O_{2,ads}^{\bullet-} + \operatorname{TiO}_{2}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$TiO_2(h_{VB}^+) + H_2O_{ads} \rightarrow TiO_2 + {}^{\bullet}OH_{ads} + H^+$$
(5)

$$\operatorname{TiO}_{2}(h^{+}) + \operatorname{TiO}_{2}(O_{br}) \rightarrow \operatorname{TiO}_{2}(O_{br}^{\bullet})$$
(6)

These ROS can oxidize NO to  $NO_2$  either by •OH radicals and trapped holes (Eqs. 7 and 8) or by  $O_2^{\bullet}$  (Eq. 9).

$$NO_{ads} \xrightarrow{^{\bullet}OH_{ads}HNO_2} \xrightarrow{^{\bullet}OH_{ads}HO_2, ads^{+H_2O}}$$
(7)

$$NO_{ads} \xrightarrow{TiO_2(O_{br}^{\bullet}), H_2O_{ads}} TiO_2 + NO_{2,ads} + 2H^+$$
(8)

$$NO_{ads} \xrightarrow{O_{ads}^{\bullet}, H^{+}} NO_{2,ads} + {}^{\bullet}OH_{ads}$$
(9)

In a less acidic environment, the reaction of  $O_{2 ads}^{\bullet-}$  with NO<sub>ads</sub> might lead to peroxynitrite intermediate (ONOO<sup>-</sup>) formation which undergoes



Fig. 6. Concentration-time profiles of photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement over TiO<sub>2</sub> P25, ATMS, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS photocatalysts (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %).



**Fig. 7.** Photonic efficiency (a) and selectivity to nitrate ions formation (b) of photocatalytic  $NO_x$  abatement over TiO<sub>2</sub> P25, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS photocatalysts (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %).

rearrangement to produce  $NO_3^-$  ions (Eq. 10). The nitrate ions formation can also be achieved by the oxidation of generated  $NO_2$  using either <sup>•</sup>OH radicals (Eq. 11) or trapped holes (Eq. 12).

$$NO_{ads} \xrightarrow{O_{2,ads}} ONOO^{-} \rightarrow NO_{3}^{-}$$
(10)

**^**•

 $NO_{2,ads} + {}^{\bullet}OH_{ads} \rightarrow HNO_{3,ads}$ (11)

$$NO_{2,ads} \xrightarrow{\text{TiO}_2(O_{br}^{\bullet}), \text{ H}_2O_{ads}} TiO_2 + NO_{3,ads}^- + 2H^+$$
(12)

According to these equations, the adsorbed water, the surface acidity, and the type of generated holes (free  $^{\circ}$ OH radical or trapped holes) play a vital role in the photocatalytic oxidation of NO<sub>x</sub>. It is worth mentioning here that the synthesized ATMS photocatalyst is etched with fluoride ions and thus it is highly expected that the F-TMS photocatalyst has a fluorinated surface and also surface defects (hole trapping sites) as proven by the EPR analysis (Section 3.3). The fluorination of TiO<sub>2</sub> surface facilitates the desorption of •OH radicals at the air/catalyst interface thus enhancing the photoactivity as previously reported [27]. The creation of hole-trapping sites also increases the photocatalytic activity [42,43]. This agrees with the improved photocatalytic activity observed after the fluoride etching of the TMS. But the selectivity was still lower than that of TiO<sub>2</sub> P25. To improve the selectivity toward the formation of nitrate ions, the generated NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation intermediates should be stored at the surface for further oxidation. As previously reported, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can store  $NO_x$  at the surface and thus allows the steps illustrated in Eqs. 7-11 to occur to a greater extent [24,25]. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has also a higher point of zero charge than that of  $TiO_2$  [44] and thus it is less acidic than  $TiO_2$ . This environment might promote the formation of nitrate ions according to Eq. 10 and inhibit the reaction given in Eq. 9. Indeed, upon the modification of the F-TMS photocatalyst with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the selectivity toward nitrate ion formation has been significantly improved (Fig. 7b). Interestingly, the 5.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> modified F-TMS photocatalyst showed 2.7-fold higher selectivity than TiO<sub>2</sub> P25 for nitrate ions formation. It can be observed from Fig. 7 that, despite the selectivity having been improved, the NO conversion is almost the same for the TiO<sub>2</sub> P25 and the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS photocatalysts. This might be attributed to the mass transport effect as previously proposed for the ISO 22197-1 reactor [45]. To investigate this possibility, the photocatalytic activity has been measured at a higher flow rate  $(4.5 \text{ Lmin}^{-1})$  to minimize the mass transport effect (Fig. S6). The photonic efficiency of NO conversion at this flow rate has been calculated and found to be 1.26 %. This value is almost equal to that calculated at a flow rate of 3.0 L min<sup>-1</sup> evincing that the mass transport has a limited effect under our experimental condition. To assure the nitrate formation, the amount of nitrate ions formed after the NO<sub>x</sub> abatement test was determined using ionic chromatography. In acceptable agreement with the amount of NO<sub>x</sub> removed (3.8 µmol), the concentration of nitrate ions formed on the 5.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> modified F-TMS photocatalyst was found to be 3.0 µmol. The IR spectrum was also recorded after the test and presented in Fig. S7. It shows clearly the characteristic peak of nitrate ions located at 1384  $\rm cm^{-1}$ . To test the stability and the performance of the developed catalyst, a 5-hour run was performed under simulated solar light (AM1.5 G, 1.0 sun, F.g. 8 1st run). However, the NO conversion was stable over the 5-hour test, the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration gradually increased over time (which means less selectivity for nitrate ions). This is attributed to the mediation of NO oxidation through Eq. 13 instead of Eq. 10 as previously reported [45]. This is understood due to the accumulation of nitrate ions in the form of nitric acid. We collected the photocatalyst after this test and washed it with water then retested it again as a fresh sample. The results are shown in Fig. 8 2<sup>nd</sup> run and indicated that the NO conversion activity is decreased by approximately 12 %. Moreover, the NO<sub>v</sub> removal is rapidly dropped which is more likely due to dissolving the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer by the generated nitric acid during the washing.

$$2NO + O_2 \xrightarrow{HNO_3} 2NO_2 \tag{13}$$

# 3.3. TGA and EPR analysis

The adsorbed water and defects (electron and hole trapping sites) play a significant role in the photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement process [41–43]. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) helps to investigate the effect of physiosorbed and chemosorbed water molecules on the surface of synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts. The TGA results are shown in Fig. 9. It has been divided into two steps. Step 1 represents the weight loss due to the desorption of physically adsorbed water (temperature up to 120 °C), and step 2 represents the weight loss due to the desorption of chemically bounded water (temperature up to 500 °C) [46]. The analysis of step 1 indicated that the amounts of physical adsorbed water are 0.9, 11.0, 3.8, 4.2, and 5.0 mmole  $g^{-1}$  for TiO<sub>2</sub> P25, ATMS, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %) photocatalysts, respectively. Normalizing these values to the BET surface area indicated that the amounts of water adsorbed per unit area are 16.4, 36.2, 19.8, 17.9, 27.2, and 28.3  $\mu$ mole m<sup>-2</sup>. The analysis of step 2 indicated that the amounts of chemically adsorbed water were 9.7, 9.6, 6.9, 14.4, 14.3, and 12.7 µmole m<sup>-2</sup> for TiO<sub>2</sub> P25, TMS, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loaded F-TMS (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %) photocatalysts, respectively. A correlated trend between the amount of chemically adsorbed water and selectivity is observed (Fig. S8). This indeed assures that water is required as a proton source for nitric acid formation and



Catalysis Today 437 (2024) 114749



Fig. 9. Normalized TGA curves of  $TiO_2$  P25, TMS, F-TMS, and  $Al_2O_3$ -modified F-TMS photocatalysts ( $Al_2O_3$  loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %).

also the generation of °OH radicals. But, one should mention here that the photocatalysis process is complex and depends on many other parameters. For instance, the ATMS photocatalyst exhibits an amount of chemically adsorbed water comparable to that of  $TiO_2$  P25 but it showed negligible photocatalytic activity.

As discussed above, the formation of defects (electron and hole trapping sites) could affect the activity and selectivity of NO<sub>x</sub> abatement over TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts. Here we have investigated this possibility by conducting low-temperature (-150 °C) EPR analysis and the results are depicted in Fig. 10. The TMS has two main peaks, one at g = 1.9420 due to the electrons tapped at the  $Ti^{4+}$  sites as  $Ti^{3+}$  (trapped electrons) [47]. The other peak, located at g = 2.0007, can be assigned to the surface trapped holes as [Ti<sup>4+</sup>O<sup>•</sup>Ti<sup>4+</sup>OH<sup>-</sup>] [48]. After the etching of the ATMS, new peaks appeared at g = 2.0212, g = 2.0470, and g = 2.0614. These peaks can be assigned to the intra-band-gap hole trapping sites with the structure of  $[Ti^{4+}O^{2-}Ti^{4+}O^{-\bullet}]$  [48]. This agrees with the reported finding that the fluorination of TiO<sub>2</sub> induces the formation of intra-band-gap energy states close to the valance band [40]. As reported, they are localized at intra-band-gap energy levels within approximately 1.3 eV above the valence band. The EPR peaks assigned to the intra-band-gap energy states were not observed in the TiO<sub>2</sub> P25 sample. TiO<sub>2</sub> P25 consists of a mixture of rutile and anatase phases, and the synergy between these two phases is commonly believed to be the reason for its high photocatalytic activity [49]. Based on the EPR and photocatalytic results it can be concluded that the trapped holes play a key role in the improvement of the photocatalytic NOx abatement but still, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> modification is required for improving selectivity toward nitrate ions formation.



Fig. 8. Concentration-time profiles of photocatalytic  $NO_x$  abatement over 5.0 wt %Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/F-TMS photocatalyst under standard solar irradiation (AM1.5 G, 1.0 sun).

Fig. 10. EPR spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> P25, TMS, F-TMS, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-modified F-TMS photocatalysts (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loadings: 2.0, 5.0, 10 wt %).

#### 4. Conclusion

Amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres (ATMS) were synthesized through the controlled hydrolysis of titanium(IV) isopropoxide in the presence of ammonium hydroxide. Despite the ATMS initially exhibiting negligible activity for the photocatalytic abatement of NO<sub>x</sub>, hydrothermal etching with fluoride ions led to significantly enhanced photocatalytic activity. However, the selectivity toward NO<sub>x</sub> removal remained lower than that of the benchmark TiO2 P25. The fluoride-etched TMS photocatalyst (F-TMS) was subsequently modified with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, resulting in a significant improvement in the selectivity toward NO<sub>x</sub> removal. The analysis of the NOx abatement results revealed that the Al2O3-loaded F-TMS exhibited a 2.7-fold increase in selectivity compared to TiO<sub>2</sub> P25. A correlated trend between the amount of chemically absorbed water and the selectivity was observed based on the thermal gravimetric analysis. The electron paramagnetic resonance measurements proved that fluoride-etching induces the formation of intra-band-gap hole-trapping sites, thereby improving photocatalytic activity. However, the primary factor contributing to selectivity improvement was attributed to the ability of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to stabilize the NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation intermediates at the surface for further oxidation to nitrate ions.

# CRediT authorship contribution statement

Tarek A. Kandiel: Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft. Muhammad Kamran: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft. Mohamed A. Morsy: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Detlef W. Bahnemann: Writing – review & editing. Amira Y. Ahmed: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Data Availability

Data will be made available on request.

# Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support provided by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM) through Project No. DF181025.

# Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2024.114749.

# References

- I. Manisalidis, E. Stavropoulou, A. Stavropoulos, E. Bezirtzoglou, Environmental and health impacts of air pollution: a review, Front. Public Health (2020) 14.
- [2] J. Ângelo, L. Andrade, L.M. Madeira, A. Mendes, An overview of photocatalysis phenomena applied to NO<sub>x</sub> abatement, J. Environ. Manag. 129 (2013) 522–539.
- [3] H. Orru, K. Ebi, B. Forsberg, The interplay of climate change and air pollution on health, Curr. Environ. Health Rep. 4 (2017) 504–513.
- [4] J.A. Mendoza, D.H. Lee, J.-H. Kang, Photocatalytic removal of NO<sub>x</sub> using TiO<sub>2</sub>coated zeolite, Environ, Eng. Res. 21 (2016) 291–296.
- [5] A. Folli, S.B. Campbell, J.A. Anderson, D.E. Macphee, Role of TiO<sub>2</sub> surface hydration on NO oxidation photo-activity, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 220 (2011) 85–93.
- [6] M. Baudys, R. Andrews, R. Han, C. O'Rourke, S. Hodgen, J. Krysa, A. Mills, Photocatalytic paints for NO<sub>x</sub> removal: influence of various weathering conditions, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 9 (2021) 106172.

- [7] L. Yang, A. Hakki, F. Wang, D.E. Macphee, Photocatalyst efficiencies in concrete technology: the Effect of photocatalyst placement, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 222 (2018) 200–208.
- [8] E. Luévano-Hipólito, A.M. de la Cruz, Enhancement of photocatalytic properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> for NO photo-oxidation by optimized sol–gel synthesis, Res. Chem. Intermed. 42 (2016) 7065–7084.
- [9] M. Xu, Y. Bao, K. Wu, T. Xia, H.L. Clack, H. Shi, V.C. Li, Influence of TiO<sub>2</sub> incorporation methods on NO<sub>x</sub> abatement in Engineered Cementitious Composites, Constr. Build. Mater. 221 (2019) 375–383.
- [10] L. Yang, A. Hakki, L. Zheng, M.R. Jones, F. Wang, D.E. Macphee, Photocatalytic concrete for NO<sub>x</sub> abatement: supported TiO2 efficiencies and impacts, Cem. Concr. Res. 116 (2019) 57–64.
- [11] M. Kamran, T.A. Kandiel, S. Abdel-Azeim, M.A. Morsy, D.W. Bahnemann, Mechanistic insights into the high selectivity and photocatalytic activity of brookite TiO<sub>2</sub> Toward NO<sub>x</sub>, Abat. J. Phys. Chem. C 127 (2023) 7707–7717.
- [12] X. Chen, H. Zhang, D. Zhang, Y. Miao, G. Li, Controllable synthesis of mesoporous multi-shelled ZnO microspheres as efficient photocatalysts for NO oxidation, Appl. Surf. Sci. 435 (2018) 468–475.
- [13] P. Dong, N. Xu, Y. Xu, X. Wang, A study of Pt/WO<sub>3</sub>-carrier catalysts for photocatalytic purification of NO gas, Catal. Commun. 84 (2016) 142–146.
- [14] L. Zhang, C. Yang, K. Lv, Y. Lu, Q. Li, X. Wu, Y. Li, X. Li, J. Fan, M. Li, SPR effect of bismuth enhanced visible photoreactivity of Bi2WO6 for NO abatement, Chin. J. Catal. 40 (2019) 755–764.
- [15] F. Dong, Y. Li, Z. Wang, W.-K. Ho, Enhanced visible light photocatalytic activity and oxidation ability of porous graphene-like g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets via thermal exfoliation, Appl. Surf Sci. 358 (2015) 393–403.
- [16] Y. Sun, W. Zhang, T. Xiong, Z. Zhao, F. Dong, R. Wang, W.-K. Ho, Growth of BiOBr nanosheets on C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets to construct two-dimensional nanojunctions with enhanced photoreactivity for NO removal, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 418 (2014) 317–323.
- [17] M. Irfan, M. Sevim, Y. Koçak, M. Balci, Ö. Metin, E. Ozensoy, Enhanced photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation and storage under visible-light irradiation by anchoring Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles on mesoporous graphitic carbon nitride (mpg-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>), Appl. Catal. B Environ. 249 (2019) 126–137.
- [18] W. Cui, L. Chen, J. Li, Y. Zhou, Y. Sun, G. Jiang, S.C. Lee, F. Dong, Ba-vacancy induces semiconductor-like photocatalysis on insulator BaSO<sub>4</sub>, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 253 (2019) 293–299.
- [19] M. Xu, Y. Xu, C. Zhang, S. Chen, X. Liu, H. Wu, S. Li, Y. He, F. Dong, A novel defect reassembly strategy for NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125(Ti) to enhance the photocatalytic NO removal activity, Sep. Purif. Technol. 322 (2023) 124374.
- [20] A. Pastor, C. Chen, G. de Miguel, F. Martín, M. Cruz-Yusta, D. O'Hare, I. Pavlovic, L. Sánchez, Facile synthesis of visible-responsive photocatalytic Eu-doped layered double hydroxide for selective removal of NO<sub>x</sub> pollutant, Chem. Eng. J. 471 (2023) 144464.
- [21] A. Kubacka, M. Fernandez-Garcia, G. Colon, Advanced nanoarchitectures for solar photocatalytic applications, Chem. Rev. 112 (2012) 1555–1614.
- [22] J.Z. Bloh, A. Folli, D.E. Macphee, Photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement: why the selectivity matters, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 45726–45734.
- [23] J. Patzsch, Jacob N. Spencer, A. Folli, J.Z. Bloh, Grafted iron(iii) ions significantly enhance NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation rate and selectivity of TiO<sub>2</sub> for photocatalytic NOx abatement, RSC Adv. 8 (2018) 27674–27685.
- [24] M. Çağlayan, M. Irfan, K.E. Ercan, Y. Kocak, E. Ozensoy, Enhancement of photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> abatement on titania via additional metal oxide NO<sub>x</sub>-storage domains: interplay between surface acidity, specific surface area, and humidity, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 263 (2020) 118227.
- [25] A.M. Soylu, M. Polat, D.A. Erdogan, Z. Say, C. Yıldırım, Ö. Birer, E. Ozensoy, TiO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> binary mixed oxide surfaces for photocatalytic NOx abatement, Appl. Surf. Sci. 318 (2014) 142–149.
- [26] C. Minero, G. Mariella, V. Maurino, D. Vione, E. Pelizzetti, Photocatalytic transformation of organic compounds in the presence of inorganic ions. 2. Competitive reactions of phenol and alcohols on a titanium dioxide–fluoride system, Langmuir 16 (2000) 8964–8972.
- [27] H. Kim, W. Choi, Effects of surface fluorination of TiO2 on photocatalytic oxidation of gaseous acetaldehyde, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 69 (2007) 127–132.
- [28] J.H. Pan, X.Z. Wang, Q. Huang, C. Shen, Z.Y. Koh, Q. Wang, A. Engel, D. W. Bahnemann, Large-scale synthesis of Urchin-like mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> hollow spheres by targeted etching and their photoelectrochemical properties, Adv. Funct. Mater. 24 (2013) 95–104.
- [30] D.H. Manh, T.T. Ngoc Nha, L.T. Hong Phong, P.H. Nam, T.D. Thanh, P.T. Phong, Determination of the crystalline size of hexagonal La<sub>1-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.3) nanoparticles from X-ray diffraction – a comparative study, RSC Adv. 13 (2023) 25007–25017.
- [31] U. Balachandran, N.G. Eror, Raman spectra of titanium dioxide, J. Solid State Chem. 42 (1982) 276–282.
- [32] J.H. Pan, X.Z. Wang, Q. Huang, C. Shen, Z.Y. Koh, Q. Wang, A. Engel, D. W. Bahnemann, Large-scale synthesis of urchin-like mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> hollow spheres by targeted etching and their photoelectrochemical properties, Adv. Funct. Mater. 24 (2014) 95–104.
- [33] G.C. Vásquez, M.A. Peche-Herrero, D. Maestre, B. Alemán, J. Ramirez-Castellanos, A. Cremades, J.M. Gonzalez-Calbet, J. Piqueras, Influence of Fe and Al doping on the stabilization of the anatase phase in TiO 2 nanoparticles, J. Mater. Chem. C 2 (2014) 10377–10385.

#### T.A. Kandiel et al.

- [34] L. Yang, N. Feng, F. Deng, Aluminum-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> with dominant {001} Facets: microstructure and property evolution and photocatalytic, Act. J. Phys. Chem. C 126 (2022) 5555–5563.
- [35] Y. Xu, S. Wu, P. Wan, J. Sun, Z.D. Hood, Introducing Ti<sup>3+</sup> defects based on lattice distortion for enhanced visible light photoreactivity in TiO2 microspheres, RSC Adv. 7 (2017) 32461–32467.
- [36] J.-C. Dupin, D. Gonbeau, P. Vinatier, A. Levasseur, Systematic XPS studies of metal oxides, hydroxides and peroxides, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2 (2000) 1319–1324.
- [37] J.I. Brauer, G. Szulczewski, Important role of surface fluoride in nitrogen-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with visible light photocatalytic activity, J. Phys. Chem. B 118 (2014) 14188–14195.
- [38] T. Tago, N. Kataoka, H. Tanaka, K. Kinoshita, S. Kishida, XPS study from a clean surface of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> single crystals, Procedia Eng. 216 (2017) 175–181.
- [39] T.A. Kandiel, A. Feldhoff, L. Robben, R. Dillert, D.W. Bahnemann, Tailored titanium dioxide nanomaterials: anatase nanoparticles and brookite nanorods as highly active photocatalysts, Chem. Mater. 22 (2010) 2050–2060.
- [40] N. Fessi, M.F. Nsib, L. Cardenas, C. Guillard, F. Dappozze, A. Houas, F. Parrino, L. Palmisano, G. Ledoux, D. Amans, Y. Chevalier, Surface and electronic features of fluorinated TiO<sub>2</sub> and their influence on the photocatalytic degradation of 1methylnaphthalene, J. Phys. Chem. C 124 (2020) 11456–11468.
- [41] L. Yang, A. Hakki, F. Wang, D.E. Macphee, Different roles of water in photocatalytic DeNO<sub>x</sub> mechanisms on TiO<sub>2</sub>: basis for engineering nitrate selectivity? ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9 (2017) 17034–17041.

- [42] H. Shang, M. Li, H. Li, S. Huang, C. Mao, Z. Ai, L. Zhang, Oxygen vacancies promoted the selective photocatalytic removal of NO with blue TiO<sub>2</sub> via simultaneous molecular oxygen activation and photogenerated hole annihilation, Environ. Sci. Technol. 53 (2019) 6444–6453.
- [43] J. Ma, H. Wu, Y. Liu, H. He, Photocatalytic removal of NO<sub>x</sub> over visible light responsive oxygen-deficient TiO<sub>2</sub>, J. Phys. Chem. C 118 (2014) 7434–7441.
- [44] M. Kosmulski, The pH dependent surface charging and points of zero charge. VIII Update, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 275 (2020) 102064.
- [45] A. Mills, L. Burns, C. O'Rourke, S. Elouali, Kinetics of the photocatalysed oxidation of NO in the ISO 22197 reactor, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 321 (2016) 137–142.
- [46] R. Mueller, H.K. Kammler, K. Wegner, S.E. Pratsinis, OH surface density of SiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> by thermogravimetric analysis, Langmuir 19 (2003) 160–165.
- [47] C.P. Kumar, N.O. Gopal, T.C. Wang, M.-S. Wong, S.C. Ke, E.P.R. Investigation, of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with temperature-dependent properties, J. Phys. Chem. B 110 (2006) 5223–5229.
- [48] N.O. Gopal, H.-H. Lo, T.-F. Ke, C.-H. Lee, C.-C. Chou, J.-D. Wu, S.-C. Sheu, S.-C. Ke, Visible light active phosphorus-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles: an EPR evidence for the enhanced charge, Sep. J. Phys. Chem. C 116 (2012) 16191–16197.
- [49] R. Su, R. Bechstein, L. Sø, R.T. Vang, M. Sillassen, B. Esbjörnsson, A. Palmqvist, F. Besenbacher, How the Anatase-to-Rutile ratio influences the photoreactivity of TiO<sub>2</sub>, J. Phys. Chem. C 115 (2011) 24287–24292.