

# ZOOSYSTEMATICA ROSSICA

Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg • https://www.zin.ru/journals/zsr/ Vol. 31(2): 304–328 • Published online 29 December 2022 • DOI 10.31610/zsr/2022.31.2.304

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# A review of the Ponto-Caspian genus *Caspiohydrobia* (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Hydrobiidae)

# Обзор видов понто-каспийского рода *Caspiohydrobia* (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Hydrobiidae)

S.I. Andreeva, P.V. Kijashko, T.Ya. Sitnikova & M.V. Vinarski

С.И. Андреева, П.В. Кияшко, Т.Я. Ситникова, М.В. Винарский

Svetlana I. Andreeva, Omsk State Transport University, 35 K. Marx Avenue, 644046, Omsk, Russia. E-mail: siandreeva@ yandex.ru

Pavel V. Kijashko [9, Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Universitetskaya Emb., St Petersburg 199034, Russia. E-mail: kija@zin.ru

Tatiana Ya. Sitnikova<sup>®</sup>, Limnological Institute, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, 3 Ulan-Batorskaya Str., Irkutsk 664033, Russia. E-mail: sit@lin.irk.ru

Maxim V. Vinarski<sup>®</sup>, Laboratory of Macroecology & Biogeography of Invertebrates, 7/9 Universitetskaya Emb., St Petersburg 199034, Russia; Tyumen Scientific Center, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Tyumen, 625026 Russia. E-mail: radix.vinarski@gmail.com

**Abstract.** The paper reviews all available information on the species content, distribution and ecology of brackishwater hydrobiid snails belonging to the genus *Caspiohydrobia* Starobogatov, 1970. The annotated list of nominal species with remarks on their taxonomy, nomenclature and distribution is provided as well as photographic images of some type specimens and/or topotypes. In total, the genus includes 31 nominal species distributed almost exclusively within the former Paratethys basin. The lectotype for the species *C. cylindrica* Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969 is designated. A short overview of the known habitat preferences of various *Caspiohydrobia* species is provided. We consider *Caspiohydrobia* a genus of Ponto-Caspian origin, whose range extends southwards to Iraq, Iran and Tajikistan and northwards to West Siberia. The actual species content of the genus as well as its generic independence require a further integrative revision, since most of the nominal species of *Caspiohydrobia* are still known from dried shells only and may actually be synonyms of a few (or even a single) species.

**Резюме.** В статье обобщены все имеющиеся сведения о видовом составе, распространении и экологии солоноватоводных моллюсков рода *Caspiohydrobia* Starobogatov, 1970 (Hydrobiidae). Приведен аннотированный список номинальных видов с замечаниями по их таксономии, номенклатуре и распространению, а также фотографии некоторых типовых экземпляров и/или топотипов. В состав рода входит 31 номинальный вид; распространены они почти исключительно в пределах бывшего бассейна Паратетис. Обозначается лектотип вида *C. cylindrica* Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969. Дан краткий обзор известных ареалов различных видов рода. *Caspiohydrobia* рассматривается как группа понто-каспийского происхождения, ареал которой простирается на юг до Ирака, Ирана и Таджикистана и на север до Западной Сибири. Фактический видовой состав таксона, а также его родовая самостоятельность требуют интегративно-таксономической ревизии, поскольку большинство номинальных видов *Caspiohydrobia* до сих пор известны только по сухим раковинам и могут фактически быть синонимами нескольких видов (или даже одного).

Key words: brakishwater Gastropoda, taxonomic catalogue, nomenclature, distribution, Ponto-Caspian fauna

**Ключевые слова:** солоноватоводные Gastropoda, таксономический каталог, номенклатура, распространение, Понто-Каспийская фауна

ZooBank Article LSID: 6E374579-A9E0-4122-99BF-EAFC920604FA

# Introduction

The Ponto-Caspian Region hosts a unique malacofauna characterised by a high level of endemism and a relatively old age, with many relic genera and species of the Ponto-Caspian gastropods and bivalves being direct descendants of the malacofauna of the Paratethys, a large sea that once spread over a large area from Central Europe to Western Asia. A series of works aimed at reviewing the taxonomic content and biogeographical features of the recent Ponto-Caspian malacofauna (Golikov & Starobogatov, 1966, 1972; Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969; Starobogatov, 1970, 1974; Sitnikova & Starobogatov, 1998, 1999: Andreeva & Andreev, 2003: Anistratenko et al., 2011, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021a; Kijashko, 2013; Wesselingh et al., 2019; Aladin et al., 2022). Currently, this unique endemic malacofauna is under threat of total disappearance, with human activity and biological invasions being the most important driving causes of its ongoing extinction (Lattuada et al., 2019, 2020; Wesselingh et al., 2019; Gogaladze et al., 2021). The conservation efforts, however, are constrained by many factors, including the so-called 'taxonomic impediment'. The vast majority of the Ponto-Caspian mollusc species were established during the first, purely conchological, period of malacological systematics, when shell features alone served as the basis for species delineation. What is worse, a significant portion of the Ponto-Caspian endemic species have never been found alive, and there are some doubts about their belonging to the recent fauna; it is likely that some of the species are in fact (sub)fossils washed out from Pleistocene or Early Holocene sediments (Anistratenko et al., 2021a, 2022). Another cause of the taxonomic impediment is the lack of knowledge on the identity, variability and distribution of many dozens of nominal species of Ponto-Caspian gastropods and bivalves described in the 19th and first half of the 20th century. In many cases, these species

have not been revised since their description, chiefly due to a lack of anatomical and/or molecular information.

During the last several years, considerable efforts were made to resolve and clarify the problems of taxonomy of the Ponto-Caspian molluscs. Annotated check-lists and catalogues were published (Kijashko, 2013; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016; Wesselingh et al., 2019) alongside with papers focused on systematics of particular taxa (e.g., Neubauer et al., 2018, 2021; Sands et al., 2019; Anistratenko et al., 2021a) and publications on type materials of species endemic to the region (Anistratenko et al., 2018, 2020, 2021b).

This paper is a direct continuation of this series of publications. The aim of our work is to review and illustrate the nominal species of the Ponto-Caspian genus *Caspiohydrobia* Starobogatov, 1970 of the caenogastropod family Hydrobiidae Stimpson, 1865. This genus has, probably, the broadest native area among the Ponto-Caspian genera of Gastropoda; its representatives are distributed over an area stretching from the Black Sea in the west to Tajikistan in the east (Starobogatov, 1970; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016). In the malacofauna of the former USSR, 30 nominal species have been included to this genus (Vinarski & Kantor, 2016).

The taxonomic status of the genus *Caspiohydrobia* is disputable. In the Russian literature, it has been accepted as a distinct taxon at the rank of genus, classified within the (sub)family Pyrgulinae Brusina, 1882 or, sometimes, Caspiinae B. Dybowski, 1913 of the family Hydrobiidae (Starobogatov, 1970, 1974; Golikov & Starobogatov, 1972; Andreeva, 1987; Sitnikova et al., 1992; Anistratenko & Stadnichenko, 1995; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016). Wesselingh et al. (2019), in their recent revision of the Caspian gastropods, consider *Caspiohydrobia* a junior synonym of the genus *Ecrobia* Stimpson, 1865. The authors suggested even that all thirty nominal species included in *Caspiohydrobia* may represent only intraspecific forms of the very variable and broadly distributed species *Ecrobia grimmi* (Clessin in Dybowski, 1887). Vandendorpe et al. (2019) hold the same point of view. However, as Wesselingh et al. (2019: 74) acknowledge, "no molecular data are known to confirm the identity of the Central Asian snails" of this genus. Many nominal species of *Caspiohydrobia*, introduced between 1974 and 1989, with type localities situated in Tajikistan, Kazakhstan and the Aral Sea, wait for their reassessment. Some of them may represent distinct taxa, not identical with *E. grimmi*. Unfortunately, anatomical information, let alone molecular one, is available for only a few species (e.g., Sitnikova et al., 1992).

The most important taxonomic works on the genus Caspiohydrobia are those by Starobogatov & Izzatullaev (1974), Starobogatov & Andreeva (1981), Sitnikova et al. (1992), and Anistratenko & Stadnichenko (1995). Tadjalli-Pour (1980) listed and illustrated the species of this genus (he used the generic name Purgohudrobia Radoman, 1983) of the Iranian part of the Caspian Sea. Andreeva & Andreev (2003) summarised the available information on Caspiohydrobia of the Aral Sea. Kantor & Sysoev (2006) and Vinarski & Kantor (2016) have provided catalogues of the living representatives of this genus, with the former of these two also providing illustrations. Shirokaya et al. (2019) published an illustrated review of gastropod taxa described by the Tajik-Uzbek malacologist Zuvaidullo Izzatullaev, including six species of Caspiohydrobia discovered by him in Tajikistan. Izzatullaev (2018) in his monograph presented some data on the distribution and ecology of Caspiohydrobia species known from the Central Asian part of the former USSR (with the exception for the Caspian Sea). Izzatullaev (2019) published an annotated list of these species, accompanied by an identification key. Vandendorpe et al. (2019) published some molecular data on Caspiohydrobia (= Ecrobia grimmi s. l.) collected from three localities in southern Kazakhstan and Russia. A paper by Andreeva et al. (2020) provides new information on the distribution of Caspiohydrobia species in the Caspian Lowland.

The distribution of the recent *Caspiohydrobia* is patchy; the range of the genus is divided in a series of isolated or semi-isolated areas, some of them (Tajikistan, Southern Urals and Transuralia) lie

beyond the former Paratethys, which probably reflect the ways of snail dispersal in the geological past. Table 1 summarises the data on distribution of the recent *Caspiohydrobia*. A few fossil species assigned to this genus were described from the Black Sea (Ilyina et al., 1976; Badzoshvili, 1979) and the Mediterranean (Rust, 1997) regions. They are neither discussed nor illustrated in our paper. Probably, none of these species is closely related with extant *Caspiohydrobia* species from the Ponto-Caspian basin. An in-depth revision of the fossil representatives is required to clarify their current genus allocation (T.A. Neubauer, pers. comm., 21.XI.2022).

The former range of the genus included also the southern part of West Siberia, where subfossil shells of *Caspiohydrobia* were found in lacustrine sediments of the Early Holocene age (Gusskov et al., 2008). Alongside with findings of some Foraminifera and Ostracoda species in the same sediments, these records indicate the former connection between the West Siberian Plain and the Aral Sea, that took place about 6.7 kyr (Gusskov et al., 2011; Riedel et al., 2011).

In this publication, we present an illustrated and annotated review of all recent species of *Caspiohydrobia*, with data on their nomenclature, distribution and type series (where available). We tried to illustrate all extant holotype specimens of *Caspiohydrobia*, except for those described by Starobogatov & Izzatullaev (1974) from Tajikistan. These holotypes were recently illustrated by Shirokaya et al. (2019).

We did not aim to redescribe any nominal species of Caspiohydrobia, though at least those described by Kolesnikov (1947) are worthy of such a redescription. Kolesnikov's paper is essentially an identification key, and he did not publish extended descriptions of the new species, which provides only limited morphological information on the new taxa, just enough to make them nomenclaturally available. Kolesnikov (1947) promised to publish the full descriptions of the species established by him in a special monograph on the Caspian Mollusca, but his death in 1948 prevented him from realising this project. Our intention was to summarise the accumulated information about this snail genus and to illustrate all nominal extant species, whereas

Region / basin	Species of Caspiohydrobia	Source	
Aral Sea	<u>Caspiohydrobia aralensis, C. behningi, C. bergi,</u> C. chry- sopsis, C. conica, C. convexa, C. curta, C. cylindrica, C. dubia, C. gemmata, C. grimmi, <u>C. husainovae, C. ka-</u> <u>zakhstanica, C. nikitinskii, C. nikolskii, C. obrutchevi,</u> C. oviformis, C. parva, C. pavlovskii, <u>C. sidorovi</u> , C. sog- diana, C. subconvexa, C. tahzhikistanica	Andreeva, 1987	
Azov and Black Sea (in limans)	Caspiohydrobia convexa, <u>C. eichwaldiana</u>	Golikov & Starobogatov, 1972; Anistratenko & Stad- nichenko, 1995; Anistraten- ko et al., 2011	
Caspian Lowland	Caspiohydrobia aralensis, C. behningi, C. chrysopsis, C. conica, C. convexa, C. cylindrica, C. johanseni, C. oviformis, C. parva, C. sidorovi	Andreeva et al., 2020	
Caspian Sea	Caspiohydrobia chrysopsis, C. conica, C. convexa, C. curta, C. cylindrica, C. dubia, C. eichwaldiana, C. gemmata, C. grimmi, C. oviformis, C. parva, C. sub- convexa, C. turrita	Logvinenko & Staroboga- tov, 1969; Andreeva, 1987; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016	
Iraq	<u>"Caspiohydrobia" elata</u> *	Starobogatov, 1970	
Northern Kazakshtan	Caspiohydrobia aralensis, C. behningi, C. bergi, <u>C. bo-</u> <u>realis</u> , C. husainovae, <u>C. johanseni</u> , C. kazakhstanica, C. nikitinskii, C. sidorovi, C. sogdiana	Andreeva, 1987	
Southern Kazakhstan	Caspiohydrobia aralensis, C. husainovae	Andreeva, 1987	
Southern Urals and southern Transuralia	Caspiohydrobia aralensis, C. borealis, C. chrysopsis, C. conica, C. coniformis, C. convexa, C. grimmi, C. hu- sainovae, C. johanseni, C. kazakhstanica, C. nikolskii, C. oviformis, C. sidorovi, C. sogdiana	Shishkoedova, 2011; own data	
Southern part of West Siberia (Holocene)	Caspiohydrobia bergi, C. borealis, C. coniformis, C. elon- gata, C. johanseni, C. kazakhstanica, C. ljaurica	Gusskov et al., 2008	
Tajikistan	Caspiohydrobia coniformis, C. elongata, C. ljaurica, C. pavlovskii, C. sogdiana	Starobogatov & Izzatullaev, 1974; Shirokaya et al., 2019	

**Table 1.** Distribution of the recent Caspiohydrobia species.

*Note.* Names of species whose type localities are situated in this region/basin are underlined. \* Starobogatov (1970) included to the genus *Caspiohydrobia* the species *Paludina elata* Küster, 1852 described from Iraq (Küster, 1852). Its taxonomic identity is unclear; possibly, this species is a synonym of *Ecrobia grimmi*, which is also known from Iraq (Haase et al., 2010) and Iran (Glöer, Pešič, 2012).

the proper taxonomic work, which would result in opinions on the status of particular species, would require a special study based on numerous samples of fixed specimens suitable for molecular and anatomical studies.

The order of species appearance in the annotated catalogue below is geographical, not alphabetical. At first the species with type localities situated in the Black and Caspian seas are characterised. And then the species described from the Aral Sea and other parts of Central Asia are listed. The only nominal species of *Caspiohydrobia* not recorded from the former USSR (*C. elata*) is placed at the end of our catalogue.

# **Material and methods**

The field works for this study were carried out by the senior author, Svetlana Andreeva, in 1978– 1992 in the Aral Sea and various areas of northern and central Kazakhstan, where a vast collection of *Caspiohydrobia* spp. and the data on their distribution and ecology were gathered. Another author, Maxim Vinarski, collected *Caspiohydrobia* in the Kushmurun Lake of northern Kazakhstan in October 2002 and in the Enalych Lake, Kurgan Region of Russia in July 2022.

We studied numerous samples of *Caspiohydrobia* kept in the collection of the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences in St Petersburg, Russia (ZIN, hereafter). This collection contains both type and non-type specimens of virtually all nominal species of this genus, except the extinct ones. Among other, this includes the type series of Caspiohydrobia species described by Starobogatov & Izzatullaev (1974), Starobogatov & Andreeva (1981), and Andreeva & Frolova (1989). Unfortunately, Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969), who described nine nominal species of Caspiohy*drobia* from the Caspian Sea, neither formed type series nor designated holotypes nor indicated the place where the type material is deposited. Thus, the current whereabouts of the type specimens of many of these species remains unknown (Vinarski & Kantor, 2016). The same is true for the type series of species described by Kolesnikov (1947). Nonetheless, during the preparation of this work, we managed to find and study a few previously unknown type specimens of *Caspiohydrobia* species.

Some of the specimens studied during this work origin from the private collections of Svetlana Andreeva and Maxim Vinarski; now these materials are kept in the Laboratory of Macroecology & Biogeography of Invertebrates, St Petersburg State University (LMBI hereafter).

The measurements of shells were made with accuracy to the nearest 0.1 mm according to the standard scheme (Fig. 1R), with six dimensions taken: shell height (SH), shell width (SW), spire height (SpH), body whorl height (BWH), aperture height (AH), and aperture width (AW).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) photos of the shells were takrn at the Limnological Institute of RAS by means of a Quanta 200 microscope (USA). The macrophotographs were taken at ZIN (the Core Facilities Centre "Taxon") by means of a Nikon SMZ 25 stereomicroscope with a mounted Nikon DS-Ri2 digital camera.

# **Systematics**

# Class Gastropoda

Family Hydrobiidae Stimpson, 1865

Genus Caspiohydrobia Starobogatov, 1970

Caspiohydrobia Starobogatov, 1970: 31, 279.

Type species: *Pyrgohydrobia eichwaldiana* Golikov et Starobogatov, 1966 (by original designation).

1. *Caspiohydrobia eichwaldiana* (Golikov et Starobogatov, 1966) (Figs 1A, B)

Pyrgohydrobia eichwaldiana Golikov & Starobogatov, 1966: 353, fig. 1(4); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 17, figs 3, 4.

- Caspiohydrobia eichwaldiana Golikov & Starobogatov, 1972: 98, pl. 2, fig. 13; Anistratenko & Stadnichenko, 1995: 109, fig. 87; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 91, pl. 43J; Anistratenko et al., 2011: 82, fig. III, 10; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 225.
- Hydrobia ventrosa var. evanescens Kolesnikov, 1947: 106, fig. 5 (non Hydrobia evanescens De Guerne, 1880).
- Paludina pusilla Eichwald, 1838: 219 (non Brongniart, 1810 nec Basterot, 1825).
- Litorinella acuta sensu Eichwald, 1855: 303 (non Cyclostoma acutum Draparnaud, 1801).

Type locality: Ukraine, Kuyalnik liman near Odessa (ca 46°34'30"N 30°44'30"E).

Types: ZIN, No. 4327/1 (holotype), 4328/2, 4329/3 (31 paratypes).

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 4.1; SH 2.1; SpH 2.8; BWH 2.2; AH 1.4; AW 1.2.

Distribution: southern Caspian Sea, limans of the Black and Azov seas.

Fig. 1. Shells of *Caspiohydrobia* species. A, *C. eichwaldiana*, ZIN, holotype; B, *C. eichwaldiana*, ZIN No. 4332/6, Caspian Sea, without a precise locality; C, *C. chrysopsis*, ZIN No. 4308/1, "Transcaspian Area, Uz. Ada" (un-known locality); D, *C. chrysopsis*, LMBI, northern Kazakhstan, Kushmurun Lake; E, *C. conica*, ZIN No. 4315/6, Caspian Sea, Balkhan Bay (probable syntype); F, *C. conica*, ZIN, uncatalogised, Caspian Sea, station 37/57; G, *C. convexa*, ZIN, holotype; H, *C. convexa*, LMBI, central Kazakhstan, Korgalzhyn Lake; I, *C. curta*, LMBI, Aral Sea, nr. Lazarev I.; J, *C. curta*, LMBI, central Kazakhstan, Korgalzhyn Lake; K, *C. cylindrica*, ZIN, lectotype; L, *C. cylindrica*, LMBI, Aral Sea, station 11; M, *C. dubia*, ZIN, uncatalogised, Caspian Sea, station 29/57; N, *C. dubia*, LMBI, Aral Sea, station 7a; O, *C. gemmata*, ZIN, uncatalogised, Caspian Sea, station 151/60; P, *C. gemmata*, LMBI, Aral Sea, Lazarev I.; R, scheme of shell measurements used in this study. Scale bars: 1 mm. Shells from the Black and Caspian Seas were identified by Ya.I. Starobogatov, those from the other regions, by S.I. Andreeva.





# 2. *Caspiohydrobia chrysopsis* (Kolesnikov, 1947)

(Figs 1C, D, 5A)

Hydrobia chrysopsis Kolesnikov, 1947: 106, 110.

- Pyrgohydrobia chrysopsis Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 349, fig. 358 (12); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 18, fig. 11.
- Caspiohydrobia chrysopsis Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 89, pl. 42B; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 227; Izzatullaev, 2019: 179, fig. 78.10.

Type locality: not specified (the Caspian Sea – from the title of Kolesnikov's publication).

Types: unknown (not traced).

Distribution: southern Caspian Sea, Caspian Lowland, Aral Sea, Southern Urals, and probably southern Transuralia.

## 3. Caspiohydrobia conica

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 1E, F, 5D, E)

- Pyrgohydrobia conica Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 346, fig. 358 (3); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 17, figs 5, 6.
- *Caspiohydrobia conica* Starobogatov, 1974: 257, fig. 226; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 89, pl. 42D; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 227; Izzatullaev, 2019: 171, fig. 76.2.

Type locality: the original description states that the species is distributed in the northern, middle, and southern Caspian Sea, however, the holotype was not designated, and the concrete type locality is thus not specified.

Types: Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969) did not specify the type series of this species. Possibly, three shells collected by Grimm in 1874 and kept under accession numbers 4314/5 and 4315/6in ZIN are the syntypes of *C. conica*. Both lots were collected in the Caspian Sea, 4315/6 from the Balkhan Bay, and the other from an unknown locality. There are more lots, identified by Starobogatov as *C. conica*, in the uncatalogised part of Logvinenko's Caspian material that is also kept in ZIN. Among these possible syntypes, there are lots sampled from stations 29/1957 (n = 4), 37/1957 (n = 2), 41/1957 (n = 3), and 151/1960 (n = 2). Since we are not aware which precisely specimens served Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969) to describe a new species, we refrain here from the designation of the lectotype of *C. conica*.

Distribution: southern Caspian Sea, Aral Sea; Southern Urals.

# 4. *Caspiohydrobia convexa* (Logvinenko et

Starobogatov in Golikov et Starobogatov, 1966) (Figs 1G, H, 5B)

- *Pyrgohydrobia convexa* Golikov & Starobogatov, 1966: 353, fig. 1 (2, 3); Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 346, fig. 358 (1); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 17, figs 1, 2.
- *Caspiohydrobia convexa* Golikov & Starobogatov, 1972: 98, pl. II, fig. 14; Anistratenko & Stadnichenko, 1995: 110, fig. 88; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 90, pl. 42B; Anistratenko et al., 2011: 81, fig. III, 9; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 227–228; Izzatullaev, 2019: 172, fig. 76.1.

Type locality: The Caspian Sea, Bol'shoy Kirovskiy Bay (nowadays Kyzylagach Bay).

Types: ZIN, No. 4320/1 (holotype), 4321/2 (4 paratypes).

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 3.8; SH 1.6; SpH 2.4; BWH 2.3; AH 1.4; AW 1.0.

Distribution: southern Caspian Sea, Caspian Lowland, Aral Sea, and Southern Urals.

# 5. Caspiohydrobia curta

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 1I, J, 5C)

Pyrgohydrobia curta Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 348, fig. 358 (7); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 18, figs 1, 2.

Fig. 2. Shells of *Caspiohydrobia* species (continuation). A, *C. grimmi*, ZIN, uncatalogised, Caspian Sea, station 43/57; **B**, *C. grimmi*, ZIN, uncatalogised, Caspian Sea, station 46/57; **C**, *C. oviformis*, holotype; **D**, *C. oviformis*, LMBI, Chelyabinsk Prov., Lake Salamatka; **E**, *C. parva*, ZIN No. 4346/1, "Transcaspian Area, Uz. Ada" (unknown locality); **F**, *C. parva*, LMBI, Aral Sea, Kurzhatpes; **G**, *C. subconvexa*, ZIN, probable holotype; **H**, *G. subconvexa*, LMBI, Aral Sea, station 35; **I**, *C. turrita*, ZIN No. 4353/1, Caspian Sea, Balkhan Bay; **J**, *C. turrita*, ZIN, uncatalogised, Caspian Sea, station 30/57; **K**, *C. aralensis*, ZIN, holotype; **L**, *C. aralensis*, LMBI, Kurgan Prov., Elanach Lake; **M**, *C. behningi*, ZIN, holotype; **N**, *C. benhingi*, LMBI, Aral Sea, station 74; **O**, *C. bergi*, ZIN, holotype; **P**, *C. bergi*, LMBI, central Kazakhstan, Korgalzhyn Lake. Scale bars: 1 mm. Shells from the Caspian Sea were identified by Ya.I. Starobogatov, those from the other regions, by S.I. Andreeva.

*Caspiohydrobia curta* Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 90, pl. 42, E; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 228; Izzatullaev, 2019: 171, fig. 76.5.

Type locality: unknown, since the exact region of the Caspian Sea where the holotype was collected is not indicated in the original description.

Types: the holotype specimen is illustrated by Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969, fig. 358, 7), but its current whereabouts is unknown (it is absent from the catalogised part of ZIN collection).

Distribution: middle and southern Caspian Sea, Caspian Lowland, Aral Sea, and Southern Urals.

#### 6. Caspiohydrobia cylindrica

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 1K, L, 5D)

- Pyrgohydrobia cylindrica Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 350, fig. 358 (13); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 19, figs 1, 2.
- Caspiohydrobia cylindrica Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 90, pl. 42K; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 228; Izzatullaev, 2019: 172, fig. 76.11.

Type locality: Caspian Sea, Bol'shoy Kirovskiy Bay (nowadays Kyzylagach Bay).

Types: the type series of *C. cylindrica* was found during this study in the uncatalogised part of the ZIN collection. It contains three shells collected in 1968 from the Bol'shoy Kirovskiy Bay (Kyzylagach Bay at present) of the Caspian Sea and four other specimens collected from a station 41/1957 (its precise locality remains unknown). None of the specimens bears inscription "holotype" on its label. One of shells from the Bol'shoy Kirovskiy Bay is designated here as the lectotype (see Fig. 1K) of *C. cylindrica*.

Dimensions of the lectotype (mm): SW 4.4; SH 1.9; SpH 3.2; BWH 2.3; AH 1.3; AW 1.1.

Distribution: middle and southern Caspian Sea, Caspian Lowland, and Aral Sea.

## 7. Caspiohydrobia dubia

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 1M, N)

- Pyrgohydrobia dubia Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 348, fig. 358 (6).
- *Caspiohydrobia dubia* Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 91, pl. 42J; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 228; Izzatullaev, 2019: 178, fig. 76.4.

Type locality: the original description states that the species is distributed in the northern, middle and southern Caspian Sea, however, the holotype was not designated, and the concrete type locality is thus not specified.

Types: whereabouts unknown. The type series was not specified in the original description. The catalogue of ZIN collection contains no lot of *C. dubia* from the Caspian Sea, and it is unknown which material was used by Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969) to describe this species.

Distribution: northern, middle and southern Caspian Sea and Aral Sea.

#### 8. *Caspiohydrobia gemmata* (Kolesnikov, 1947) (Figs 1O, P)

- *Hydrobia grimmi* var. *gemmata* Kolesnikov, 1947: 106, 110.
- Pyrgohydrobia gemmata Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 349, fig. 358 (8); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 18, figs 3, 4.
- *Caspiohydrobia gemmata* Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 91, pl. 43D; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 229; Izzatullaev, 2019: 178, fig. 76.6.

Type locality: not specified (the Caspian Sea – from the title of Kolesnikov's publication).

Types: unknown (not traced).

Distribution: northern, middle and southern Caspian Sea and Aral Sea.

#### 9. Caspiohydrobia grimmi

(Clessin in W. Dybowski, 1877) (Figs 2A, B)

- *Hydrobia grimmi* Dybowski, 1877: 55–56, pl. III, fig. 2 (partim?); Kolesnikov, 1947: 106, 100; Zhadin, 1952: 225, fig. 147.
- Pyrgohydrobia grimmi Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 349, fig. 358 (11); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 18, figs 9, 10.
- Caspiohydrobia grimmi Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 91–92, pl. 43, E; Filippov & Riedel, 2009: 70–72, 74–76, figs 4a–d; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 229; Izzatullaev, 2019: 178, fig. 76.9.
- *Ecrobia grimmi* s. l. Haase et al., 2010: 101; Anistratenko et al., 2019: 53; Vandendorpe et al., 2019: 2, fig. 1; Wesselingh et al., 2019: 74; Aladin et al., 2022: 129.

Type locality: Caspian Sea (without a precise locality).



Fig. 3. Shells of *Caspiohydrobia* species (continuation). A, *C. husainovae*, ZIN, holotype (damaged); B, *C. husainovae*, LMBI, Aral Sea, Bol'shoy Karatyup Cape; C, *C. kazakhstanica*, ZIN, holotype; D, *C. kazakhstanica*, LMBI, Kurgan Prov., Enalach Lake; E, *C. nikolskii*, ZIN, holotype; F, *C. nikolskii*, LMBI, Aral Sea, Lazarev I.; G, *C. obrutchevi*, ZIN, holotype; H, *C. sidorovi*, ZIN, holotype; I, *C. sidorovi*, LMBI, northern Kazakhstan, Lake Mnogosopochnoe; J, *C. borealis*, ZIN, holotype. Scale bars: 1 mm. All specimens were identified by S.I. Andreeva (except for A, G – identified by Ya.I. Starobogatov).

Types: most probably lost. Clessin's malacological collection, where the types of *Hydrobia grimmi* were kept (Dybowski, 1887; Anistratenko et al., 2019), was totally destroyed during World War II (Dance, 1986).

Distribution: *Caspiohydrobia grimmi* sensu Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969 is known from the Aral and Caspian seas as well as from the Southern Urals. Vandendorpe et al. (2019: 6) using the "wide" concept of this species (i.e., *Ecrobia grimmi* s. l.) describe its range as follows: "[it] is widespread across central Asia, occurring in brackish water bodies from the central Ural Mountains in the north to the Persian Gulf in the south and the Lake Issyk-Kul area in the east".



Fig. 4. Shells of *Caspiohydrobia* species (continuation). A, *C. borealis*, LMBI, northern Kazakhstan, Lake Kushmurun; B, *C. johanseni*, ZIN, lectotype (damaged); C, *C. johanseni*, LMBI, Chelyabinsk Prov., Lake Salamatka; D, *C. coniformis*, LMBI, Novosibirsk Prov., holocene deposits of Lake Sorgul; E, *C. elongata*, LMBI, Aral Sea, station 146/14; F, *C. ljaurica*, LMBI, Novosibirsk Prov., holocene deposits of Lake Sorgul; G, *C. pavlovskii*, LMBI, Aral Sea, station 1/19; H, *C. sogdiana*, LMBI, Aral Sea, Sary-Chaganak Bay; I, *C. nikitinskii*, ZIN, holotype; J, *C. nikitinskii*, LMBI, Aral Sea, nr. Lazarev I.; K, *C. tadzhikistanica*, LMBI, Aral Sea, Sary-Chaganak Bay. Scale bars: 1 mm. All specimens were identified by S.I. Andreeva.

Remark. We include this species to *Caspiohydrobia* following the usage accepted in the Russian literature (Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016), however, the most recent authors unanimously place it to the genus *Ecrobia* (see Wesselingh et al., 2019 and references therein).

#### 10. Caspiohydrobia oviformis

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 2C, D)

Pyrgohydrobia oviformis Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 349, fig. 358 (9); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 18, figs 5, 6.

Caspiohydrobia oviformis Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 93, pl. 44, E; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 221; Izzatullaev, 2019: 178, fig. 76.7.

Type locality: Caspian Sea, Bol'shoy Kirovskiy Bay (nowadays Kyzylagach Bay).

Types: the holotype shell was illustrated by Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969; fig. 358, 9), but its current whereabouts has been unknown (Vinarski & Kantor, 2016). During this research, we managed to find this specimen in the uncatalogised part of ZIN collection. Currently, it is catalogised under accession number 1/526-2022. No information about the paratypes is available.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.8; SH 1.6; SpH 1.8; BWH 2.0; AH 1.2; AW 0.9.

Distribution: southern Caspian Sea, Aral Sea, and Caspian Lowland.

## 11. Caspiohydrobia parva

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 2E, F)

- Pyrgohydrobia parva Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 348, fig. 358 (4); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 17, figs 7, 8.
- *Caspiohydrobia parva* Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 93, pl. 43F; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 231; Izzatullaev, 2019: 178, fig. 76.3.

Type locality: Caspian Sea, Bol'shoy Kirovskiy Bay (nowadays Kyzylagach Bay).

Types: the holotype shell is illustrated by Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969; fig. 358, 4), but its current whereabouts is unknown. The catalogue of the ZIN collection contains no *C. parva* lots originated from the Caspian Sea. There are three lots of *C. parva* in the uncatalogised part of the collection, which probably constituted the type series of this species. Their sampling sites are as follows: station 37/1957 (n = 2), 38/1957 (n = 3), and 44/1957 (n = 2).

Distribution: northern, middle and southern Caspian Sea, Aral Sea, and Caspian Lowland.

## 12. Caspiohydrobia subconvexa

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 2G, H, 5A, B)

Pyrgohydrobia subconvexa Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 349, fig. 358 (10); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 18, figs 7, 8. Caspiohydrobia aralensis Starobogatov & Andreeva, 1981: 31, fig. 5; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 88–89, pl. 42F; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 226; Izzatullaev, 2019: 178, fig. 79.4.

Type locality: Aral Sea, Sary-Chaganak Bay, 2.5 m.

*Caspiohydrobia subconvexa* Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 94, pl. 44, G; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 232; Izzatullaev, 2019: 175, fig. 76.8.

Type locality: Caspian Sea, station 41/1957 (the exact location of this site remains unidentified).

Types: the holotype shell was illustrated by Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969; fig. 358, 11), but its current whereabouts has been unknown (Vinarski & Kantor, 2016). During this research, we managed to find this specimen in the uncatalogised part of the ZIN collection. Currently, it is catalogised under the accession number1/527-2022. No information about the paratypes is available.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.6; SH 1.3; SpH 1.6; BWH 1.6; AH 1.0; AW 0.7.

Distribution: northern, middle and southern Caspian Sea and Aral Sea.

#### 13. Caspiohydrobia turrita

(Logvinenko et Starobogatov, 1969) (Figs 2I, J)

- Pyrgohydrobia turrita Logvinenko & Starobogatov, 1969: 348, fig. 358 (5); Tajalli-Pour, 1980: pl. 17, figs 9, 10.
- Caspiohydrobia turrita Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 94, pl. 44B; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 232–233.

Type locality: southern part of the Caspian Sea, exact locality is not specified in the original description.

Types: the holotype shell was illustrated by Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969; fig. 358, 5), but its current whereabouts is unknown. It is absent from the catalogised part of the ZIN collection.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.6; SH 1.3; SpH 1.6; BWH 1.6; AH 1.0; AW 0.7.

Distribution: endemic to the southern Caspian Sea.

#### 14. *Caspiohydrobia aralensis* Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981

(Figs 2K, L)



**Fig. 5.** SEM images of protoconchs and radulae of selected representatives of *Caspiohydrobia*. **A**, **B**, *C*. *subconvexa*, Caspian Sea (B, increasing non-spiral part); **C**, *C*. *pavlovskii*, Aral Sea, Sary-Chaganak; **D**, **E**, *C*. *conica*, Caspian Sea; **F**, **G**, two fragments of radula (*Caspiohydrobia* cf. *johanseni*, Enalach Lake). Scale bars: 100 μm (A, C, D, E), 40 μm (B, F), and 50 μm (G).

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/505-1980 (holotype) and 2/505-1980 (10 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 3.2; SH 1.8; SpH 2.1; BWH 2.1; AH 1.2; AW 0.9.

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of northern and central Kazakhstan, Southern Urals; Caspian Lowland.

# 15. Caspiohydrobia behningi

Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981 (Figs 2M, N)

*Caspiohydrobia behningi* Starobogatov & Andreeva, 1981: 31, fig. 2; Sitnikova et al., 1992: 8, fig. 1 (11); Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 89, pl. 42I; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 226; Izzatullaev, 2019: 177, fig. 79.3.

Type locality: Aral Sea, central part of Bol'shoe More, 21 m.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1 (holotype) and 2 (7 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 3.3; SH 1.8; SpH 2.1; BWH 2.0; AH 1.2; AW 0.9.

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of northern and central Kazakhstan; Caspian Lowland.

# 16. Caspiohydrobia bergi

Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981 (Figs 2O, P)

*Caspiohydrobia bergi* Starobogatov & Andreeva, 1981: 34, fig. 9; Sitnikova et al., 1992: 8, fig. 1 (13); Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 89, pl. 42A; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 226; Izzatullaev, 2019: 177, fig. 79.2.

Type locality: Aral Sea, north-east from Vozrozhdenie Island, 8 m.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/505-1980 (holotype) and 2/505-1980 (8 paratypes) in the catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.4; SH 1.4; SpH 1.6; BWH 1.6; AH 0.9; AW 0.7.

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of northern Kazakhstan; Holocene deposits of West Siberia.

# 17. Caspiohydrobia borealis

Andreeva et Frolova, 1989 (Figs 3J, 4A)

*Caspiohydrobia borealis* Andreeva & Frolova, 1989: 134–135, fig. a; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 89, pl. 42C; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 226–227.

Type locality: Kazakhstan, Kustanay Province, Ubagan River near its exit from Kushmurun Lake.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/530-1987 (holotype) and 2/530-1987 (9 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.7; SH 1.7; SpH 1.6; BWH 1.9; AH 1.3; AW 0.9.

Distribution: northern and central Kazakhstan; Holocene deposits of West Siberia.

# 18. Caspiohydrobia coniformis

Starobogatov et Izzatullaev, 1974 (Fig. 4D)

*Caspiohydrobia coniformis* Starobogatov & Izzatullaev, 1974: 933–934, fig. 1; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 90, pl. 42H; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 227; Izzatullaev, 2019: 175, fig. 77.2.; Shirokaya et al., 2019: 232, fig. 11D.

Type locality: Tajikistan, Khatlon Province, Danghara District, vicinities of Lyaur village, bank deposits of a brackish water river.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/163-1973 (holotype) and 2/163-1973 (9 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue. The holotype is illustrated by Shirokaya et al. (2019, fig. 11D). The current whereabouts of the 121 paratypes originally deposited in the collection of the E.N. Pavlovsky Institute of Zoology and Parasitology of the Tajik Academy of Sciences in Dushanbe (IZIP hereafter) is unknown (Shirokaya et al., 2019).

Distribution: Tajikistan (Dzhilantau Mountains), Southern Urals; Holocene deposits of West Siberia.

# 19. Caspiohydrobia elongata

Starobogatov et Izzatullaev, 1974 (Fig. 4E)

Caspiohydrobia coniformis Starobogatov & Izzatullaev, 1974: 933–934, fig. 2; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 91, pl. 43I; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 228; Izzatullaev, 2019: 173, fig. 77.11; Shirokaya et al., 2019: 232, fig. 11E.

Type locality: Tajikistan, Khatlon Province, Danghara District, vicinities of Lyaur village, bank deposits of a brackish water river.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/163-1973 (holotype) and 2/163-1973 (10 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue. The holotype is illustrated by Shirokaya et al. (2019, fig. 11E). The current whereabouts of the 60 paratypes originally deposited in IZIP is unknown (Shirokaya et al., 2019).

Distribution: Tajikistan, Dzhilantau Mountains; Holocene deposits of West Siberia.

# 20. Caspiohydrobia husainovae

Starobogatov, 1974 (Figs 3A, B)

*Caspiohydrobia husainovae* Starobogatov, 1974: 257, fig. 227; Sitnikova et al., 1992: 8, fig. 1 (9, 10, 14); Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 92, pl. 43K; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 229; Izzatullaev, 2019: 175, fig. 79.1.

Type locality: Aral Sea, about 20 m km NW of Obruchev Island, 20 m.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/506-1980 (holotype) and 2/506-1980 (a single paratype) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 3.7; SH 1.8; AH 1.1; AW 0.8 (Starobogatov, 1974).

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of northern and southern Kazakhstan, Southern Urals.

## 21. *Caspiohydrobia johanseni* Frolova, 1984 (Figs 4B, C, 6A–E, K–L)

Caspiohydrobia johanseni Frolova, 1984: 46, fig. 1; Andreeva & Frolova, 1989: 135, fig. 6; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 92; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 229–230.

Type locality: Kazakhstan, Pavlodar Province, lower stream of the Karasu-Shiderty River, 5 km from Zagotskot settlement.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 6/283-1975 (lectotype) and 4/529-1987 (3 paralectotypes) in the systematic catalogue. The lectotype was designated by Andreeva & Frolova (1989).

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of northern and central Kazakhstan, Caspian Lowland, Southern Urals; known from Holocene deposits in the south of West Siberia.

# 22. Caspiohydrobia kazakhstanica

Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981 (Figs 3C, D; 6F–J)

Caspiohydrobia kazakhstanica Starobogatov & Andreeva, 1981: 30, fig. 1; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 92, Fig. 43C; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 230; Izzatullaev, 2019: 176, fig. 79.2. Type locality: Aral Sea, Bol'shoye More (the central part), 19 m.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/505-1980 (holotype) and 2/505-1980 (10 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.9; SH 1.6; SpH 1.9; BWH 1.9; AH 1.2; AW 0.8.

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of northern Kazakhstan, Southern Urals and Transuralia; known from Holocene deposits in the south of West Siberia.

# 23. Caspiohydrobia ljaurica

Starobogatov et Izzatullaev, 1974 (Fig. 4F)

Caspiohydrobia ljaurica Starobogatov & Izzatullaev, 1974: 934, fig. 5; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 92, pl. 43H; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 230; Izzatullaev, 2019: 173, fig. 77.3; Shirokaya et al., 2019: 233, fig. 11F.

Type locality: Tajikistan, Khatlon Province, Danghara District, vicinities of Lyaur village, bank deposits of a brackish water river.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/163-1973 (holotype) and 2/163-1973 (10 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue. The holotype is illustrated by Shirokaya et al. (2019, fig. 11F). The current whereabouts of the 40 paratypes originally deposited in IZIP is unknown (Shirokaya et al., 2019).

Distribution: Tajikistan, Dzhilantau Mountains; Holocene deposits of West Siberia.

# 24. Caspiohydrobia nikitinskii

Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981 (Figs 4I, J)

Caspiohydrobia nikitinskii Starobogatov & Andreeva, 1981: 33, fig. 7; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 92, pl. 43G; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 230; Izzatullaev, 2019: 179, fig. 79.6.

Type locality: Aral Sea, near Baigubekmuryn Cape, 4.8 m.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/505-1980 (holotype) and 2/505-1980 (9 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.4; SH 1.4; SpH 1.5; BWH 1.8; AH 1.0; AW 0.8.

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of northern Kazakhstan.



**Fig. 6.** Soft body anatomy of *Caspiohydrobia* as exemplified by *C. johanseni* (A–E, K–L) and *C. kazakhstanica* (F–J) from Lake Elanach. **A**, general appearance of soft body; **B**, external view of last whorl above mantle cavity; **C**, third and fourth whorls of soft body; **D**, operculum; **E**, gill with osphradium; **F**, ventral view of female reproductive system (renal loop is shown); **G**, ventral view of female reproductive system with renal loop removed; **H**, dorsal view of female reproductive system; **I**, cross section through capsulae gland; **J**, increasing renal part of female reproductive system before (K) and after (L) copulation. Abbreviations: *ag* – albumen gland; *b* – bursa; *bd* – bursa duct; *cg* – capsulae gland; *in* – intestine; *os* – osphradium; *p* – penis; *rl* – renal loop; *sr* – seminal receptacle; *vg* – ventral groove. Scale bars: 1 mm (A, B), 0.5 mm (C–H, J–L), 0.1 mm (I), and 50 µm (M).



**Fig. 7.** General view of Lake Elanach, habitat of seven nominal species of *Caspiohydrobia* (A) and process of sampling from shallow zone of the lake, depth < 0.5 m (B).

#### 25. *Caspiohydrobia nikolskii* Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981 (Figs 3E, F)

Caspiohydrobia nikolskii Starobogatov & Andreeva, 1981: 33, fig. 8; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 92, pl. 43B; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 230; Izzatullaev, 2019: 179, fig. 78.1.

Type locality: Aral Sea, Aktumsuk Cape, 10 m. Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/505-1980 (holotype) and 2/505-1980 (9 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 2.1; SH 1.1; SpH 1.4; BWH 1.2; AH 0.8; AW 0.6.

Distribution: Aral Sea, saline lakes of Southern Urals and southern Transuralia.

#### 26. *Caspiohydrobia obrutchevi* (Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981) (Fig. 4G)

*Caspiohydrobia obrutchevi* Starobogatov, Andreeva, 1981: 31–32, fig. 4; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 93, pl. 43A; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 231; Izzatullaev, 2019: 177, fig. 78.3.

Type locality: Aral Sea, southern part of Bol'shoye More, 20 km NW from Obruchev Island, 20 m.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/506-1980 (holotype) and 2/506-1980 (6 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 3.8; SH 1.9; SpH 2.2; BWH 2.6; AH 1.4; AW 1.0.

Distribution: this is one of the rarest species of *Caspiohydrobia*, not known outside its type locality. To the best of our knowledge, no findings of *C. obrutchevi* have been reported since its description. The only material on this species available during our study was its type series.

#### 27. Caspiohydrobia pavlovskii

Starobogatov et Izzatullaev, 1974 (Figs 4G, 5C)

*Caspiohydrobia pavlovskii* Starobogatov & Izzatullaev, 1974: 935, fig. 6; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 93, pl. 44C; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 231; Izzatullaev, 2019: 174, fig. 78.6.; Shirokaya et al., 2019: 234, fig. 11G.

Type locality: Tajikistan, Khatlon Province, Danghara District, vicinities of Lyaur village, bank deposits of a brackish water river.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/163-1973 (holotype) and 2/163-1973 (10 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue. The holotype is illustrated by Shirokaya et al. (2019, fig. 11G). The current whereabouts of the 110 paratypes originally deposited in IZIP is unknown (Shirokaya et al., 2019).

Distribution: Tajikistan, Dzhilantau Mountains and Aral Sea.

#### 28. Caspiohydrobia sidorovi

Starobogatov et Andreeva, 1981 (Figs 3H, I)

*Caspiohydrobia sidorovi* Starobogatov, Andreeva, 1981: 33, fig. 6; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 94, pl. 44, fig. A; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 231–232; Izzatullaev, 2019: 179, fig. 79.5.

Type locality: Aral Sea, Sary-Chaganak Bay, 2.5 m.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/505-1980 (holotype) and 2/505-1980 (10 paratypes) in the catalogue.

Dimensions of the holotype (mm): SW 3.5; SH 1.8; SpH 2.3; BWH 2.1; AH 1.4; AW 1.0.

Distribution: Aral Sea, brackish lakes of northern Kazakhstan and Southern Urals; Caspian Lowland.

#### 29. *Caspiohydrobia sogdiana* Starobogatov et Izzatullaev, 1974 (Fig. 4H)

Caspiohydrobia sogdiana Starobogatov & Izzatullaev, 1974: 935, fig. 3; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 94, pl. 44,

fig. D; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 232; Izzatullaev, 2019: 174, fig. 78.4.; Shirokaya et al., 2019: 234, fig. 11H.

Type locality: Tajikistan, Khatlon Province, Danghara District, vicinities of Lyaur village, bank deposits of a brackish water river.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/163-1973 (holotype) and 2/163-1973 (10 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue. The holotype is illustrated by Shirokaya et al. (2019, fig. 11H). The current whereabouts of the 42 paratypes originally deposited in IZIP is unknown (Shirokaya et al., 2019).

Distribution: Tajikistan, Dzhilantau Mountains, Aral Sea, brackish lakes of north Kazakhstan and Southern Urals.

#### 30. Caspiohydrobia tadzhikistanica

Starobogatov et Izzatullaev, 1974 (Fig. 4K)

Caspiohydrobia tadzhikistanica Starobogatov & Izzatullaev, 1974: 935, fig. 4; Kantor & Sysoev, 2006: 94, pl. 44, F; Vinarski & Kantor, 2016: 232; Izzatullaev, 2019: 175, fig. 78.5.; Shirokaya et al., 2019: 235, fig. 13A.

Type locality: Tajikistan, Khatlon Province, Danghara District, vicinities of Lyaur village, bank deposits of a brackish water river.

Types: ZIN, Nos. 1/163-1973 (holotype) and 2/163-1973 (10 paratypes) in the systematic catalogue. The holotype is illustrated by Shirokaya et al. (2019, fig. 13A). The current whereabouts of the 112 paratypes originally deposited in IZIP is unknown (Shirokaya et al., 2019).

Distribution: Tajikistan, Dzhilantau Mountains, Aral Sea, brackish lakes of Southern Urals.

## 31. Caspiohydrobia elata Küster, 1852

*Paludina elata* Küster, 1852: 59, pl. 11, figs 11, 12. *Caspiohydrobia elata* Starobogatov, 1970: 144.

Type locality: stated as "the Tigris River near Mossul in Persia". However, this area belongs to Iraq, not Persia (nowadays Iran).

Types: not traced, possibly lost.

Distribution: Near East (Iraq and Iran).

Remark. Starobogatov (1970) mentioned this species as inhabiting saline waterbodies of the Iranian zoogeographic province. To the best of



Fig. 8. General view of small patch of Aral Sea shore near Aralsk Town. Photo taken in September 1978 by V. Goshkaderya. Numerous empty shells of *Caspiohydrobia* spp. together with shells of *Theodoxus pallasi* (Lindholm, 1924) formed bulk of this thanatocoenosis.

our knowledge, *C. elata* has not been either discussed or even mentioned in the subsequent Russian literature. Possibly, this species is identical to *Ecrobia grimmi* recorded from Lake Sawa in Iraq (Haase et al., 2010). No specimens of this species were available to us during this study.

# Discussion

The major part of the current range of Caspiohydrobia lies within the territory that once belonged to the Paratethys basin. This genus may be considered a relic of the Paratethys malacofauna, and its occurrence in relatively remote areas (southern part of West Siberia, Iraq, mountain Tajikistan) is usually explained either by former sea ingressions or by bird-mediated dispersal (Gusskov et al., 2008, 2011; Haase et al., 2010; Vandendorpe et al., 2019). Starobogatov & Andreeva (1981) hypothesised that Caspiohydrobia evolved in the arid inner waterbodies of Central Asia and subsequently dispersed to the Azov-Black, Aral and Caspian Sea basins. Most of the nominal species of Caspiohydrobia have been recorded from more than one region (see Table 1). This can be explained either by their high dispersal ability or by the former extensive and continuous range of this genus, which nowadays is split into a se-

ries of isolated patches. One of the most striking patterns of *Caspiohydrobia* zoogeography is that this genus is represented in many studied habitats by several species, including even relatively small locations. For example, a sample of Caspiohydrobia spp. taken by us on 26 July 2022 from Elanach Lake in Kurgan Region of Russia (55.179015N, 67.348671E) consisted of representatives of seven species (identified by S. Andreeva): C. aralensis, C. borealis, C. chrysopsis, C. johanseni, C. kazakhstanica, C. oviformis, and C. sidorovi. According to Shishkoedova (2011), the saline Salamatka Lake in the Southern Urals harbors as many as nine species of the genus: C. aralensis, C. chrysopsis, C. convexa, C. conica, C. grimmi, C. husainovae, C. kazakhstanica, C. sidorovi, and C. sogdiana. A similar case of coexistence of several nominal species of Caspiohudrobia in a very restricted area was recently discovered in the Caspian Lowland by Andreeva et al. (2020). In all instances, no ecological segregation between coexisting species has been reported. For example, in Elanach Lake, seven species of Caspiohydrobia were collected from a very limited area (bottom and surface of aquatic plants) in the shallow zone of the lake, not exceeding  $1 \text{ m}^2$  (Fig. 7). This pattern, in our opinion, fits the hypothesis that most of the nominal species of Caspiohydrobia are in fact conspecific, being intraspecific conchological morphs of a few of even a single, very variable and widely distributed species (see Vandendorpe et al., 2019; Wesselingh et al., 2019). However, it is remains unclear whether there are other 'good' species in this group besides Ecrobia grimmi sensu Vandendorpe et al. (2019).

The available data on the structure of the protoconchs, radula and reproductive anatomy of Caspiohydrobia seem to be almost useless for species delineation in this genus. Though the protoconchs of representatives of the studied genus demonstrate certain weak differences from each other (see Fig. 5), these differences, in our opinion, are not considerable and there is no any clear pattern allowing one to delimit species on its basis. Filippov & Riedel (2009), having examined and measured 165 protoconchs of Caspiohydrobia from the Aral Sea, did not reveal any distinctions among them. According to the authors, "the distribution of all measured parameters of Caspiohydrobia shells does not deviate from a Gaussian distribution" (Filippov & Riedel, 2009: 72).

Group of species	Species content
Shallow zone	C. chrysopsis (0–10), C. convexa (0–10), C. subconvexa (0–10)
Eurybathic	C. conica (0-35), C. cylindrica (0-35), C. dubia (0-40), C. eichwaldiana (0-35)*, C. gemmata (0-50), C. oviformis (0-30), C. turrita (0-40)
Deep living	C. curta (10–40), C. grimmi (25–40), C. parva (5–40)

Table 2. Depth preferences of Caspiohydrobia spp. from the Caspian Sea.

*Note.* In brackets, depth ranges reported by Logvinenko & Starobogatov (1969), in meters. \* In the Black Sea, this species is not registered at depths larger than 10 m (Golikov & Starobogatov, 1966).

The absence of substantial anatomical differences between some species of *Caspiohydrobia* was reported by Sitnikova et al. (1992). Here, we illustrate the external appearance of the soft body and the gross morphology of the reproductive system of this genus by example of specimens identified as "*C. johanseni*" (female) and "*C. kazakhstanica*" (males) from Lake Elanach (see Fig. 6). The examination of the radulae of three females from this lake did not reveal any substantial differences (see Figs 5E, G).

Morphology in general provides almost no support for the systematics of the genus, with 30 nominal species, that has long been accepted by Russian malacologists (see also Filippov & Riedel, 2009; Wesselingh et al., 2019). The differences between these species are relatively weak differences in the proportions of their shells and the geometry of the whorl coiling. On the other hand, it is a well-known fact that morphological differences between genetically well-established species of hydrobiid snails can be virtually absent, and the values of quantitative characters of shell can greatly overlap among them. Besides, a comparative statistical study of the inter- and intraspecific variability in *Caspiohydrobia*, based on representative samples, has never been undertaken. The extent of conchological and anatomical variability in these microsnails remains virtually unknown. The possibility that there are a few phenotypically cryptic species among *Caspiohydrobia* cannot be ruled out, especially given the fact that only a small fraction of nominal species of this genus has been studied genetically. We think that an integrative revision of the genus as a whole is required to know how many valid species it includes and what their actual ranges are. This research was not aimed at making any decisions about the taxonomic status of the studied taxa.

The ecological preferences of *Caspiohydrobia* are relatively little studied. The most detailed information on the ecology of these species is that concerning the depths of their habitat and their salinity tolerance. In the Caspian Sea, species of *Caspiohydrobia* inhabit depths up to 50 metres (Table 2), whereas in relatively small continental lakes they can be found alive in great numbers within the first 20–50 cm of the water column.

Possibly the most complete set of ecological information about *Caspiohydrobia* is that accumulated by Svetlana Andreeva and the late Nikolai Andreev in the Aral Sea in the 1970s–1980s (briefly reviewed in Andreeva et al., 2020). Their observations are summarised in Table 3. These data were collected during the last decades of the existence of the Aral Sea as a whole waterbody, i.e., before the drastic salination of this lake and the fragmentation of this aquatory, which resulted in its division into a series of isolated basins of different sizes (Andreeva & Andreev, 2003; Krivonogov et al., 2014; Aladin et al., 2022).

*Caspiohudrobia* spp. can withstand a wide salinity range compared to many other gastropod taxa. In the waterbodies of the Southern Urals, these snails occur under salinity conditions varying from 0.7 to 15.5 g/L (Shishkoedova, 2011). In the open Aral Sea, before the start of its desiccation in the 1960s, Caspiohydrobia were relatively rare (average salinity 10.2 g/L; min-max 4-36 g/L). The progressive increase of salinity resulted in a drastic increase of abundance and biomass of these molluscs in the sea, whereas the maximum abundances of Caspio*hydrobia* were observed under average salinity 20– 28 g/L (Andreev & Andreeva, 1990a). Their empty shells dominated the coastal thanatocoenoses (Fig. 8). However, when salinity of the Aral Sea reached 40-45 g/L, all species of Caspiohybrobia went locally extinct (Andreeva et al., 2020). When reared

Species name	Depth range, m	Salinity range, ‰	Substrate	Biotope
C. aralensis	<27.0	14.0-20.0	AP, GS(P), MS, SB	B, C, O
C. behningi	<24.0	14.0-26.0	AP, BS, SB	B, O
C. bergi	<15.0	14.0 - 21.0	MS, SB	С, І
C. chrysopsis	<24.0	14.0 - 21.0	AP, GS(P)	B, C, I
C. convexa	2.5 - 17.0	14.0 - 20.0	AP, GS(P)	B, C
C. conica	<20.0	14.0 - 20.0	AP, PD, SB	С
C. curta	9.0-19.0	14.0-16.0	MS, SB	Ι
C. cylindrica	<21.0	14.0 - 25.0	AP	B, C, O
C. dubia	12.0-19.0	14.0-15.0	MS, SB	С, І
C. gemmata	<19.0	14.0-17.0	MS, SB	С
C. grimmi	15-21	14.0-16.0	AP, GS(P)	С, О
C. husainovae	<21.0	14.0 - 26.0	AP, BS	B, C, O
C. kazakhstanica	<27.0	14.0 - 25.0	GS, PD, SB	С, О
C. nikitinskii	2.0-21.0	14.0 - 20.0	MS, SB	С, О
C. nikolskii	8.0 - 15.0	14.0-16.0	SB, MS	Ι
C. obrutchevi	13.0-20.0	14.0-16.0	GS, SB	Ι
C. oviformis	<21.0	14.0 - 20.0	MS, SB	С, О
C. parva	<20.0	14.0 - 20.0	MS, SB	B, C, O
C. pavlovskii	<20.0	14.0 - 20.0	AP, S, SB	B, C, I
C. sidorovi	<21.0	14.0-18.0	AP, BS, SB(P)	С, О
C. sogdiana	<16.0	14.0-16.0	AP, S, SB	С, І
C. subconvexa	<21.0	14.0-16.0	MS, SB	C, I, O
C. tadzhikistanica	12.0 - 24.0	14.0 - 20.0	BS, GS(P)	0

Table 3. Some ecological characteristics of Caspiohydrobia of the Aral Sea (observed in the 1970 – early 1980s).

Abbreviations: AP – surface of aquatic plants; B – bays; BS – brown silt; C – coastal shallow-water zone; GS(P) – gray silt with plant debris; I – off islands; MS – mud bottom with shell remains; O – open sea; PD – plant debris; S – silt; SB – sandy bottom.

in laboratory, these snails demonstrated the ability to live under salinity ranging from 6 to 42 g/L (Andreev & Andreeva, 1990b). Possibly the high halotolerance of the genus in combination with frequent bird-mediated dispersal helped its representatives to form an extensive range and to live in habitats of different types, from relatively small and shallow watercourses and lakes to the largest continental lakes of the world and sea estuaries.

### Acknowledgements

The main financial support for this research was obtained through the Russian Scientific Fund, pro-

ject No. 21-14-04401. The research trip to the Kurgan Province of Russia was carried out with funding obtained from the Russian Fund for Basic Research (project No. 20-44-72008). Dr Pavel Kijashko was also supported by the Russian Ministry of Higher Education and Science (project No. 122031100275-4). We thank Dr Evgeny S. Babushkin (Surgut, Russia) for his help with collecting *Caspiohybrobia* from the Elanach Lake and Mr V.I. Egorov for his assistance in SEM investigations in the Center of "Ultramicroanalysis" (Limnological Institute, Siberian Branch of RAS). We thank the referees, Dr Thomas A. Neubauer (Munich, Germany) and Dr Pavel D. Frolov (Moscow, Russia), for their valuable comments helped us to improve the original version of this text.

# References

- Aladin N.V., Plotnikov I.S. & Smurov A.O. 2022. Molluscs of the Aral Sea. Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal, 101: 123–144. (In Russian). https://doi. org/10.31857/S0044513421120023
- Andreev N.I. & Andreeva S.I. 1990a. Zoobenthos of the Aral Sea kultuks before and after the river inflow regulations (on the problem of the horohaline zone position in the Aral Sea). *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta AN SSSR*, 232: 54–67. (In Russian).
- Andreev N.I. & Andreeva S.I. 1990b. The salinity resistance of some mass invertebrate species of the Aral Sea. *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta AN* SSSR, 232: 86–104. (In Russian).
- Andreeva S.I. 1987. Molluscs of the genus Caspiohydrobia Starobogatov, 1970 from waterbodies of Kazakhstan. In: Starobogatov Y.I., Golikov A.N.
  & Likharev I.M. (Eds). Mollyuski: Rezul'taty i perspektivy ikh issledovaniy. Vos'moe vsesoyuznoe soveshchanie po izucheniyu mollyuskov. Leningrad, aprel' 1987 g. Avtoreferaty dokladov [Molluscs: Results and perspectives of their investigations. Eight All-Union Conference on the on the investigation of molluscs. Leningrad, April 1987. Abstracts of communications]: 178–180. Leningrad: Nauka Publishers. (In Russian).
- Andreeva S.I. & Andreev N.I. 2003. Evolyutsionnye preobrazovaniya dvustvorchatykh mollyuskov Aral'skogo morya v usloviyakh ekologicheskogo krizisa [The evolutionary transformations of bivalves of the Aral Sea under condition of ecological crisis]. Omsk: Omsk State Pedagogical University Press. 382 p.
- Andreeva S.I., Andreev N.I. & Mikhailov R.A. 2020. Records of molluscs of the genus Caspiohydrobia Starobogatov, 1970 (Gastropoda, Hydrobiidae) in salt rivers of the Caspian Lowland. *Biology Bulletin*, 47: 912–919. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S1062359020080026
- Andreeva S.I. & Frolova E.S. 1989. New species of molluscs from the family Pyrgulidae (Gastropoda, Pectinibranchia) from brackish waterbodies of Kazakhstan. Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal, 68: 134–135. (In Russian).
- Anistratenko V.V., Anistratenko O.Yu. & Shydlovskyy I.V. 2018. Karl E. von Baer's collection of Caspian Sea molluscs stored in the Zoological Museum of Lviv University, Ukraine, 1. Catalogue and general description. Archiv für Molluskenkunde, 147: 223–236. https://doi.org/10.1127/arch. moll/147/223-236
- Anistratenko V., Anistratenko O. & Kadolsky D. 2019. Karl E. von Baer's collection of Caspian

Sea molluscs stored in the Zoological Museum of Lviv University, Ukraine, 2. Type materials of gastropod species described by Stephan Clessin and Władysław Dybowski in 1887–1888. *Archiv für Molluskenkunde*, **148**: 35–62. https://doi.org/10.1127/arch.moll/148/035-062

- Anistratenko V.V., Khaliman I.A. & Anistratenko O.Yu. 2011. *Mollyuski Azovskogo morya* [Molluscs of the Azov Sea]. Kiev: Naukova dumka. 176 p.
- Anistratenko V.V., Neubauer T.A., Anistratenko O.Y., Kijashko P.V. & Wesselingh F.P. 2021a. A revision of the Pontocaspian gastropods of the subfamily Caspiinae (Caenogastropoda: Hydrobiidae). *Zootaxa*, 4933: 151–197. https://doi.org/10.11646/ zootaxa.4933.2.1
- Anistratenko V.V., Palatov D.M., Chertoprud E.M., Sitnikova T.Y., Anistratenko O.Y., Clewing C. & Vinarski M.V. 2022. Keyhole into a lost world: The first purely freshwater species of the Ponto-Caspian genus Clathrocaspia (Caenogastropoda: Hydrobiidae). *Diversity*, 14: 232. https:// doi.org/10.3390/d14040232
- Anistratenko V.V., Sitnikova T.Ya. & Anistratenko O.Yu. 2021b. Holotypes for three Caspian gastropods of the family Hydrobiidae tracked down: description and taxonomic interpretations. *Zootaxa*, **5027**: 408–416. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5027.3.6
- Anistratenko V.V., Sitnikova T.Ya., Kijashko P.V., Vinarski M.V. & Anistratenko O.Yu. 2020. A review of species of the genus Theodoxus (Gastropoda: Neritidae) of the Ponto-Caspian region, with considerations on available type materials. *Ruthenica: Russian malacological Journal*, **30**: 115–134. https://doi.org/10.35885/ruthenica.2021.30(2).5
- Anistratenko V.V. & Stadnichenko A.P. 1995. Littorinoobraznye, rissoiobraznye. *Fauna Ukraini* [Fauna of Ukraine], (1994), 29(1). Kiev: Naukova dumka. 175 p. (In Russian).
- Badzoshvili Ts.I. 1979. *Mollyuski meotisa zapadnoy Gruzii* [Molluscs of the maeotis of western Georgia]. Tbilisi: Metsniereba. 144 p.
- **Dance S.P.** 1986. *A history of shell collecting*. Leiden: E.J. Brill, Dr. W. Backhuys. 230 p.
- **Dybowski W.** 1877. Die Gasteropoden-Fauna des Kaspischen Meeres. Nach der Sammlung des Akademikers Dr. K. E. v. Baer. *Malakozoologische Blätter (Neue Folge)*, **10**(1–3): 1–64.
- Eichwald C.E. 1838. Faunae Caspii Maris primitiae. Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou, 11: 125–174.
- Eichwald C.E. 1855. Zur Naturgeschichte des Kaspischen Meeres. Nouveaux mémoires de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes, Moscou, 10: 283–323.

- Filippov A. & Riedel F. 2009. The late Holocene mollusc fauna of the Aral Sea and its biogeographical and ecological interpretation. *Limnologica*, 39: 67–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2008.04.003
- Frolova E.S. 1984. Freshwater Mollusca of northern Kazakhstan and their role in the benthos biomass and natural complexes. *In:* Petlina A.P. (Ed). *Zametki po faune i flore Sibiri* [Notes on the Siberian fauna and flora]: 42–50. Tomsk: Tomsk State University Press.
- Glöer P. & Pešič V. 2012. The freshwater snails (Gastropoda) of Iran, with descriptions of two new genera and eight new species. *ZooKeys*, **219**: 11–61. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.219.3406
- Gogaladze A., Son M.O., Lattuada M., Anistratenko V.V., Syomin V.L., Pavel A.B., Popa O.P., Popa L.O., ter Poorten J.-J., Biesmeijer J.C., Raes N., Wilke T., Sands A.F., Trichkova T., Hubenov Z.K., Vinarski M.V., Anistratenko O.Yu., Alexenko T.L. & Wesselingh F.P. 2021. Decline of unique Pontocaspian biodiversity in the Black Sea Basin: A review. Ecology & Evolution, 11: 12923–12947. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8022
- Golikov A.N. & Starobogatov Ya.I. 1966. Ponto-Caspian gastropods in the Azov-Black Sea Basin. Zoologicherskiy Zhurnal, 45: 352–362. (In Russian).
- Golikov A.N. & Starobogatov Y.I. (1972) Class Gastropoda Cuvier, 1797. In: Vodyanitsky V.A. (Ed). Opredelitel' fauny Chernogo i Azovskogo morey [Identification Key to the Fauna of the Black and Azov Seas], 3. Svobodnozhivushchie bespozvonochnye [Free Living Invertebrates]: 65–166. Kiev: Naukova Dumka. (In Russian).
- Gusskov S.A., Kanygin A.V., Kuzmin Ya.V., Burr G.S., Jull A.J.T. & Khazin L.B. 2008. Ingression of the Aral Sea water to southern West Siberia in the Holocene: Paleontological evidence and chronology. *Doklady Akademii Nauk*, 418(2): 217–220. (In Russian. English translation: *Doklady Earth Sciences*, 418: 24–27. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S1028334X08010066).
- Gusskov S.A., Zhakov E.Yu., Kuzmin Ya.V., Krivonogov S.K., Burr D.S. & Kanygin A.V. 2011. New data on evolution of the Aral Sea and its relations with the West Siberian Plain through the Holocene. *Doklady Akademii Nauk*, 437(6): 789–792. (In Russian. English translation: *Doklady Earth Sciences*, 437: 460–463. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1028334X11040167).
- Haase M., Naser M.D. & Wilke T. 2010. Ecrobia grimmi in brackish Lake Sawa, Iraq: indirect evidence for longdistance dispersal of hydrobiid gastropods (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea) by birds.

Journal of molluscan Studies, 76: 101–105. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyp051

- Ilyina L.B., Nevesskaya L.A. & Paramonova N.P. 1976. Zakonomernosti razvitiya mollyuskov v opresnennykh basseinakh neogena Evrazii (pozdniy Miocen – ranniy Pliotsen) [The peculiarities of development of molluscs in desalinated basins of the Neogene of Eurasia (late Miocene – early Pliocene)]. Moskva: Nauka Publishers. 288 p.
- Izzatullaev Z. 2018. Mollyuski v vodnykh ekosistemakh Sredney Azii [Molluscs of the aquatic ecosystems of Middle Asia]. Tashkent: Lessonpress. 232 p.
- Izzatullaev Z. 2019. Fauna mollyuskov vodnykh ekosistem Sredney Azii [Fauna of molluscs of the aquatic ecosystems of Middle Asia]. Tashkent: Lessonpess. 328 p.
- Kantor Yu.I. & Sysoev A.V. 2006. Marine and brakish water Gastropoda of Russia and adjacent countries: an illustrated catalogue. Moscow: KMK Scientific Press. 371 p.
- Kijashko P.V. 2013. Mollusca of the Caspian Sea. In: Bogutskaya N.G., Kijashko P.V., Naseka A.M. & Orlova M.I. (Eds). Identification keys for fish and invertebrates of the Caspian Sea, 1. Fish and molluscs: 292–392. Moscow: KMK Scientific Press. (In Russian).
- Kolesnikov V.P. 1947. A table for identification of gastropods of the Caspian Sea. *Byulleten' Moskovskogo Obshchestva Ispytateley Prirody. Otdel geologicheskiy*, **22**: 105–112. (In Russian).
- Krivonogov S.K., Burr G.S., Kuzmin Y.V., Gusskov S.A., Kurmanbaev R.K., Kenshinbay T.I.
  & Voyakin D.A. 2014. The fluctuating Aral Sea: a multidisciplinary-based history of the last two thousand years. *Gondwana Research*, 26: 284–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2014.02.004
- Küster H.C. 1852. Die Gattungen Paludina, Hydrocaena und Valvata. In: Schubert G.H. von & Wagner J.A. (Eds). Systematisches Conchylien-Cabinet von Martini und Chemnitz, 1(21): 1–96. Nürnberg: Bauer und Raspe.
- Lattuada M., Albrecht C. & Wilke T. 2019. Differential impact of anthropogenic pressures on Caspian Sea ecoregions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 142: 274–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.03.046
- Lattuada M., Albrecht C., Wesselingh F.P., Klinkenbuß D., Vinarski M.V., Kijashko P., Raes N. & Wilke T. 2019 Endemic Caspian Sea mollusks in hotspot and non-hotspot areas differentially affected by anthropogenic pressures. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 46: 1221–1226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2019.12.007

Zoosystematica Rossica, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 304–328

- Logvinenko B.M. & Starobogatov Ya.I. 1969. Mollusca. In: Birshtein Ya.A., Vinogradov L.G., Kondakov N.N., Kuhn M.S., Astakhova T.V. & Romanova N.N. (Eds). Atlas bespozvonochnykh Kaspiyskogo morya [Atlas of invertebrates of the Caspian Sea]: 308–385. (1968). Moscow: Pishchevaya Promyshlennost'.
- Neubauer T.A., Anistratenko O.Yu., Anistratenko V.V., Kijashko P., Stoica M., van de Velde S., Vinarski M.V. & Wesselingh W.P. 2021. A revision of the poorly known Pontocaspian gastropod genus Abeskunus, and its central Paratethyan origin. *Historical Biology*, 33: 1580–1597. https://doi.org/1 0.1080/08912963.2020.1720015
- Neubauer T.A., Van De Velde S., Yanina T. & Wesselingh F.P. 2018. A late Pleistocene gastropod fauna from the northern Caspian Sea with implications for Pontocaspian gastropod taxonomy. *ZooKeys*, 770: 43–103. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.770.25365
- Riedel F., Kossler A., Tarasov P. & Wünnemann B. 2011. A study on Holocene foraminifera from the Aral Sea and West Siberian lakes and its implication for migration pathways. *Quaternary International*, **229**: 105–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. quaint.2010.03.009
- Rust J. 1997. Evolution, Systematik, Paläoökologie und stratigraphischer Nutzen neogener Süßund Brackwasser-Gastropoden im Nord-Ägäis-Raum. *Palaeontographica*. Abteilung A, 243: 37–180. https://doi.org/10.1127/pala/243/1997/37
- Sands A.F., Neubauer T.A., Nasibi S., Harandi M.F., Anistratenko V.V., Wilke T. & Albrecht C. 2019. Old lake versus young taxa: A comparative phylogeographic perspective on the evolution of Caspian Sea gastropods (Neritidae: Theodoxus). *Royal Society open Science*, 6: 190965. https://doi. org/10.1098/rsos.190965
- Shirokaya A.A., Sitnikova T.Ya., Vinarski M.V., Palatov D.M., Kijashko P.V. & Izzatullaev Z.I. 2019. Fresh- and brackish-water gastropod taxa described by Zuvaidullo I. Izzatullaev. Archiv für Molluskenkunde, 148: 197–261. https://doi. org/10.1127/arch.moll/148/197-261
- Shishkoedova O.S. 2011. To the fauna and distribution of molluscs of the genus Caspiohydrobia (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Hydrobiidae) in the Transuralia and Western Siberia. In: Antonova E.V., Kukarskikh V.V., Ushakova K.I. & Sadykova N.O. (Eds). Ekologiya: skvoz' vremya i rasstoyanie. Materialy vserossiyskoy konferentsii molodykh uchenykh. 11-15 aprelya 2011 g. Ekaterinburg [Ecology: through time and space. Materials of the young scientists' meeting. 11–15 April 2011]:

201–205. Ekaterinburg: Goschchitsky Publisher. (In Russian)

- Sitnikova T.Ya. & Starobogatov Ya.I. 1998. The reproductive system and radula of the Caspian Pyrgulidae (subfamilies Turricaspiinae and Caspiinae. Gastropoda, Pectinibranchia). Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal, 77: 1357–1367. (In Russian).
- Sitnikova T.Ya. & Starobogatov Ya.I. 1999. A new genus of the family Pyrgulidae (Gastropoda, Pectinibranchia) from the fresh waters of the Azov-Black Sea basin (in relation to the question of the Ponto-Caspian species in the Azov-Black Sea region). Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal, 78: 158–163. (In Russian).
- Sitnikova T.Ya., Starobogatov Ya.I. & Anistratenko, V.V. 1992. Anatomy and taxonomic position of some small European pectinibranch molluscs (Mollusca Gastropoda). *Vestnik Zoologii*, 6: 3–12. (In Russian).
- Starobogatov Ya.I. 1970. Fauna mollyuskov i zoogeograficheskoe rayonirovanie zemnogo shara [Fauna of mollusks and the zoogeographical regionalisation of fresh waterbodies of the World]. Leningrad: Nauka Publishers. 372 p.
- Starobogatov Ya.I. 1974. Phylum Mollusca. In: Mordukhai-Boltovskoy F.D. (Ed.). Atlas bespozvonochnykh Aral'skogo morya [Atlas of invertebrates of the Aral Sea]: 237–257. Moscow: Pishchevaya Promyshlennost'.
- Starobogatov Ya.I. & Andreeva S.I. 1981. New species of mollusks of the family Pyrgulidae (Gastropoda, Pectinibranchia) from the Aral Sea. *Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal*, **60**: 29–35. (In Russian).
- Starobogatov Ya.I. & Izzatullaev Z. 1974. New species of brackish water mollusks of the family Pyrgulidae (Prosobranchia) from Tajikistan. *Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal*, **53**: 933–935. (In Russian).
- Tadjalli-Pour M. 1980. Contribution à l'étude de la faune bentique de la partie ouest de le mer Caspienne sud. Publication scientifique de recherche de la Mer. Societé National Iranienne des Sciences de la Mer, 1: 1–126. Iran: Universite de Jundi-Shappur.
- Vandendorpe J., Baak C., Stelbrink B., Delicado D.
  & Wilke T. 2019. Historical faunal exchange between the Pontocaspian Basin and North America. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9: 10816–10827. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5602
- Vinarski M.V. & Kantor Yu.I. 2016. Analytical catalogue of fresh and brackish water molluscs of Russia and adjacent countries. Moscow: A.N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution of RAS. 544 p.
- Wesselingh F.P., Neubauer T.A., Anistratenko V.V., Vinarski M.V., Yanina T., ter Poorten J.J.,

Kijashko P., Albrecht C., Anistratenko O.Y., D' Hont A., Frolov P., Gándara A.M., Gittenberger A., Gogaladze A., Karpinsky M., Lattuada M., Popa L., Sands A.F., Van de Velde S., Vandendorpe J. & Wilke, T. 2019. Mollusc species from the Pontocaspian region – an expert opinion list. *ZooKeys*, 827: 31–124. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.827.31365.figure2 Zhadin V.I. 1952. Mollyuski presnykh i solonovatykh vod SSSR [Molluscs of the fresh- and saline waterbodies of the USSR]. Opredeliteli po faune SSSR, iz-davaemye Zoologicheskim institutom AN SSSR [Keys to the fauna of the USSR, published by the Zoological Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR], 46: 1–376. Moscow–Leningrad: Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. (In Russian).

Received 16 November 2022 / Accepted 24 December 2022. Editorial responsibility: D.A. Gapon