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## Introduction. Pekka Pyykkö periodic table



The table is taken from P. Pyykkö Chem. Rev. 112, 371 (2012).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
Z=118 & 7 s^{2} 7 p^{6} & Z=119-121 & 8 s 8 p & Z=145-158 & 7 d 6 f 8 p \\
& & Z=122-124 & 8 p 7 d 6 f & Z=159-166 & 7 d 8 p 9 s \\
& Z=125-144 & 8 p 7 d 6 f 5 g & Z=167-172 & 7 d 8 p 9 s 9 p
\end{array}
$$

## Introduction

In this work, the results of the electronic-structure calculations for a number of super-heavy elements (SHE) of the 7th and 8th periods with atomic numbers $110 \leq Z \leq 170$ and their lighter homologous are performed [1-6].

- Ground state electron configurations of superheavy elements (SHE)
- lonization potentials
- Electron affinities
- One-particle electron density. Root-mean-square radii (RMS) and widths of the electron-density distribution of valence shells
- Shannon entropy
- Electron localization functions (ELF)
- Quantum electrodynamics (QED) corrections (110 $\leq \mathrm{Z} \leq 170$ ). [5]
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## Introduction. Specific features of electronic structure and chemical properties of super-heavy elements of the 7th and 8th periods

## How Far Does the Periodic Table Go?

The electronic structure of SHEs is unique in several aspects:

- The Dirac equation for a point nucleus has no solution, starting from $Z=137$. However, if we take into account the finite size of the nucleus, then the solution exists up to the critical value $Z=173$, when 1 s-level dives into the negative Dirac continuum.
- The concept of the ground configuration for the elements of the 8 th period is no longer well-defined, since sets of relativistic atomic terms of different configurations are overlapping [1].
- Strong relativistic effects cause contraction of the $\mathbf{s}_{1 / 2^{-}}$and $\mathbf{p}_{1 / 2^{-}}$orbitals, i.e., the shift of the maximum of the density distribution of these shells to lower values of the radius and an increase in the binding energies of these electrons.
- Spin-orbital splitting of valence p-shells reaches up about 10 eV for the 7 p - orbital in $\mathrm{Og}(\mathrm{Z}=118)$ and about 75 eV for the 8 p -orbital in element with atomic number $Z=165$.
[1] I. M. Savelyev et al., Phys. Rev. A, 107, 042803 (2023)


## Introduction. Specific features of electronic structure and chemical properties of super-heavy elements of the 7th and 8th periods

- Due to the strong contraction of $s$ - and $p_{1 / 2}$-shells and the huge spin-orbit splitting, the $8 p_{3 / 2}$-shell of elements of the 8 th period is populated only starting from $Z=167$, after filling the 9 s -shell.
- Example of the atom Og

Og is 118 'th element of the 7 th period of the 18 -th group of noble (inert) gases with configuration $[R n] 5 f^{14} 6 d^{10} 7 s^{2} 7 p^{6}$.

Due to the strong relativistic contraction, the radial distribution of the electron density of the valence $7 p_{1 / 2}$-shell of the Og atom starts to overlap with the outer core shells.

As a result, the Electronic Localization Function (ELF) is close to 0.5 in the valence region, as for a homogeneous electron gas. In Ref. [1] this effect in Og was interpreted as smearing out the valence electron density distribution and its approaching to the case of the homogeneous electron gas.
[1] P. Jerabek, B. Schuetrumpf, P. Schwerdtfeger, and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 053001 (2018).

## Introduction. Specific features of electronic structure and chemical properties of super-heavy elements of the 7th and 8th periods

- Formally, the 114 th element of the 7 th period with the configuration $7 s^{2} 7 p^{2}$ is a homologue of carbon $2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{2}$ and belongs to the 14th group. However, the spin-orbit splitting of the valence p-orbital in carbon is of the order of 6 meV , and in the 114th element of the order of 6 eV , i.e. about $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ times greater.

For this reason the $7 p_{3 / 2}$ - orbital of the 114 th element is not populated with electrons and cannot take part in formation of chemical bonds, in particular cannot take part in the formation of $\mathbf{s p}^{3}$ hybridization.

- Starting from the $Z=125$ element to $Z=142$, the 5 g -shell with the large angular momentum ( $(=4$ ) is occupied with valence electrons.

The effective radial potential for the 5 g -electron, which includes a large centrifugal repulsive term, has two potential wells which leads to the so-called orbital collapse.

## Introduction. Methods

In our work, we used two independent theoretical calculation methods.

- Configuration Interaction Dirac-Fock-Sturm method (CI-DFS)

At the first step, to obtain the one-electron wave functions for the occupied atomic shells, we use the Dirac-Fock method. Then the DFS orbitals are obtained by solving the DFS equations for the vacant shells. At the last step, the relativistic CI+MBPT method is used to obtain the many-electron wave functions and the total energies.

- Fock Space Coupled-Cluster method (FS-CC)

DIRAC, a relativistic ab initio electronic structure program, Release DIRAC21 (2021), http://www.diracprogram.org. FS-CC method, in contrast to the one-configuration coupled-cluster method is capable of providing not only the ground-state energy of an N -electron system, but also an important fraction of system's excitation spectrum, including ionization potentials, electron affinities, etc.

- To evaluate the QED correction we use the model QED operator approach[1].

In our recent work [2], the scope of the QEDMOD potential is extended to the region $120 \leq Z \leq 170$.

1. V.M. Shabaev, I.I. Tupitsyn, V.A. Yerokhin, Comput.Phys.Commun. 189, 175 (2015)
2. A. V. Malyshev et al., Phys. Rev. A 106, 012806 (2022)

## Ground state configurations

How do we define the ground state configuration?


The ground configuration is the configuration with the lowest average energy $E^{\text {av }}$ The ground state level is the level with the lowest $E(J)$
$\mathrm{Z}=125$. The lowest Dirac-Fock energy levels within configuration average approximation and for the relativistic terms

| Configuration |  | $E_{\mathrm{DF}}^{\text {av }}[$ a.u. $]$ | J | $E_{\mathrm{DF}}(J)$ [a.u.] |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $8 p^{1} 6 f^{3} 5 g^{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | -64627.549597 | 6.5 | -64627.614303 |
| $8 p^{1} 6 f^{2} 7 d^{1} 5 g^{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | -64627.542119 | 8.5 | -64627.638846 |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{2}-\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 0.007478 |  | -0.024543 |

These configurations have different parity and do not mix.

## Ground state configurations

For $Z=126$ the configurations with the lowest Dirac-Fcok (DF) energies within the configuration average approximation are

| Configuration | $E_{\mathrm{DF}}^{\text {av }}$ [a.u.] |
| :--- | ---: |
| $8 p^{1} 7 d^{1} 6 f^{2} 5 g^{2}$ | -66298.183666 |
| $8 p^{1} 6 f^{3} 5 g^{2}$ | .183121 |
| $8 p^{1} 6 f^{4} 5 g^{1}$ | .168137 |
| $8 p^{2} 6 f^{2} 5 g^{2}$ | .146963 |
| $8 p^{1} 7 d^{2} 6 f^{1} 5 g^{2}$ | .114149 |

The enrgies of the configurations $8 p^{1} 7 d^{1} 6 f^{2} 5 g^{2}$ and $8 p^{1} 6 f^{3} 5 g^{2}$ are almost the same.

- $8 p^{2} 6 f^{2} 5 g^{2}$ Mann et al., 1970 (DF)
- $8 p^{1} 7 d^{1} 6 f^{2} 5 g^{2}$ Fricke et al., 1977 (DFS)
- $8 p^{1} 6 f^{4} 5 g^{1}$ Umemoto and Saito, 1997 (DF+PZ SIC)
- $8 p^{1} 6 f^{3} 5 g^{2}$ (0.98) Nefedov, M. Trzhaskovskaya, 2006 (MCDF)
- $8 p^{1} 7 d^{1} 6 f^{2} 5 g^{2}$ Zhou et al., 2017 (DF)


## Spin-orbit splitting

Таблица: One-electron energies $\varepsilon(\mathrm{nlj})$ and spin-orbit splitting $\Delta_{\text {SO }}[\mathrm{eV}]$

| 7-th period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | $\varepsilon\left(7 p_{1 / 2}\right)$ | $\varepsilon\left(7 p_{3 / 2}\right)$ | $\Delta_{\text {SO }}$ |
| 114 | 10.4 | 4.5 | 5.9 |
| 116 | 14.3 | 6.1 | 8.2 |
| 118 | 20.1 | 8.3 | 11.8 |
| 8-th period |  |  |  |
| Z | $\varepsilon\left(8 p_{1 / 2}\right)$ | $\varepsilon\left(8 p_{3 / 2}\right)$ | $\Delta_{\text {SO }}$ |
| 125 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| 144 | 13.8 | 2.7 | 11.1 |
| 145 | 16.2 | 2.7 | 13.5 |
| 164 | 69.9 | 3.6 | 66.3 |
| 165 | 79.7 | 5.0 | 74.7 |
| 166 | 90.1 | 6.6 | 83.5 |

## Shannon entropy as a measure of localization of atomic valence states

According to the formula of K. Shannon [1], the amount of information is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} \ln p_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of random events, $p_{i}$ is the probability of the i -th event and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimum value of $S$ is reached for the deterministic event when one of the probabilities of $p_{i}$ is 1 , and the rest are zero. In this case, $S=S_{\text {min }}=0$.
The maximum value of $S$ is reached for an equally probable distribution $p_{i}=1 / N$. Then, $S_{\text {max }}=\ln (N)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq S \leq \ln (N) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For continuous distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\int d \boldsymbol{r} \ln (\rho(\boldsymbol{r})) \rho(\boldsymbol{r}), \quad \int d \boldsymbol{r} \rho(\boldsymbol{r})=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Shannon entropy increases with increasing delocalization of the valence states.
[1] C.E. Shannon, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 27, 379; 623 (1948).

## 12th group of elements

Group 12. Configuration ns ${ }^{2}$
Shannon entropy (S), Atomic root mean square (RMS)


## 14th group of elements



## Electron density distribution



Radial electron density $4 \pi \rho(r) r^{2}$ plot for Og in both relativistic and non-relativistic approximations.
There is no visible peak in the valence shell region. Electron density alone does not show any valence shell structure

## Nonrelativistic Electron Localization Function (ELF)

The probability of finding two particles with the same spins simultaneously at positions 1 and 2 in a multi-electron system is given by the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}\right)=\sum_{\sigma= \pm 1 / 2} \rho_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1} \sigma, \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \sigma \mid \boldsymbol{r}_{1} \sigma, \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \sigma\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{2}$ - reduced density matrix of the 2 nd order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2}\left(x_{1} x_{2} \mid x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i j k l} \Gamma_{i j, k l} \varphi_{i}^{*}\left(x_{1}\right) \varphi_{j}^{*}\left(x_{2}\right) \varphi_{k}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{l}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right), \quad x=(\boldsymbol{r}, \sigma) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Gamma_{i j, k l}$ is second-order reduced density matrix (RMD2) in the basis $\varphi_{i}(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j, k l}=\frac{1}{2}\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{+} a_{j}^{+} a_{l} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability density to find two electrons with parallel spins in the same point is equal to zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r})=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Nonrelativistic Electron Localization Function (ELF)

Consider the conditional density probability $P_{x}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}\right)$, which is equal to the probability density of finding one electron at the point $\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{s}$, when another electron is at $\boldsymbol{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{s})=\frac{P_{2}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{s})}{\rho(\boldsymbol{r})} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the one-electron density.
We define $D(\boldsymbol{r})$ as the first nonzero coefficient of the of the spherically averaged Taylor expansion of the conditional density probability $P_{x}$ on the displacement s . The first expansion coefficients vanished by the Pauli principle, the second is vanished after spherical averaging. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\boldsymbol{r})=\left.\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{s} P_{x}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{s})\right|_{\boldsymbol{s}=0}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{s} \frac{P_{2}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{s})}{\rho(\boldsymbol{r})}\right|_{\boldsymbol{s}=\mathbf{0}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The more highly localized is the reference electron, the smaller is the probability of finding another electron near the reference point.
[1] A. D. Becke and K. E. Edgecombe, J. Chem. Phys. v.92, 5397 (1990).

## Nonrelativistic Electron Localization Function (ELF)

The electron localization function (ELF) characterize the degree of localization of electrons in atoms and molecules. ELF was introduced in the quantum chemectry in the paper [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ELF}(\boldsymbol{r})=\left(1+\left[\frac{D(\boldsymbol{r})}{D_{0}(\boldsymbol{r})}\right]^{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Hartree-Fock approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{1}{2}\left[\tau-\frac{1}{4} \frac{|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho(\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}}{\rho(\boldsymbol{r})}\right], \quad \text { and } \quad \tau=\sum_{i, \sigma}\left|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi_{i \sigma}(\boldsymbol{r})\right|^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\rho$ is total density and $\tau$ the kinetic energy density.
$D_{0}(\boldsymbol{r})$ corresponds to a uniform electron gas (Thomas-Fermi) kinetic energy density

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{3}{10}\left(3 \pi^{2}\right)^{2 / 3} \rho^{5 / 3}(\boldsymbol{r}) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ELF values lie between zero and one $0 \leq$ ELF $\leq 1$. Small values are typical for the region between two electron shells. In a homogeneous electron gas $E L F=0.5$.
[1] A. D. Becke and K. E. Edgecombe, J. Chem. Phys. v.92, 5397 (1990).

## Relativistic Electron Localization Function (ELF)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{\lambda=1,2}\left[W^{\lambda}(r) T^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r})-\frac{1}{8} \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho^{\lambda}(r)\right|^{2}}{\rho(r)}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho(r)$ - total electron density

$$
\rho(r)=\sum_{\lambda=1,2} \rho^{\lambda}(r), \quad \rho^{\lambda}(r)=\frac{4 \pi}{r^{2}} \sum_{a} q_{a} \begin{cases}P_{a}^{2}(r), & \lambda=1,  \tag{15}\\ Q_{a}^{2}(r), & \lambda=2 .\end{cases}
$$

$T^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r})$ in formula (14) is the relativistic analogue of the non-relativistic kinetic energy density

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{a} q_{a} t_{a}^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}), \quad t_{a}^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2 j_{a}+1} \sum_{\mu_{a}, \sigma}\left|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi_{a \mu_{a}}^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}, \sigma)\right|^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $W^{\lambda}(r)$ is a weight function that has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\lambda}(r)=\frac{\rho^{\lambda}(r)}{\rho(r)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

I.I Tupitsyn et al., Optics and Spectroscopy, 130, 1022 (2022)
$\mathrm{Og}(\mathrm{Z}=118)$. Configuration $7 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 7 \mathrm{p}^{6}$
Electronic Localization Function (a.u.)


"Spin-orbit splitting in the 7p electronic shell becomes so large ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{eV}$ ) that Og is expected to show uniform-gas-like behavior in the valence region" [1].
[1] P. Jerabek, B. Schuetrumpf, P. Schwerdtfeger, and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 053001 (2018).
$\mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{Z}=114)$. Configuration $7 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 7 \mathrm{p}^{2}$
Electronic Localizatiom Function (a.u.)



Z164 Electron configuration: [Og]5g ${ }^{18} 6 \mathrm{f}^{14} 7 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$.
One-electron energies $\varepsilon$ and mean radii of the core electrons

|  | $\varepsilon[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $<\mathrm{r}>$ [a.u.] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1s $1 / 2$ | -770.6 | 0.0028 |
| 2p $1 / 2$ | -343.0 | 0.0038 |
| 2s $1 / 2$ | -196.3 | 0.0130 |
| 2p 3/2 | -66.2 | 0.0279 |

$$
m c^{2}=510.7 \mathrm{keV}
$$

## Orbital collapse. Double-well effective potential

- The orbital collapse effect occurs in atoms with open d- and f-shells as a consequence of the fact that the effective radial potential acting on the electrons of these shells contains two wells: a deep narrow inner well and a shallow but wide outer well.
- The effect of orbital collapse was predicted in [1], where it was shown, that the formation of a double-well potential is a consequence by the sum of two contributions of different signs: the screened potential of the nucleus and the centrifugal term, increasing quadratically with increasing orbital quantum number $l$. This effect was then considered in various papers and reviews (see [1-4] and references therein).
- With small changes in the various parameters determining this effective potential, the average radius and energy of the orbital can change by a factor of ten depending on which of the wells it's localised in.
- This phenomenon can have a significant influence on the properties of free atoms and ions, as well as atoms in molecules, clusters and crystals.
[1] M. Goeppert Mayer, Phys. Rev. 60, 184 (1941)
[2] J. P. Connerade, Contemp. Phys. 19, 415 (1978)
[3] R. I. Karaziya, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 135, 79 (1981)
[4] J.-R Connerade and R.C. Kamatak, Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, v. 28, p. 1 (2000)


## Orbital collapse. Double-well effective potential

The radial Dirac-Fock equation for f - and g - electrons ( $(=3,4$ ) contains a large centrifugal term $l(l+1) / r^{2}$ wich dominates at small $r$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{rad}}(r)=V(r)+\frac{l(l+1)}{2 r^{2}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, it may turn out that the effective one-electron potential has two wells: a narrow deep localized well and a tiny, but very wide, delocalized well.


Рис. 1. Двухъямный әффективный цотенциал (схематпческий вид).

Double well 4 f - potential. La, $\mathrm{Z}=57$ [Xe] $6 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{Af}^{1}$


## Orbital collapse. Double-well effective potential

The asymptotics of the local potential $V(r)$ at large distances is purely Coulombic. The exchange interaction of 5 g -electrons localised in the outer well with the remaining electrons of the ion is practically equal to zero due to the negligible overlapping of their wave functions.
Therefore the radial potential $V_{\mathrm{rad}}(r)$ in the asymptotic region for a neutral atom has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{rad}}(r)=-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{l(l+1)}{2 r^{2}}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimum of the effective potential $V_{\mathrm{rad}}(r)$ in the outer well is at the point

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\min }=l(l+1) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The depth $V_{\min }$ of this minimum is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\min }=-[2 l(l+1)]^{-1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy and mean radius of the electron in the outer well must be be close to the non-relativistic hydrogen values of the energy $\varepsilon_{n l}^{\mathrm{H}}$ and the mean radius $\langle r\rangle_{n l}^{\mathrm{H}}$ with principal quantum number $n$ and orbital quantum number $l$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n l}^{\mathrm{H}}=-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} \text { a.u., } \quad\langle r\rangle_{n l}^{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{1}{2}\left[3 n^{2}-l(l+1)\right] \text { a.u. } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Orbital collapse. Double-well effective potential

For the $4 f$-electron ( $n=4, l=3$ ) of a neutral atom localised in the outer well, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\min }=12 \text { a.u. }, \quad V_{\min } \simeq-0.0417 \text { a.u. } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{4 f}^{\mathrm{H}}=-0.03125 \text { a.u., } \quad\langle r\rangle_{4 f}^{\mathrm{H}}=18.0 \text { a.u. } \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the 5 g -electron ( $n=5, l=4$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\min }=20 \text { a.u. }, \quad V_{\min }=-0.0250 \text { a.u. } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{5 g}^{\mathrm{H}}==-0.02000 \text { a.u., } \quad\langle r\rangle_{5 g}^{\mathrm{H}}=27.5 \text { a.u. } \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Orbital collapse. 4d $\rightarrow$ 4f E1-transition

Example of the 4 f -orbital collapse of the excited configuration $4 \mathrm{~d}^{9} 4 \mathrm{f}$ in the Xe -like isoelectronic series.

Wave functions for the Xe -like isoelectronic sequence

[1] K. T. Cheng, C. Froese Fischer, PRA, 28, 2811 (1983)

## 5 g-orbital collapse in the SHE with $Z=124$ and $Z=125$

Таблица: List of values of total angular momentum $J$ (relativistic terms) and number $K$ of similear (identical) terms of configurations [Og] $8 s^{2} 8 p_{1 / 2}^{1} 6 f_{5 / 2}^{3} 5 g_{7 / 2}^{1}, Z=125$ and [Og] $8 s^{2} 8 p_{1 / 2}^{1} 6 f_{5 / 2}^{2} 5 g_{7 / 2}^{1}, Z=124$ of atoms with $\mathrm{Z}=125$ and $\mathrm{Z}=124$ respectively

| $\mathrm{Z}=125$ |  | $\mathrm{Z}=124$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tepм $J$ | $K$ | Tepм $J$ | $K$ |
| $1 / 2$ | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| $3 / 2$ | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| $5 / 2$ | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| $7 / 2$ | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| $9 / 2$ | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| $11 / 2$ | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| $13 / 2$ | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| $15 / 2$ | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| $17 / 2$ | 1 | 8 | 1 |

## 5 g-orbital collapse in the SHE with $Z=124$ and $Z=125$

Таблица: The one-electron energies of the $\varepsilon_{5 g}$ and the mean radii $\langle r\rangle_{5 g}$ of the valence $5 g_{7 / 2}$-orbital, and total Dirac-Fock energies of the neutral atom with $Z=125$. All values are given in atomic units

| $\mathrm{Z}=125 .[\mathrm{Og}] 8 s^{2} 8 p_{1 / 2}^{1} 6 f_{5 / 2}^{3} 5 g_{7 / 2}^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Терм $(J)$ | $\varepsilon_{5 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\langle r\rangle_{5 g}$ | Полная энергия |
| $1 / 2$ | -0.0200016 | 27.494 | -64846.13530 |
| $3 / 2$ | -0.0200015 | 27.494 | -64846.14377 |
| $5 / 2$ | -0.0200017 | 27.493 | -64846.14376 |
| $7 / 2$ | -0.0200017 | 27.493 | -64846.14376 |
| $9 / 2$ | -0.0200017 | 27.493 | -64846.14376 |
| $11 / 2$ | -0.0200019 | 27.493 | -64846.14377 |
| $13 / 2$ | -0.5387971 | 0.732 | -64846.37848 |
| $15 / 2$ | -0.5348849 | 0.732 | -64846.36810 |
| $17 / 2$ | -0.5367741 | 0.733 | -64846.37428 |

1. I. I. Tupitsyn et al., Optics and Spectroscopy 131, 895 (2023)

## 5 g-orbital collapse in the SHE with $Z=124$ and $Z=125$

Таблица: The one-electron energies $\varepsilon_{5 g}$ and mean radii $\langle r\rangle_{5 g}$ of the valence $5 g_{7 / 2}$-orbital, and the total energies of the neutral atom with $Z=124$. All quantities are given in atomic units.

| $\mathrm{Z}=124[\mathrm{Og}] 8 s^{2} 8 p_{1 / 2}^{1} 6 f_{5 / 2}^{2} 5 g_{7 / 2}^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Терм $(J)$ | $\varepsilon_{5 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\langle r\rangle_{5 g}$ | Полная энергия |
| 0 | -0.01996061 | 27.567 | -63308.54698 |
| 1 | -0.01998763 | 27.520 | -63308.55467 |
| 2 | -0.01999968 | 27.497 | -63308.55467 |
| 3 | -0.01999626 | 27.504 | -63308.55460 |
| 4 | -0.01999806 | 27.499 | -63308.55462 |
| 5 | -0.02001107 | 27.475 | -63308.55472 |
| 6 | -0.02002020 | 27.457 | -63308.55474 |
| 7 | -0.24072513 | 0.799 | -63308.52478 |
| 8 | -0.23380541 | 0.799 | -63308.50880 |

1. I. I. Tupitsyn et al., Optics and Spectroscopy 131, 895 (2023)

## Orbital collapse. Dirac-Fock double solutions

We consider configurations with only one f- or g-electron outside closed relativistic shell, since in the restricted Hartree-Fock method all electrons of the one shell have the same radial wave function, and only one electron can be localized in the outer well.

In some cases, depending on the initial approximation, two different solutions can be obtained: one localised in a narrow inner well and the other in a wide outer well.

The dual solutions were first found in Ref [1]. Using the mixing of the initial and final wave functions in the iterative procedure the authors obtained two Dirac-Fock solutions for lanthanum with configuration $6 s^{2} 4 f_{5 / 2}$ and for europium $6 s^{2} 4 f_{5 / 2}^{7} 4 f_{7 / 2}$.

1. I.M. Band and V.I. Fomichev, Phys.Letters A, 75, 178 (1980)

## Orbital collapse. Dirac-Fock double solutions

In order to obtain two solution we use the following procedure.

- We introduce the parameter $\alpha$ as a multiplier in the exchange interaction and represent the Dirac-Fock operator in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}_{\mathrm{DF}}(r)=V_{\mathrm{H}}+\alpha \hat{V}_{\mathrm{x}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\mathrm{H}}$ - Hartree potential with self-interaction correction, and $\hat{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$ - exchange operator.

- In the first step, we adjust the parameter a in order to obtain the required solution. Thus, for example, $\alpha=0$, as a rule, gives us a solution localised in the outer well.
At this stage we use the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator with the modified Gaspar potential [1] as an initial approximation. The modification has been done in [2] to take into account self-interaction correction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{G}}(r)=-\frac{Z}{r}+\frac{N_{e}-1}{r}\left(1-\frac{e^{-\lambda r}}{1+A r}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=0.2075 Z^{1 / 3}, A=1.19 Z^{1 / 3}$, and $N_{e}$ is the number of electrons.

- Then we change the parameter $\alpha$ in order to go directly or gradually to the value $\alpha=1$, using as an initial approximation the wave functions obtained at the previous value of $\alpha$.
[1] R. Gaspar. J. Chem. Phys., 20, 1863 (1952).
[2] A. E. S. Green. Advances in Quantum Chemistry, 7, 221 (1973).


## Orbital collapse. Two solutions for f-electrons (La, Z=57)

A coexistence of two different states of an atom with the same electronic configuration both for lanthanum ( $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 f_{5 / 2}$ )

Comparison our results with [1] for the La configuration ( $6 \mathbf{s}^{2} 4 f_{5 / 2}$ ) in [a.u.] $4 f$ - localized (inner) orbital, $4 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ - delocalized (outer) orbital, $\varepsilon_{4 \mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{H}}$ - nonrelativistic H -like energy for $\mathrm{n}=4$.

$$
\begin{array}{llllr}
\varepsilon_{4 \mathrm{f}} & =-0.23830 & <r>_{4 \mathrm{f}} & = & 1.2591 \\
\varepsilon_{4 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}} & =-0.03180 & <r>_{4 f^{\prime}} & = & 17.0614  \tag{29}\\
\varepsilon_{4 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}}[1] & =-0.0316 & & -- \\
\varepsilon_{4 \mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{H}} & =-0.03125 & <r>_{4 \mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{H}} & = & 17.9999
\end{array}
$$

Total Energies (J=2.5):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{\text {inner }}=-8493.5521 & E_{\text {inner }}[1]=-8493.6247  \tag{30}\\
E_{\text {outer }}=-8493.4767 & E_{\text {outer }}[1]=-8493.5512
\end{array}
$$

1. I.M. Band and V.I. Fomichev, Phys.Letters A, 75, 178 (1980)

## Orbital collapse. Two solutions for $g$-electrons ( $Z=125$ )

Double-well potential. $\mathrm{Z}=125,[\mathrm{Og}] \mathbf{8} \mathrm{s}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{8 p} \mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{f f}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{5 g}^{\mathbf{1}}$

$\mathrm{Z}=125$. Two solutions for 5g-orbital.


One-electron energies and radii of two states in [a.u.]
5 g - localized (inner) orbital, $5 \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}$ - delocalized (outer) orbital, $\varepsilon_{5 \mathrm{~g}}^{\mathrm{H}}$ - nonrelativistic H -like energy for $\mathrm{n}=5$.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\varepsilon_{5 \mathrm{~g}} & =-0.51464169 \text { a.u. } & <r>_{5 \mathrm{~g}} & =0.71289 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u} . \\
\varepsilon_{5 \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}} & =-0.02000147 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u} . & <r>_{5 \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}} & =27.4943 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u} .  \tag{31}\\
\varepsilon_{5 \mathrm{~g}}^{\mathrm{H}} & =-0.02000001 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u} . & <r>_{5 \mathrm{~g}}^{\mathrm{H}} & =27.5000 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u} .
\end{array}
$$

Total Energies $(\mathrm{J}=0.5)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\text {inner }}=-64846.2788 \text { a.u. } \\
& E_{\text {outer }}=-64846.0878 \text { a.u. } \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

## Orbital collapse. Two solutions for $6 f$-electrons $(Z=148)$

$Z=148$. Configuration: $[\mathrm{Og}] 8 s^{2} 8 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}^{1} 6 \mathrm{f}_{5 / 2}^{6} 6 \mathrm{f}_{7 / 2}^{1}$

Таблица: 6f-orbital localized in the inner well

| Level J | Total energy | $\varepsilon_{6 \mathrm{f}}$ | $\langle\mathrm{r}\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | -115208.5829 | -0.10466 | 1.570 |
| 3.0 | -115208.6011 | -0.11633 | 1.566 |
| 4.0 | -115208.6145 | -0.12470 | 1.574 |
| 5.0 | -115208.5860 | -0.10774 | 1.562 |

Таблица: 6f-orbital localized in the outer well

| Level J | Total energy | $\varepsilon_{6 f^{\prime}}$ | $\langle\mathrm{r}\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | -115208.6203 | -0.0309 | 18.206 |
| 3.0 | -115208.6208 | -0.0314 | 17.798 |
| 4.0 | -115208.6210 | -0.0317 | 17.594 |
| 5.0 | -115208.6205 | -0.0311 | 18.058 |

## One-electron model QED potential

The model self-energy (SE) operator $V^{\mathrm{SE}}$ for $5 \leq Z \leq 120$ was introduced in our papers [1-3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\mathrm{SE}}=V_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{SE}}+\sum_{i, k=1}^{n}\left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle \Delta B_{i k}\left\langle\phi_{k}\right|, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta B_{i k}=\sum_{j, l=1}^{n}\left(D^{-1}\right)_{j i} \Delta \Sigma_{j l}\left(D^{-1}\right)_{l k} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta \Sigma_{i k}=\Sigma_{i k}-\left\langle\psi_{i}^{(0)}\right| V_{\text {loc }}^{\mathrm{SE}}\left|\psi_{k}^{(0)}\right\rangle$ and $\Sigma_{i j}=\left\langle\psi_{i}^{(0)}\right| \hat{\Sigma}\left|\psi_{j}^{(0)}\right\rangle$.
Here $\Sigma_{i j}$ are the matrix elements of the exact one-loop energy-dependent SE operator $\hat{\Sigma}$, calculated with hydrogen like wave functions $\psi_{i}^{(0)}$ [1].

## At the present time, the scope of model QED operator has been expanded up to

 $Z=170$ [4].1. V.M. Shabaev, I.I. Tupitsyn, V.A. Yerokhin, Phys. Rev. A, 88, 012513 (2013)
2. V.M. Shabaev, I.I. Tupitsyn, V.A. Yerokhin, Computer Phys. Comm., 189, 175 (2015)
3. I.I. Tupitsyn, M.G. Kozlov, M.S. Safronova, V.M. Shabaev, and V.A. Dzuba, PRL, 117, 253001 (2016)
4. A. V. Malyshev, D. A. Glazov, V. M. Shabaev, I. I. Tupitsyn, V. A. Yerokhin, and V. A. Zaytsev, Phys. Rev. A 106, 012806 (2022)

Thank You for Attention.

## Ground electron configurations

Таблица: Ground state electron configurations

| Core: [Og] $8 s^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $Z$ | Conf. | $J$ | Ref[1] | Ref[2] |
| 125 | $5 g^{1} 6 f^{2} 7 d^{1} 8 p^{1}$ | 8.5 | $5 g^{1} 6 f^{3} 8 p^{1}$ | $5 g^{1} 6 f^{2} 8 p^{2}$ |
| 126 | $5 g^{2} 6 f^{2} 7 d^{1} 8 p^{1}$ | 10 | $5 g^{2} 6 f^{2} 7 d^{1} 8 p^{1}$ | $5 g^{2} 6 f^{3} 8 p^{1}$ |
| 127 | $5 g^{3} 6 f^{2} 7 d^{1} 8 p^{1}$ | 13.5 | $5 g^{3} 6 f^{2} 8 p^{2}$ | $5 g^{3} 6 f^{2} 8 p^{2}$ |
| Core: $[0 g] 8 s^{2} 8 p_{1 / 2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{1} 7 d^{3}$ | 4.0 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{1} 7 d^{3}$ | $5 g^{17} 6 f^{1} 7 d^{3}$ |
| 145 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{3} 7 d^{2}$ | 6.5 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{3} 7 d^{2}$ | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{3} 7 d^{2}$ |
| 162 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{8}$ | 4.0 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{8}$ | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{7} 9 s^{1}$ |
| 163 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{9}$ | 2.5 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{9}$ | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{8} 9 s^{1}$ |
| 164 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{10}$ | 0.0 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{10}$ | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{9} 9 s^{1}$ |
| 165 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{10} 9 s^{1}$ | 0.5 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 5 g^{18} 7 d^{10} 9 s^{1}$ | - |
| 166 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 7 d^{10} 9 s^{2}$ | 0.0 | $5 g^{18} 6 f^{14} 5 g^{18} 7 d^{10} 9 s^{2}$ | - |

[1] B. Fricke and G. Soff, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 19, 83 (1977).
[2] V.I. Nefedov, M. Trzhaskovskaya, Dokl. Phys. Chem. 408, 149 (2006).

## Orbital collapse

The radial Dirac-Fock equation for f - and g - electrons ( $(\mathrm{l}=3,4$ ) contains a large centrifugal term $l(l+1) / r^{2}$ wich dominates at small $r$. As a result, it may turn out that the effective one-electron potential has two wells: a narrow deep localized well and a tiny, but very wide, delocalized well.

This leads to the fact that with small changes in the atomic parameters the delocalized solution can collapse into a highly localized one [1], for example, depending on the value of total angular momentum J.

[1] Griffin et al, Phys Rev 177, 62. (1969)

## No orbital collapse of the $6 f$-electrons

There is no collapse of the 6f-orbital for the elements of 8 th period, since the 6 f -radial potential has only one inner well.

Single-well 6f-potential. $Z=125, \quad[\mathrm{Og}] \mathrm{ss}^{2} \mathbf{8} \mathrm{p}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{6 f}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{5 g}^{\mathbf{1}}$


